

**EVALUATION OF PETROPHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS
AND DEPOSITIONAL ENVIRONMENT OF SAWAN GAS
FIELD, LOWER INDUS BASIN, PAKISTAN**



BY

YASIR AJMAL

**Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences
Bahria University, Islamabad**

2011

**EVALUATION OF PETROPHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS
AND DEPOSITIONAL ENVIRONMENT OF SAWAN GAS
FIELD, LOWER INDUS BASIN, PAKISTAN**



A thesis submitted to Bahria University, Islamabad in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of MS Geology by

YASIR AJMAL

**Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences
Bahria University, Islamabad**

2011

ABSTRACT

Sawan gas field is located in Thar platform, eastern part of the lower Indus basin. It is considered one of the largest gas field of Pakistan with an expected ultimate recovery of more than 1 trillion cubic feet.

Petrophysics is relatively younger subject in the domain of geology, which is the study of the physical and chemical properties of rocks for the exploration of reservoirs of resources, including ore deposits and oil or natural gas reservoirs. This project involves the evaluation of the petrophysical characteristics and depositional environment of two of the Sawan wells namely, Sawan 8 and Sawan 9. This includes first the evaluation of the petrophysical characteristics which includes the determination of volume of shale, lithology, porosity, permeability, saturation of water, saturation of hydrocarbon, saturation of flushed zone, movable hydrocarbons, bulk volume of water, pore volume of hydrocarbon and finally the net pay thickness was calculated by using different techniques. After this, the depositional environment was determined with the help of GR log which came out to be shallow to deep marine environment.

The petrophysical interpretation shows that both the wells have the potential to produce the hydrocarbon economically.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all praise to Almighty Allah, The Beneficent, The Merciful, Who is the entire source of knowledge and wisdom endowed to mankind, Who gave me strength and skills to pursue this goal. Secondly, I gratefully acknowledge the guidance and resources provided by our head of department Dr. Muhammad Zafar along with members' evaluation committee Dr. Tahseenullah khan and Mr. Anwar Qadir. I am extremely thankful to my supervisor Ms. Urooj Shakir lecturer, Bahria University Islamabad and my co-supervisor Mr. Muhammad Zahid geoscientist, LMKR Islamabad for their untiring commitment, guidance, and encouragement from the initial to the final stage of this project enabled me to develop an understanding of the subject.

Finally, I offer my regards and deepest gratitude to my classmates and all those who supported me in any respect during the completion of this dissertation.

CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	i
-------------------------------	----------

ABSTRACT	ii
-----------------------	-----------

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General statement	1
1.2 Objectives	3
1.3 Data required	3
1.4 Introduction to the study area	4
1.5 Location of the study area	4
1.6 Petroleum prospects of the study area	5
1.6.1 Source rock	5
1.6.2 Reservoir rocks	6
1.6.3 Seals	6
1.6.4 Trapping mechanism	6
1.6.5 Migration and hydrocarbon charge	6
1.7 Development of Sawan gas field	7
1.7.1 Exploration and appraisal (E&A) wells	7
1.7.1.1 Sawan 1	7
1.7.1.2 Sawan 2	8
1.7.1.3 Sawan 3	8
1.7.1.4 Sawan 4	9
1.7.2 Development wells	9

CHAPTER 2

THE INDUS BASIN

2.1	Tectonic evolution of the Indus basin	10
2.2	General view of Indus basin	13
2.3	Main units of southern Indus basin	15
2.3.1	Thar platform	16
2.3.2	Karachi trough	16
2.3.3	Kirthar foredeep	16
2.3.4	Kirthar fold belt	16
2.3.5	Offshore Indus	17
2.4	Structural setting of southern Indus basin	17

CHAPTER 3

STRATIGRAPHY OF SOUTHERN INDUS BASIN

3.1	Stratigraphy of southern Indus basin	18
3.2	Sembar Formation	19
3.2.1	Type locality	19
3.2.2	Lithology	19
3.2.3	Thickness of the formation	19
3.2.4	Contact relationship	19
3.2.5	Fossil content	19
3.2.6	Age of the formation	19
3.3	Goru Formation	19
3.3.1	Type locality	19

3.3.2	Lithology	19
3.3.3	Thickness of the formation	20
3.3.4	Contact relationship	20
3.3.5	Fossil content	20
3.3.6	Age of the formation	20
3.4	Parh limestone	20
3.4.1	Type locality	20
3.4.2	Lithology	20
3.4.3	Thickness of the formation	20
3.4.4	Contact relationship	21
3.4.5	Fossil content	21
3.4.6	Age of the formation	21
3.5	Mughal kot Formation	21
3.5.1	Type locality	21
3.5.2	Lithology	21
3.5.3	Thickness of the formation	21
3.5.4	Contact relationship	21
3.5.5	Fossil content	21
3.5.6	Age of the formation	22
3.6	Pab sandstone	22
3.6.1	Type locality	22
3.6.2	Lithology	22
3.6.3	Thickness of the formation	22
3.6.4	Contact relationship	22

3.6.5	Fossil content	22
3.6.6	Age of the formation	22
3.7	Ranikot group	23
3.7.1	Lithology	23
3.7.2	Thickness of the group	23
3.7.3	Contact relationship	23
3.7.4	Fossil content	23
3.7.5	Age of the group	23
3.8	Laki Formation	24
3.8.1	Type locality	24
3.8.2	Lithology	24
3.8.3	Contact relationship	24
3.8.4	Age of the formation	24
3.9	Ghazij group	24
3.9.1	Type locality	24
3.9.2	Lithology	24
3.9.3	Thickness of the group	25
3.9.4	Contact relationship	25
3.9.5	Fossil content	25
3.9.6	Age of the group	25
3.10	Kirthar group	25
3.10.1	Type locality	25
3.10.2	Lithology	25
3.10.3	Thickness of the group	26

3.10.4	Contact relationship	26
3.10.5	Fossil content	26
3.10.6	Age of the group	26
3.11	Nari Formation	26
3.11.1	Type locality	26
3.11.2	Lithology	26
3.11.3	Thickness of the formation	27
3.11.4	Contact relationship	27
3.11.5	Fossil content	27
3.11.6	Age of the formation	27
3.12	Gaj Formation	27
3.12.1	Type locality	27
3.12.2	Lithology	27
3.12.3	Thickness of the formation	27
3.12.4	Contact relationship	28
3.12.5	Fossil content	28
3.12.6	Age of the formation	28

CHAPTER 4

PETROPHYSICS

4.1	Petrophysics	29
4.2	Conventional petrophysical properties	29
4.2.1	Lithology	29
4.2.2	Porosity	30

4.2.3	Saturation	31
4.2.4	Permeability	31
4.2.5	Thickness of the reservoir	33
4.3	Methods of formation evaluation	33
4.3.1	Coring	34
4.3.2	Mud logging	36
4.3.3	Wire-line logging	37

CHAPTER 5

WIRE-LINE LOGGING

5.1	Wire-line logging	38
5.2	Representation of well logs	39
5.3	Borehole environment	40
5.3.1	Borehole condition	41
5.3.1.1	Borehole size	41
5.3.1.2	Drilling mud	41
5.3.1.3	Mud cake	41
5.3.1.4	Mud filtrate	42
5.3.2	Invasion of the drilling fluid	42
5.4	Depth of invasion	42
5.5	Invasion profile	43
5.5.1	Flushed zone	43
5.5.2	Transition zone	44
5.5.3	Undisturbed zone	44

5.6	Invasion resistivity profiles	44
5.6.1	Step profile	45
5.6.2	Transition profile or annulus profile	45

CHAPTER 6

INTERPRETATION METHODOLOGY

6.1	Petrophysical interpretation	46
6.2	Methodology adopted	47
6.3	Determination of volume of shale (V_{sh})	47
6.4	Determination of lithology	48
6.5	Calculation of porosity	49
6.5.1	Density porosity (φ_D)	49
6.5.2	Average neutron density porosity	50
6.5.3	Sonic porosity	50
6.6	Water saturation (S_w)	51
6.7	Hydrocarbon saturation (S_{hc})	55
6.8	Permeability	55
6.9	Saturation of flushed zone (S_{xo})	55
6.10	Moveable hydrocarbons	55
6.11	Bulk volume of water (BVW)	56
6.12	Pore volume of hydrocarbon (PVH)	56
6.13	Net pay thickness	56
6.14	Interpretation of depositional environment	57
6.14.1	Funnel shape	57

6.14.2	Bell shape	57
6.14.3	Cylindrical shape	58
6.14.4	Bow trend	58
6.14.5	Irregular trend	58

CHAPTER 7

INTERPRETATION OF SAWAN 8 AND SAWAN 9

7.1	Interpretation of Sawan 8	59
7.1.1	Petrophysical interpretation of Sawan 8 (Zone 1)	59
7.1.2	Petrophysical interpretation of Sawan 8 (Zone 2)	65
7.1.3	Depositional environment from Sawan 8	70
7.2	Interpretation of Sawan 9	74
7.2.1	Petrophysical interpretation of Sawan 9 (zone 1)	74
7.2.2	Petrophysical interpretation of Sawan 9 (zone 2)	80
7.2.3	Depositional environment from Sawan 9	85
7.3	Correlation	87
CONCLUSIONS		89
RECOMMENDATIONS		91
REFERENCES		92
APPENDICES		95

FIGURES

Figure 1.1.	Pakistan energy consumption in 2011	1
Figure 1.2.	First commercial well of Pakistan, Khaur-1, drilled by Attock oil company in 1915	2
Figure 2.1.	Tectonic plate assemblage through middle Jurassic, approximately 166 Ma to early Cretaceous, approximately 130 Ma.	10
Figure 2.2.	Tectonic plate assemblage through late Cretaceous, approximately 94 Ma to latest Cretaceous, approximately 69 Ma.	11
Figure 2.3.	Tectonic plate assemblage through middle Eocene, approximately 50 Ma to late Oligocene epoch, approximately 27 Ma.	12
Figure 3.1.	Generalized stratigraphy of southern Indus basin	18
Figure 4.1.	Porosity in a rock unit	30
Figure 4.2.	Permeability in a rock	32
Figure 4.3.	Tool used for taking full core	34
Figure 4.4.	Tool used for side wall coring	35
Figure 5.1.	Aims of wireline logging	38
Figure 5.2.	Representation of well log	39
Figure 5.3.	Borehole cross section showing its environment and the fluid invasion profile	44
Figure 6.1.	Petrophysical interpretation work flow	46
Figure 6.2.	M-N Plot for mineral identification	48
Figure 6.3.	Schlumberger Gen-9 cross plot	52
Figure 6.4.	Schlumberger SP-1 cross plot	53
Figure 6.5.	Schlumberger SP-2 cross plot	54
Figure 6.6.	Trends of GR log in different depositional environments	58
Figure 7.1.	GR log curve from 3393-3378, 3378-3360, 3360-3325 meters A, B and C. respectively	71
Figure 7.2.	GR log curve from 3325-3266 and 3266-3240 meters A and B. respectively.....	73

Figure 7.3. GR log curve from 3430-3405 and 3405-3365 meters A and B. respectively.....	85
Figure 7.4. GR log curve from 3365-3346, 3346-3271 and 3271-3240 meters A, B and C. respectively	86
Figure 7.5. Correlation between Sawan 8 and Sawan 9	88

GRAPHS

Graph 7.1.	Depth vs Shale volume of Sawan 8 (zone 1)	60
Graph 7.2.	Depth vs Effective porosity of Sawan 8 (zone 1)	61
Graph 7.3.	Depth vs Water saturation of Sawan 8 (zone 1)	61
Graph 7.4.	Depth vs Hydrocarbon saturation of Sawan 8 (zone 1)	62
Graph 7.5.	Depth vs Saturation of flushed zone of Sawan 8 (zone 1)	62
Graph 7.6.	Depth vs Moveable hydrocarbons of Sawan 8 (zone 1)	63
Graph 7.7.	Depth vs Bulk volume of water (BVW) of Sawan 8 (zone 1)	63
Graph 7.8.	Depth vs Pore volume of hydrocarbon (PVH) of Sawan 8 (zone 1)	64
Graph 7.9.	Depth vs Tixier permeability of Sawan 8 (zone 1)	64
Graph 7.10.	Depth vs Shale volume of Sawan 8 (zone 2)	65
Graph 7.11.	Depth vs Effective porosity of Sawan 8 (zone 2)	66
Graph 7.12.	Depth vs Water saturation of Sawan 8 (zone 2)	66
Graph 7.13.	Depth vs Hydrocarbon saturation of Sawan 8 (zone 2)	67
Graph 7.14.	Depth vs Saturation of flushed zone of Sawan 8 (zone 2)	67
Graph 7.15.	Depth vs Moveable hydrocarbons of Sawan 8 (zone 2)	68
Graph 7.16.	Depth vs Bulk volume of water (BVW) of Sawan 8 (zone 2)	68
Graph 7.17.	Depth vs Pore volume of hydrocarbon (PVH) of Sawan 8 (zone 2)	69
Graph 7.18.	Depth vs Tixier permeability of Sawan 8 (zone 2)	69
Graph 7.19.	Depth vs Shale volume of Sawan 9 (zone 1)	75
Graph 7.20.	Depth vs Effective porosity of Sawan 9 (zone 1)	76
Graph 7.21.	Depth vs Water saturation of Sawan 9 (zone 1)	76
Graph 7.22.	Depth vs Hydrocarbon saturation of Sawan 9 (zone 1)	77

Graph 7.23. Depth vs Saturation of flushed zone of Sawan 9 (zone 1)	77
Graph 7.24. Depth vs Moveable hydrocarbons of Sawan 9 (zone 1)	78
Graph 7.25. Depth vs Bulk volume of water (BVW) of Sawan 9 (zone 1)	78
Graph 7.26. Depth vs Pore volume of hydrocarbon (PVH) of Sawan 9 (zone 1)	79
Graph 7.27. Depth vs Tixier permeability of Sawan 9 (zone 1)	79
Graph 7.28. Depth vs Shale volume of Sawan 9 (zone 2)	80
Graph 7.29. Depth vs Effective porosity of Sawan 9 (zone 2)	81
Graph 7.30. Depth vs Water saturation of Sawan 9 (zone 2)	81
Graph 7.31. Depth vs Hydrocarbon saturation of Sawan 9 (zone 2)	82
Graph 7.32. Depth vs Saturation of flushed zone of Sawan 9 (zone 2)	82
Graph 7.33. Depth vs Moveable hydrocarbons of Sawan 9 (zone 2)	83
Graph 7.34. Depth vs Bulk volume of water (BVW) of Sawan 9 (zone 2)	83
Graph 7.35. Depth vs Pore volume of hydrocarbon (PVH) of Sawan 9 (zone 2)	84
Graph 7.36. Depth vs Tixier permeability of Sawan 9 (zone 2)	84

MAPS

Map 1.1. Location of Sawan gas field	4
Map 1.2. Producing wells in southern Indus basin with Sembar as a source rock	5
Map 2.1. Tectonic setting of northern Pakistan	13
Map 2.2. Division of Indus basin of Pakistan	14
Map 2.3. Units of southern Indus basin	15
Map 2.4. Structural setting of southern Indus basin	17