LEGAL-VALUATION OF ACCOMPLIES' DEPOSITION IN CRIMINAL JURISPRUDENCE AND THE ESSENTIALITY OF INDEPENDENT CORROBORATION



This research project is submitted for partial fulfillment of the degree of LLB.

Supervisor: Madam Malieka Farha Deeba

Group Members

M. Asad Abbas Raja (01-177131-048)

Fakhar Abbas (01-177131-006)

AhmarJarral (01-177131-018)

Date of Submission: 08-12-2017

Department of Law

Bahria University Islamabad

Table of Contents

SUPERVISOR'S APPROVAL	3
DECLARATION OF ETHICAL CONDUCT IN RESEARCH	4
PLAGIARISM REPORT	5
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	6
DEDICATION	7
TABLE OF CASES	8
ABSTRACTS	11
Title for Research Project	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Research Statement	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Introduction	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Literature Review	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Objective of Research	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Research Questions	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Research Methodology	Error! Bookmark not defined.
CHAPTER 1: Difference in between a General Witness and an Accomplic	eeError! Bookmark not
defined.	
1.1 Witness in General:	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.2 Witnesses under Islamic Jurisprudence	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.2.1 Witness under the Pakistani legal system	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.3 Witness under Common Legal System (Credible witness)	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.4 Accomplice	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.4.1 Independent Corroboration	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.4.1.1 Corroboration	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.4.1.2 Independent witness and Accomplice	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.4.2 Accomplice under Indian Law	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.4.3 Accomplice under Pakistan's legal system	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Chapter 2: Rules of Corroboration and the necessity of Independent Corro	boration Error! Bookmark not
defined.	
2.1 How corroboration takes place.	Error! Bookmark not defined.

	2.1.1.1 Corroboration of evidence produced by an accomplice	Error!	Bookmark no	t defined.
	2.1.1.2 Corroboration of accomplice's evidence with Hearsay evidence defined.	e	Error! Boo	kmark not
	2.1.1.3 Recovery and Corroboration	Error!	Bookmark no	t defined.
	2.1.1.4 Material points suggesting link between crime and accused	Error!	Bookmark no	t defined.
	2.1.2 Nature and extent of corroboration	Error!	Bookmark no	t defined.
	2.2 Corroboration of accomplice's evidence under The Police Rules 193 defined.	4	Error! Boo	kmark not
	2.2.1 Procedure of Recording	Error!	Bookmark no	t defined.
2	2.3 Recording of statement under the code of criminal procedure 1898	Error!	Bookmark no	t defined.
	2.3.1 Difference in between statements recorded under section 164 and Procedure 1898.			
	2.3.2 Can an approver be tried again as an accused or Post trial Status.	Error!	Bookmark no	t defined.
2	2.4 Accomplice under the perspective of Islamic Jurisprudence	Error!	Bookmark no	t defined.
2	2.5 Principles of corroboration under Common law	Error!	Bookmark no	t defined.
2	2.6 Pakistani courts and Accomplices and the Test cases	Error!	Bookmark no	t defined.
2	2.7 Quantum of Sentence on an approver's deposition	Error!	Bookmark no	t defined.
2	2.8The Importance & Vitality of Independent Corroboration	Error!	Bookmark no	t defined.
Rec	commendations and Conclusion	Error!	Bookmark no	t defined.
	The term independent corroboration be added in the existing article of the 1984.	-		
	Existing article be brought within the Ambit of Quran and Sunnah as per of Pakistan			
(CONCLUSION	Error!	Bookmark no	t defined.
BIE	BLIOGRAPHY	Error!	Bookmark no	t defined.
A	Articles	Error!	Bookmark no	t defined.
F	BOOKS	Error!	Bookmark no	t defined.
(CASES	Error!	Bookmark no	t defined.
Ι	DICTIONARIES	Error!	Bookmark no	t defined.
F	REPORTERS	Error!	Bookmark no	t defined.
S	STATUTES:	Error!	Bookmark no	t defined.

Research Project for the Degree of LL.B.

SUPERVISOR'S APPROVAL

I hereby, forward this work done by

Muhammad Asad Abbas Raja, Fakhar Abbas, and Ahmar Jarral,

to the Research Committee for final evaluation.

Name of Supervisor: Malieka Farha Deeba Malik

Supervisor's Signature:

8th December, 2017

Department of Law

Bahria University, Islamabad

Research Project for the Degree of LL.B.

DECLARATION OF ETHICAL CONDUCT IN RESEARCH

We, as students of Bahria University, hereby declare that firstly, we have abided by the

research ethics while writing this research project.

Secondly, we have not committed any acts that may discredit or damage the credibility of

our research. These include, but are not limited to: falsification, distortion of research findings,

or plagiarism.

Thirdly, we have subjected this work to plagiarism check before submitting the research

project.

Date: 8th December, 2017

Degree: LL.B.

Department: Law

Name of Supervisor: Maleika Farha Deeba Malik

Name and Signature of Students:

Muhammad Asad Abbas Raja ______,Fakhar Abbas _____, andAhmar

Jarral ______.

4

PLAGIARISM REPORT

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Without Allah's and His beloved Prophet's (ملي الله) help, this could not have been possible.

Alhamdulillah

We express our gratitude to our families, whose unwavering support has been monumental at every step of the way; to our supervisor Madam Maleika Farha Deeba Malik, who has guided and helped us, and without whom, this journey would have been directionless; to our teachers, who have taught us everything we know, and helped us realize the fact that we do not know much; and to our friends our class especially, seniors and most of all juniors who have supported us through thick and thin.

We extend a special note of gratitude to our esteemed teacher of the Code of Criminal Procedure Mr. Justice Ch. Abdul Aziz. We would also like to acknowledge the undeniable contribution of Sir Qaiser Imam, and Sir Haroon Sami, who were always there to haunt our thesis-induced nightmares. It is pertinent to mention that the faults within this research project shall not discredit these enlightened and highly esteemed names, they are solely ours to claim.

We hope and pray that our efforts have produced something worthwhile. (Ameen)

DEDICATION

Dediciated to the ever evolving jurisprudence of Pakistan to which fell prey the finest men including the first ever democratically elected Prime Minister of Pakistan and the first ever democratically elected President of Pakistan who completed his tenure.

TABLE OF CASES

- Govt. Of Balochistan through additional Chief secretary v. AzizullahMemon and others
 (1993) 1 PLD (SC) 341 (PAK.)
- Bhutto v the State (1979) 1 PLD (SC) 741 (PAK.)
- Faisal v The State (2007) 3 PLD (KAR.) 544
- Mst. Rasoolain Bibi v. State (2011) 3 P.L.J (C.R.C) 8 (PAK.)
- Hussain v. The State (1993) 2 SCMR 785
- Zaman v State (1999) 2 (SC) 1852 (PAK.)
- Muhammad Usuf Khan v. Emperor (1929) 16 AIR (Nagpur) 215 (IND.)
- Hassu v The Crown (1969) 2 P.Cr.L.J 1209 (PAK.)
- Haider Hussain and others v Govt. of Pakistan (1991) 2(FSC) 139 (PAK.)
- Lallu v State (2017) PLJ (Cr.C) 84 (PAK.)
- Aurangzeb v State (1999)4 PLJ Cr.C (SAC) 91(PAK.)
- Ahmad v State (1999)1 PLJ(SC) 105 (PAK.)
- United States v. Blankenship 923 F.2d1110, (5th Circuit Justice, DC Cir.) (1991).
- Ali v State (2007) 3 MLD 1771 (PAK.)
- Narayan Chetan Ram ch v. State of Maharashtra (2000)87 AIR (SC) 3352 (IND.)
- Ilyas v The State(2001) 1 PLD (SC) 333 (PAK.)
- Shehzado v State and others(1977) 1 PLJ (SC) 278 (PAK.)
- Ali v The State(1970) 2 (PCrLJ) 1292 (PAK.)
- Rasheed v State (2003) 2 SCMR 799 (PAK.)
- Shehzad v State (2002)2 SCMR 1009 (PAK.)

- Singh v State of Punjab (1953)40 AIR (SC) 364 (IND.)
- Bhiva v state of Maharashtra (1963) 50 AIR (SC) 599 (IND.)
- Mohan v. State of Utar pardesh (1954) 41AIR (SC) 637 (IND.)
- Waheed v State (1995) 3 SCMR 1498 (PAK.)
- Mstshehnaz Bibi v. M.Liaquat (2007) 3 SCMR 1438 (PAK.)
- Masih v State(2002) 1PLD (SC) 643 (PAK.)
- Ghafoor and others v State (1984) 2 PLD(Lah.) 441(PAK.)
- Ali v The state(1967)1 PLD (SC) 545 (PAK.)
- Khaliq v The State(1970) 1 PLD(SC) 166 (PAK.)
- Mushtaq v The State (2005) Part III YLR 1728 (PAK.)
- Darwesh and another v. The State (2014) YLR 2223 (PAK.)
- Zardari v The State (2005)YLR 717 (PAK.)
- Ahmad v The State (2003) 3 MLD 1627 (PAK.)
- Mst. Laiba Anthony v. The State (2010) 2PCrLJ 531 (PAK.)
- Rasheed v The State (1970) 1PCrLJ 722 (PAK.)
- Alam v Riyasat (1983) SCMR 1127 (PAK.)
- R v Baskerville [1916] UKKB 658
- Davies v DPP [1954] UKHL 378.
- Niazi v Mian Muhammad Nawaz Sharif Prime Minister of Pakistan (2017) PLD (SC)
 265 (PAK.)
- State v. Abdus Sattar 1985 (NLR) Cr. 254 (PAK.)
- Shah v. Ashiq Ali Shah etc. (1982) 2 SCMR 1110 (PAK.)
- (2009) SCMR 23 (PAK.)

- (1977) CriLJ 1206 (IND.)
- (1954) I A.E.R 507
- (1950) PLD (Lah) 115 (PAK)
- (1957) AIR (All.) 391 (IND.)
- (1985) 3 PLD (Lah.) 730 (PAK.)
- (1984) NLR (Cr.) 34 (PAK.)
- (1962) PLD(SC) 269 (PAK.)
- (2011) YLR 1811 (PAK.)
- (1956) PLD (FC) 140 (PAK.)
- (1928) AIR (Lah.) 681 (IND.)
- (1923) AIR (Lah.) 389 (IND.)
- (1957) 2 PLD (Lah.) 1023 (PAK.)
- (1959) 2 PLD (Lah.) 115 (PAK)
- (2004) PCrLJ (PAK)
- (1967) 1 PLD (SC) 545 (PAK.)

ABSTRACTS

The following research project is related to the importance of Independent corroboration of evidence with an accomplice's evidence. It is highlight that the Qanoon-e-Shahadat Order 1984 states than an accomplice is a competent witness against the other co-accused and that his testimony cannot be discarded on the ground that there is no independent corroboration to the evidence produced. In the following research different legal regimes have been discussed where independent corroboration is insisted upon. It is also pertinent to mention that under Islamic criminal justice system an evidence put forth by an accomplice is totally reject and in its land mark decision the honorable Federal Shariat Court has not only termed it to be un-Islamic but has also declared it null and void. Hence under different legal regimes and theories it is established that Independent corroboration is not only necessary but if its not there then it will defeated the purpose of justice.