# PETROPHYSICAL ANALYSIS OF KADANWARI WELL NO 10 & 11, MIDDLE INDUS BASIN, PAKISTAN



A thesis submitted to Bahria University, Islamabad in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of BS in geology.

## HABIB UR RAHMAN

## **IRSHAD HUSSAIN**

## SIBTE-E-HASSAN BUTT

# Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences Bahria University, Islamabad

2012

# DEDICATION

"To our parents who have been by our side in every walk of life"

#### ABSTRACT

The main objective of research is to evaluate possible reservoirs in Well # 10 & 11 of Kadanwari gas field, which lies in Middle Indus basin, Pakistan. The said objective has been achieved by the petrophysical characterization of reservoir zones using wireline logs.

The methodology adopted to achieve the goal includes; Quality Check of the data set (complete suite of wireline logs), correlation of curves of different logs to demark the possible reservoir zones, measurement and computation of shale volume using gamma ray log, Resistivity of water using SP log, Porosity measurement by all porosity tools "neutron, Density and Sonic", Resistivity of invaded as well as uninvaded zones for the calculations and derivation of true resistivity and the saturation measurements using Archie's saturation equation.

The results of above mentioned analytical work done answered in positive, the zones marked through correlation of data show very good to fair hydrocarbon potential on the basis of evaluated clay volume, porosity and saturation component.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

(In the Name of Allah, the Most Merciful and Beneficent)

All praises are for Almighty Allah who blessed us with courage to cope with the odds of life and who enabled us to accomplish this project. We owe to the Holly Prophet (P.B.U.H) for the true guidelines of life.

We would like to pay our deepest gratitude to our loving parents, brothers, sisters and family for their prayers, encouragement and support. We are thankful to all of our friends who had provided us with the confidence and courage.

We are very much thankful to all the faculty members of the Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Bahria University for their valuable support and constructive ideas throughout our stay in the department.

We are glad to acknowledge the support and encouragement of our supervisor Mr. Mohsin Munir (OGDCL), PAKISTAN, for his heartiest contribution over the span of time to accomplishment of this project.

We would also pay our heartiest gratitude to Mr. Saqib Mehmood (Senior Lecturer), BAHRIA UNIVERSITY, PAKISTAN.

I would also like to pay regards and thanks from the core of our hearts to Mr. Ahsan Javed Deo, (OGDCL), PAKISTAN, for his encouraging help and guidance to complete this task.

Their needs to pay a bundle of special thanks to those special and true friends, who are around us like air. They have given us a boost in our lives and provided us a launching pad. Thank you so much!

Thank You All!

#### **ABBREVIATIONS**

$d_h$	Borehole diameter
di	Average diameter of invaded zone
Rm	Resistivity of the mud
Rmf	Resistivity of the mud filtrates
Rmc	Resistivity of the mud cake
Rw	Resistivity of the formation water
Rwa	Apparent resistivity of the formation water
Rt	Resistivity of the formation
Rxo	Resistivity of the flushed zone
Rsh	Resistivity of the shale
Sxo	Water saturation in flushed zone or invaded zone
Ri	Resistivity of invaded zone
Vsh	Volume of shale
Rmfeq	Equivalent mud filtrate resistivity
Rweq	Equivalent formation water resistivity
Essp	Estatic spontaneous potential
$\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{w}}$	Saturation of water
$\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{h}}$	Saturation of hydrocarbon
Ø	Porosity
BOEPD	Barrels of oil equivalent per day
CNL	Compensated Neutron Log
PEF	Photo-Electric Factor
LLS	Laterolog Shallow
LLD	Laterolog Deep
MSFL	Microsphericaly Focused Log

SP	Spontaneous Potential
Ec	Electrochemical Potential
(Em)	Shale or Membrane Potential
(Elj)	Liquid Junction Potential
(Ek)	Electro Kinetic Potential
B.H.T	Borehole Temperature
Φav	Average Porosity
Φn	Neutron Porosity
Φden	Density Porosity
Ma	Million Years
Fm	Formation
$\mathrm{GR}_{\mathrm{log}}$	Gamma ray reading of formation
$GR_{log}$ $GR_{min}$	Gamma ray reading of formation Gamma ray minimum
U	
GR <sub>min</sub>	Gamma ray minimum
GR <sub>min</sub> GR <sub>max</sub>	Gamma ray minimum Gamma ray maximum (shale)
$GR_{min}$ $GR_{max}$ $\rho_{ma}$	Gamma ray minimum Gamma ray maximum (shale) Matrix density
$GR_{min}$ $GR_{max}$ $\rho_{ma}$ $\rho_b$	Gamma ray minimum Gamma ray maximum (shale) Matrix density formation bulk density
GR <sub>min</sub> GR <sub>max</sub> ρ <sub>ma</sub> ρ <sub>b</sub> ρ <sub>f</sub>	Gamma ray minimum Gamma ray maximum (shale) Matrix density formation bulk density fluid density
GR <sub>min</sub> GR <sub>max</sub> ρ <sub>ma</sub> ρ <sub>b</sub> ρ <sub>f</sub> F	Gamma ray minimum Gamma ray maximum (shale) Matrix density formation bulk density fluid density formation factor $(a/Ø_A^m)$
GR <sub>min</sub> GR <sub>max</sub> ρ <sub>ma</sub> ρ <sub>b</sub> ρ <sub>f</sub> F A	Gamma ray minimum Gamma ray maximum (shale) Matrix density formation bulk density fluid density formation factor $(a/Ø_A^m)$ turtuosity factor

v

#### CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
ABBREVAITIONS	iv
CONTENTS	vi
FIGURES	х
TABLES	xii
GRAPHS	xiii

#### **CHAPTER 1**

#### **INTRODUCTION**

1.1 General Information	1
1.2 Location and access	2
1.3 Purpose and scope	3
1.3.1 Data set used	3
1.4 Physiography and climate	3

#### CHAPTER 2

### **REGIONAL SETTING**

Regional information	4
Tectonic history and structural styles	5
Stratigraphy & petroleum system	9
Mesozoic	11
Triassic	11
Wulgai Formation	11
Jurassic	11
Shirinab Formation	11
Chiltan Formation	12
Cretaceous	12
Sembar Formation	12
Lower Goru Formation	13
.1 upper Sand Shale And Marl Sequnce	13
.2 Basal Sands (Lower Goru Formation)	14
.3 Talhar Shale (Lower Goru Formation)	14
.4 Massive Sandstone (Lower Goru Formation)	15
Upper Goru Formation	15
	Tectonic history and structural styles Stratigraphy & petroleum system Mesozoic Triassic Wulgai Formation Jurassic Shirinab Formation Chiltan Formation

	Page
2.8 Paleocene	15
2.8.1 Khadro Formation	15
2.8.2 Ranikot Formation	16
2.9 Eocene	16
2.9.1 Laki Formation (Sui Main Limestone and Shale)	16
2.10 Post Eocene	17
2.10.1 Siwalik	17
2.11 Stratigraphy of studied wells	18
2.12 Petroleum system of study area	19
2.12.1 Play type	19
2.12.2 Source rocks	20
2.12.3 Reservoir rocks	21
2.12.4 Seals	21
2.12.5 Traps	21
2.13 Exploration history	22

### **CHAPTER 3**

### WIRELINE LOGGING

3.1 Well logging	23
3.2 Creating well logs	23
3.3 Classification of logging tools	24
3.4 Lithology logs	25
3.4.1 Examples of lithology logs are	25
3.5 Porosity logs	25
3.6 Saturation (Resistivity) logs	26
3.6.1 Example of saturation log	26
3.7 Electrical logs	26
3.8 Spontaneous potential log	26
3.8.1 History	27
3.8.2 Application	27
3.8.3 Theory of measurement	27
3.8.4 Electrochemical component	29
3.8.4.1 Liquid Junction pontentail	29
3.8.4.2 Membrane potential	30
3.9 Resistivity Logs	32
3.9.1 Tools measuring the uninvaded zone (Rt)	32
3.9.2 Tools measuring the invaded zone (Ri)	33

CHAPTER 4	
3.15.3 Long spaced sonic tools	52
3.15.2 Theory of measurement	51
3.15.1 Application	50
3.15 Sonic log	50
3.14.2.1 Density porosity determination	49
3.14.2 Application	47
3.14 Density log	47
3.13.5.2 Quality control of neutron logs	45
3.13.5.1 Compensated neutron log	44
3.13.5.1 Sidewall neutron log	44
3.13.5 Applications of the neutron log	44
3.13.4 Factors dealing with neutron log interpretation	43
3.13.3 Borehole effects on the neutron log	43
3.13.2 Neutron log response	43
3.13.1 Types of neutron detectors	42
3.13 Neutron log	42
3.12.5 Interpretation.	40
3.12.4 Induced gamma rays	39
3.12.3 Naturally occurring gamma rays	39
3.12.2 Theory of measurement	39
3.12.1.2 Natural gamma ray tool (ngt) applications	38
3.12.1.1 Standard gamma ray applications	38
3.12.1 Application	38
3.12 Gamma ray Log	38
3.11.1 Application	35
3.11 Laterolog	34
3.10.1 Application	34
3.10 Induction Logging	33
3.9.3 Tools measuring the flushed zone (Rxo)	33

# PETROPHYSICAL ANALYSIS

4.1 Petrophysical analysis	53
4.2 Raw log curve	53
4.3 Log quality check	54
4.4 Marking of possible potential zones	54
4.5 Borehole environment correction	54

4.6 Volume of shale by using gamma ray log	55
4.7 Porosity	56
4.7.1 Effective porosity	56
4.8 Resistivity of water (Rw)	57
4.9 Ture resistivity (Rt)	58
4.10 Water saturation (Sw)	58
4.11 Saturation of hydrocarbon	59
RESULT	60
DISCUSSION	86
CONCLUSION	87
RECOMMENDATIONS	88
REFERENCES	89
APPENDICES	92

# FIGURES

Figure 1.1 (a)	).Location map of the study area (modified after Nisar Ahmed)	1
Figure 1.1(b).Satellite view of the area understudy with location of wells (10,K-11) (modified after Ahmad. N and Chaudhry. S)		
		2
Figure 1.2.	Road access to the study area.	Z
Figure 2.1.	Tectonic map of Pakistan modified after Maqsood (2003).	4
Figure 2.2.	Middle Jurassic modified from Scotese and others, 1980	7
Figure2.3.	Early Cretaceous (modified from Scotese and others, 1980).	7
Figure2.4.	LateCretaceous (modified from Scotese and others, 1980).	8
Figure 2.5.	Latest Cretaceous (modified from Scotese and others, 1980).	8
Figure 2.6.	Middle Eocene (modified from Scotese and others, 1980).	8
Figure 2.7.	Late oligocene (modified from Scotese and others, 1980).	8
Figure 2.8. Generalized stratigraphy of lower indus Basin (modified from Raza,		aza, et
al, 1990).		9
Figure 2.9.	Depositional sequence/trend of southern Indus basin	10
Figure 3.1.	Logging operation (after "Well logging basics" by "Baker H	lughes
INTEQ" 199	2)	24
Figure 3.2.	SP circuit diagram	28
Figure 3.3.	Liquid junction effect	29
Figure 3.4.	Membrane potential	30
Figure 3.5.	Idealized sp log	31
Figure 3.6.	Ideal resistivity log	37
Figure 3.7.	Idealized gamma ray log	41

Figure 3.8.	Schematic diagram of CNL	45
Figure 3.9.	Idealized neutron log	46
Figure 3.10.	Idealized density log	48
Figure 3.11.	A typical density logging tool	50
Figure 3.12.	Schematic diagram of a sonic log	51
Figure 3.13.	Long space sonic log	52
Figure 4.1.	Work flow chart	53

# TABLES

Table 2.1 Stratigraphy of studied formations	19
Table 4.1 Shows the zone depths of both wells (k-10, k-11)	54
Table 4.2 Shows the interpretation of well no 10	59
Table 4.3 Shows the interpretation of well no 11	60

# Graphs

Graph 4.1. Correlation between depth & shale volume	62
Graph 4.2. Correlation between depth & effective porosity	63
Graph 4.3. Correlation between depth & water saturation	65
Graph 4.4. Correlation between depth & hydrocarbon saturation	66
Graph 4.5. Correlation between depth & shale volume	67
Graph 4.6. Correlation between depth & effective porosity	68
Graph 4.7. Correlation between depth & water saturation	69
Graph 4.8. Correlation between depth & hydrocarbon saturation	70
Graph 4.9. Correlation between depth & shale volume	71
Graph 4.10. Correlation between depth & effective porosity	72
Graph 4.11.Correlation between depth & water saturation	73
Graph 4.12.Correlation between depth & hydrocarbon saturation	74
Graph 4.13.Correlation between depth & shale volume	75
Graph 4.14.Correlation between depth & effective porosity	76
Graph 4.15.Correlation between depth & water saturation	77
Graph 4.16.Correlation between depth & hydrocarbon saturation	78
Graph4.17. Correlation between depth & shale volume	79
Graph 4.18.Correlation between depth & effective porosity	80
Graph 4.19.Correlation between depth & water saturation	81
Graph 4.20.Correlation between depth & hydrocarbon saturation	82
Graph4.21Correlation between depth & shale volume	83
Graph 4.22.Correlation between depth & effective porosity	84
Graph 4.23.Correlation between depth & water saturation	85
Graph 4.24. Correlation between depth & hydrocarbon saturation	86