# A COMPARISON AND EFFECTIVE PENETRATION TESTING APPROACHES WITH NMPREDICTOR BASED ON MACHINE LEARNING



By

## **MUHAMMAD NOMAN KHALID**

A thesis Presented to the Bahria University, Karachi Campus In partial fulfillment of the requirement For the degree of MS (Computer Science)

**SPRING**, 2018

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCES BAHRIA UNIVERSITY

### A COMPARISON AND EFFECTIVE PENETRATION TESTING APPROACHES WITH NMPREDICTOR BASED ON MACHINE LEARNING

By

Muhammad Noman Khalid

A thesis report submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of MS (Computer Science)

Supervisor:

Dr. Humera Farooq

Nationality:

Pakistani

Bahria University Karachi Campus

13, National Stadium Road

Pakistan



Bahria University

#### **MS-13**

#### **Thesis Completion Certificate**

Student's Name:	M.N	oman ki	ALD	Registration No	24120
Programme of Stud	lv:	MS(	(s)		
Thesis Title, A	LOM	PARISON	NO	RENETRATION	TESTING
APPROACHES	AND	A PRO	POSE	D FRAMEWOR	k For web
Vulner bilis	BASE	ED ON M	LACH	WE LEARNING	

It is to certify that the above student's thesis has been completed to my satisfaction and, to my belief, its standard is appropriate for submission for Evaluation. I have also conducted plagiarism test of this thesis using HEC prescribed software and found similarity index at  $\underline{C'L'}$  that is within the permissible limit set by the HEC for the MS/MPhil degree thesis. I have also found the thesis in a format recognized by the BU for the MS/MPhil thesis.

Principal Supervisor's Signature: \_

Date: 19/3/18 Name: DV. Humera Famog/.

#### Acknowledgement

First of all I would like to thank Almighty Allah who give me strength to complete my thesis. Then this study could not have been carried out without the cooperation, constructive advice and inspiration of my supervisor **Dr Humera Farooq**. I am equally grateful to **Sir Iqbal** who not only supported my idea but also gave me wise advises regarding my research. I would also like to thanks my colleague and friends who helped a lot to achieve goal of this research. Last but not the least I am thankful to my parents because their prayers and support are always with me.

## Table of Contents

	2
Acknowledgement	
Table of Contents	3
List of Figures	6
List of Tables	7
List of Tables List of Abbreviations	8
ABSTRACT	9
DITTODUCTION	.10
1.1       Background	10
1.2 Thesis Motivation	• Ý Ť
1.3 Problem Statement	.12
1.4 Research objective	.13
1.5 Contribution	. 13
1.5.1 Study on statistical classification based on existing method and to investigate the optimal method:	15
1.5.2 Proposed method (NMPREDICTOR):	14
1.6 Scope of Research	14
1.7 Thesis Organization	15
Chapter 2	16
Literature Review	
2.1 Classification of web vulnerabilities	16
2.1.1 Improper input validation	17
2.1.1 Improper input validation	18
2112 Olivet aida injection	
2.1.1.2 Chent-side Injection 2.1.1.3 File and path injection vulnerability	
21.2 Improper authentication and authorization (Logic Flaw)	19
a t a t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t	19
O 1 O 1 O 1 o management :	ZU
2.2 Detection of web vulnerabilities	20
<ul> <li>2.1.3.1 Session management.</li> <li>2.2 Detection of web vulnerabilities</li></ul>	20
2.3.1 White Box Testing	20
2.3.2 Black box Testing	21

2.4 Static, Dynamic and Hybrid Analysis	21
	23
2.6 Summary CHAPTER 3	33
PROFADOU NETHODOLOGY AND IMPLEMENTATION	
	33
The second Web Vulnerability	
a c c antino Analyzia	34
and the analysis and data mining for the purpose of 151	noval
3.3.1 Paper 1 P1: Usage of static analysis and data mining for the purpers of the	
3.3.2 Paper 2 P2: Equipping of WAP with WEPONS for the detection of Vulnerabilities (Medeiros, Neves and Correia 2016)	36
3.3.3 Paper 3 P3 Prediction of Vulnerable Components by Metrics VS. Text Min (Walden, Stuckman and scandariato (2014)	37
3.3.4 Paper 4 P4 an Empirical Investigation of Security Vulnerabilities within V Applications (Abunadi and Alenezi, 2016)	veb 38
3.3.5 Paper 5 P5: For the prediction of Vulnerable File combination of Text Fea	atures
3.3.6 Paper 6 P6: Prediction of Cross-Site Scripting (XSS) Security Vulnerabili	ties in
3.4 Proposed Method (NMPREDICTOR):	40
The state of the s	
3.5.1 Dataset Preparation	
	41
3.5.1.1       Dataset D1:         3.5.1.2       Dataset D2:	
3.5.1.3 Dataset D3:	
3.5.2 Pre-processing for Proposed method:	45
3.5.2.1 Unequal Datasets:	45
3.5.2.1 Unequal Datasets 3.5.3 Features Selection	
3.5.5 Result Compilation	
3.5.5.1 Performance Metrics	
3.5.5.2 Confusion Matrix	
3.5.5.3 Accuracy	
3.5.5.4 Precision	
3.5.5.5 Recall	
3.5.5.6 F-Measure	

	5.5.7 Cross-validation	
	Summary	
3.6	4	
Chapter	4	51
Result a	nd Evaluation	
4.1	Chapter Overview	
4.2	Experiment Setup	
4:3	D D1. Method 1	
4.4	Paner P2: Method 2	
4.5	m m 164-12	
4.6	Depor D4: Method 4	
4.7	Paper P5: Method 5	
	Paper P6: Method 6	
4.8	Comparative Analysis (Best Optimal Method)	
4.9	Comparative Analysis (Best Optimal Method)	67
4.10	Evaluation of NMPREDICTOR	
4,11	Summary	
Chapte	5	
Conclu	sion and Future work	
5.1	Chapter Overview	
5.2	Thesis Summary	
	Future Work	
5.3		
REFE	ENCES	

#### ABSTRACT

Vulnerabilities are known to be difficult to detect and prevent, especially in the context of web application. Although a significant research on web application security has been ongoing for a while, these applications have been a major source of problems and their security continues to be challenged. An important part of the problem derives from vulnerable source code of web applications. In order to overcome web vulnerabilities, different penetration tester used variety of techniques such as secure programming, static analysis, dynamic analysis, hybrid analysis and machine learning. Machine learning is consider an approach to prevent web vulnerabilities with a wide range of web applications because it is more preferable and does not have problems of false positive rate.

There are numerous method proposed for detecting web vulnerabilities based on machine learning. It is very difficult to measure, which method is efficient to secure web application. Furthermore, there is lack of study found that targets the comparison of machine-learning method to find out optimal method. However, comparative study is required to understand the path that could be followed by different penetration tester. In this thesis we use six different methods based on machine learning. In order to find optimal method for existing studies, decision were taken on Drupal metrics file with J48 and random forest. We have implemented NMPREDICTOR method with the feature extraction, performance parameters, classifiers with default parameters and 10k cross validation. Training data is passed through J48 and random forest to form a training model on which testing data is predicted and analyzed. Our results state that, to prevent web vulnerabilities VULPREDICTOR shows better results as compared to all others methods. We have found much higher accuracy of NMPREDICTOR method with respect to those reported by existing studies.