

A HYBRID APPROACH FOR EMERGENCY FEEDBACK SYSTEM USING BRAIN COMPUTING INTERFACE



By
Tarwan Kumar Khatri

A thesis
Presented to the Bahria University, Karachi Campus
In partial fulfillment of the requirement
For the degree of MS (Computer Science)
Fall, 2018

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCES
BAHRIA UNIVERSITY

A Hybrid Approach for Emergency Feedback System Using Brain Computing Interface.

By
Tarwan Kumar Khatri

A thesis report submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of
MS (Computer Science)

Supervisor: Dr. Humera Farooq

Nationality: Pakistani

Bahria University Karachi Campus
13, National Stadium Road
Pakistan

Acknowledgement

First of all, I would like to thank Almighty Allah who gave me strength to complete my thesis. Beside this, I would like to express utmost gratitude to my supervisor, **Dr. Humera Farooq** for her guidance, support, and patience throughout the duration of this study. She always encouraged and directed me towards the right course for pursuing my research work, and her suggestions certainly helped me in achieving my goal.

I am thankful to the research committee, DRC, as well as internal and external examiners for giving useful suggestions in general, as well as in particular to this study. At the end, I would like to thank the examiner for taking out the time to go through my thesis diligently. I appreciate all the valuable suggestions.

In the present study, an Inconsistency Feedback system has been developed for stroke patients using EegEO approach which consists of combination of Steady State Visual Evoked Potentials (SSVEPs) and P300 Event Related Potentials (ERPs). In order to affirm the feasibility of System interface, three Healthy participants have been invited for experiments. Further, three LLS Patients have been invited to check the clinical feasibility of Inconsistency Feedback System. All healthy participants and LLS Patients have accepted to provide comments to the Inconsistency Feedback System positively. The average accuracy to perform a single action has been reported as 80% in all healthy participants while the average accuracy to perform a single action has been reported as 55.6% for LLS Patients. beside these, the time taken required to execute a command has been measured 14.8ms for all healthy participants while for the LLS Patients, it has been reported 60ms are required.

Abstract

In the advancement of the technology, humans have been used as a part in brain computing interface field. BCI provides a communication pathway between wired brain and extraneous devices using electroencephalography (EEG) signals. EEG is the signal technique in BCI field which is used to track and record brain patterns from surface of the scalp using different electrode locations which sends the signal to computer to record results. In the BCI research field, Neuro-prosthetics applications are focused primarily, which purposes is to restoring discredited hearing, visual perception and apparent movement of organs. Brain Machine Interface has turned to a great research field that consists of many challenges in neurobiology. Patients in a locked in syndrome (LIS) on account of wicked neurological disorders involve unseamed emergency care by their caregivers or guardians. Nevertheless, it is a very hard job for the guardians to endlessly monitor the patients' state, particularly when there is no possibility of direct communication. There have been existing research studies to enforce P300, Steady State Visual Evoked Potential (SSVEP) and Hybrid speller applications which have not been validated on patients with LIS diseases. Hence, their clinical value has not been validated. They worked on spelling the characters, words, cursor movement, and spelling of numerical values.

In the present study, an Emergency Feedback System has been developed for such patients using Hybrid approach which consists of combination of Steady State Visual Evoked Potential (SSVEP) and P300 Event Related Potentials (ERPs). In order to affirm the usability of System interface, three Healthy participants have been invited for experimentations. Further, three LIS Patients have been invited to check the clinical feasibility of Emergency Feedback System. All the Healthy participants and LIS Patients have succeeded in providing commands to our Emergency Feedback System precisely. The average accuracy to perform a single session has been reported 88.32% for all Healthy participants while the average accuracy to perform a single session has been measured 85.66% for all LIS Patients. Beside these, the average time required to execute a command has been measured 14 bpm for all Healthy participants while for the LIS Patients, it has been reported 6 bpm per command.

Table of Content

Acknowledgement	2
Abstract.....	3
List of Figures	8
List of Tables	10
List of Abbreviations	11
Chapter 01	13
Introduction.....	13
1.1 Background	13
1.2 Problem Statement	17
1.3 Research Objectives	17
1.4 Thesis Contribution.....	18
1.5 Thesis Scope.....	18
1.6 Organization of the Thesis	19
Chapter 02	20
Literature Review.....	20
2.1 Signal technique based on P300 BCI	20
2.1.1 Event Related Potential (ERP).....	22
2.1.2 Oddball Paradigm	22
2.1.3 P3a and P3b.....	22
2.2 Existing Work Based on P300 BCI Systems	23
2.2.1 Limitation of Existing Work Based on P300 BCI Systems	28
2.3 Signal technique based on SSVEP BCI.....	30
2.4 Existing Work Based on SSVEP BCI Systems.....	33
2.4.1 Limitations of Existing Work Based on SSVEP BCI Systems	39
2.5 Signal technique based on Hybrid BCI.....	41
2.5.1 SSVEP-Motor Imagery Hybrid BCI.....	42
2.5.2 P300-SSVEP Hybrid BCI.....	42
2.5.3 P300 and Motor Imagery Hybrid BCI	43
2.5.4 EEG (MI-Based)-NIRS Hybrid BCI.....	43

2.5.5 SSVEP-NIRS Hybrid BCI	43
2.5.6 EEG-EMG Hybrid BCI.....	44
2.5.7 EEG-EOG Hybrid BCI	44
2.6 Existing Work Based on Hybrid BCI Systems	44
2.6.1 Limitation of Existing Work Based on Hybrid BCI Systems	50
2.7 Summary	53
Chapter 03.....	54
Methodology	54
3.1 Designing of Emergency Feedback System Interface.....	54
3.2 Development of Emergency Feedback System Interface	55
3.3 Proposed Approach	59
3.3.1 Signal Acquisition.....	60
3.3.2 Signal Digitization/ Artifacts Removal.....	60
3.3.3 Preprocessing of signals.....	62
3.3.3.1 ICA Mathematical model implementation for Pre-Processing	63
3.3.3.2 Decomposition of the ICA Components	65
3.3.3.3 Removing ICA components.....	65
3.3.4 Feature Extraction.....	68
3.3.5 Classification.....	69
3.3.6 Result compilation	71
3.3.6.1 Accuracy	71
3.3.6.2 Recall	71
3.3.6.3 F-Measure OR F1-Score	72
3.3.6.4 Precision.....	72
3.4 Effectuation of Hybrid Approach in Emergency Feedback System	72
3.5 Preparation of Dataset	74
3.6 Summary	76
Chapter 04.....	77
Results and Evaluations	77
4.1 Experimental Setup	77
4.2 Tools and Libraries used in Experiments.....	80

4.3 Result analysis Using SVM Classifier	81
4.3.1 Result analysis of the Healthy participants	82
4.3.1.1 Result analysis of Healthy Participant H1	83
4.3.1.2 Result analysis of Healthy Participant H2	84
4.3.1.3 Result analysis of Healthy Participant H3	86
4.3.2 Comparison of all Healthy Participants Results.....	87
4.3.2.1 Result comparison in terms of trials.....	87
4.3.3 Result analysis of Patients participants	89
4.3.3.1 Result analysis of LIS Patient P1	89
4.3.3.2 Result analysis of LIS Patient P2	91
4.3.3.3 Result analysis of LIS Patient P3	92
4.3.4 Comparison of all Patient Results	94
4.3.4.1 Result Comparison in terms of Trials	94
4.3.5 Comparative analysis of the Healthy and Patient Participants.....	95
4.3.6 Comparison of Results with Existing Studies Using SVM Model.....	96
4.4 Result analysis based on the BCI Rate (ITR).....	97
4.4.1 Analysis of BCI ITR based on Healthy Participants.....	98
4.4.1.1 Analysis of BCI ITR for Participant H1	98
4.4.1.2 Analysis of BCI ITR for Participant H2	99
4.4.1.3 Analysis of BCI ITR for Participant H3	99
4.4.2 Analysis of BCI ITR based on Patient Participants	100
4.4.2.1 Analysis of BCI ITR for Participant P1	100
4.4.2.2 Analysis of BCI ITR for Participant P2	101
4.4.2.3 Analysis of BCI ITR for Participant P3	102
4.4.3 Comparative Analysis of BCI ITR based on Healthy and Patient	102
4.4.3.1 Analysis Based on Healthy Participants Sessions.....	103
4.4.3.2 Analysis Based on LIS Patients Sessions.....	103
4.4.3.3 Comparative Analysis of BCI ITR Based on Healthy and LIS Patient Sessions	104
4.5 Summary	105
Chapter 05.....	106
Conclusion and Future Directions.....	106

5.1 Thesis Summary	106
5.2 Thesis Limitations	108
5.3 Future Directions.....	109
References.....	110
Appendix A: Participant Consent Form.....	122
Appendix B: Hospital Authority/ (Allowance) Letter	123

- Figure 1. Novel P300 based BCI based on visual selective processing (Liu et al., 2014) 24
- Figure 2. A P300 based BCI paradigm system (Gao et al., 2009) 25
- Figure 3. P300 based BCI based on mismatched negativity (MMN) paradigm (Liu et al., 2015) 26
- Figure 4. A P300 based hierarchical speller paradigm (Liu et al., 2017) 27
- Figure 5. Speller paradigm based on P300 based visual cued selection (Bogdan et al., 2012) 27
- Figure 6. Identification of speller responses from a linear system of two neurons (Adrian & Matthews, 1934) 28
- Figure 7. Vector distances of amplitude and reaction time signs (Adrian & Matthews, 1934) 29
- Figure 8. SSVEP based multi-channel BCI paradigm (Yi, Chen et al., 2014) 30
- Figure 9. A self-paced SSVEP based BCI paradigm (Yi, Chen et al., 2014) 31
- Figure 10. Bremen BCI paradigm structure based on SSVEP (van, 2014) 32
- Figure 11. One-Channel hybrid paradigm based on SSVEP (H.-J. Hwang et al., 2013) 33
- Figure 12. SSVEP based amplitude modulated paradigm structure (Cheng et al., 2014) 34
- Figure 13. Dynamically typed SSVEP based speller paradigm structure (Yi et al., 2013) 35
- Figure 14. SSVEP based BCI paradigm to measure the elicited feasibility (K.-C. Hoang et al., 2017) 36
- Figure 15. Emergency call paradigm structure based on SSVEP (J. W. Lin et al., 2017) 37
- Figure 16. Hybrid BCI sentence - a sequential and b) Simultaneous (Fischerbacher et al., 2013) 38
- Figure 17. Hybrid BCI paradigm structure based on SSVEP (Ko et al., 2016) 39
- Figure 18. Hybrid BCI paradigm structure based on SSVEP (Ko et al., 2016) 40
- Figure 19. Hybrid BCI paradigm structure based on SSVEP (Ko et al., 2016) 41
- Figure 20. Hybrid BCI paradigm structure based on SSVEP (Ko et al., 2016) 42
- Figure 21. Hybrid BCI paradigm structure based on SSVEP (Ko et al., 2016) 43
- Figure 22. Hybrid BCI paradigm structure based on SSVEP (Ko et al., 2016) 44
- Figure 23. Hybrid BCI paradigm structure based on SSVEP (Ko et al., 2016) 45
- Figure 24. Hybrid BCI paradigm structure for Movement control (Ko et al., 2017) 46
- Figure 25. The Emergency Response system interface 47
- Figure 26. A Clinical Application Parallel Framework 48