Modeling Cyber Attacks with Empirical Correlation



Author

Kamran Saeed

01-242171-009

Supervisor:

Dr. Muhammad Najam ul Islam

Co-Supervisor:

Dr. Mureed Hussain

This dissertation is submitted for the degree of MS Computer Engineering

Department of Computer Engineering

Faculty of Engineering Sciences

Bahria University, Islamabad Campus, Pakistan

March, 2019



In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious, the Ever Merciful



MS-13

Thesis Completion Certificate

Student's Name:	<u> Kamran Saeed</u>	Registration No	<u>49954</u>
Programme of St	udy: MS Computer En	gineering	
		cks with Empirical Correlation"	
It is to certify that to my belief, its	t the above student's th standard is appropria	nesis has been completed to my sat ate for submission for Evaluation	isfaction and
		sis using HEC prescribed softwar	
-		ithin the permissible limit set by th	
		nd the thesis in a format recogniz	ed by the Bu
for the MS/MPhil	tnesis.		
Principal Supervi	sor's Signature:		
1 1	<u> </u>	-	
Date:	Name:	Dr. M. NAJAM UL ISLAM	
Co-Supervisor's	Signature:		
Date:	Name:	_Dr. MUREED HUSSAIN	



MS-14A

Author's Declaration

, <u>Kamran Saeed</u>	nereby state that my MS thesis titled
"Modeling Cyber Attacks with	Empirical Correlation"
	een submitted previously by me for taking any
degree from this university country/world.	Bahria University or anywhere else in the
	ound to be incorrect even after my graduation, that to withdraw/cancel my MS degree.
	Author's Signature:
	Name of student: <u>KAMRAN SAEED</u>
	Date:



MS-14B

Plagiarism Undertaking

I, solemnly declare that research work presented in the thesis titled "Modeling Cyber Attacks with Empirical Correlation"

is solely my research work with no significant contribution from any other person.

is solely my research work with no significant contribution from any other person. Small contribution / help wherever taken has been duly acknowledged and that complete thesis has been written by me.

I understand the zero tolerance policy of the HEC and Bahria University towards plagiarism. Therefore I as an Author of the above titled thesis declare that no portion of my thesis has been plagiarized and any material used as reference is properly referred/cited.

I undertake that if I am found guilty of any formal plagiarism in the above titled thesis even after award of MS degree, the university reserves the right to withdraw / revoke my MS degree and that HEC and the University has the right to publish my name on the HEC / University website on which names of students are placed who submitted plagiarized thesis.

Student / Author's Sign:	
Name of the Student:	KAMRAN SAEED

Abstract

Cyber-attacks have been on the rise especially after the explosive widespread of social networking as it gives cyber criminals a way to break into other's computers and manipulate personal and sensitive data. Many different techniques have been used in the past to minimize the occurrences of cyber-attacks. These techniques focused primarily on attack modeling by analyzing the incoming traffic in order to look for both malicious activity and attacker objectives. This research proposes a solution that makes use of the attack tree modeling (ATM) along with the development of a correlation engine that predicts coordinated attacks carried out on network servers. The correlation engine uses network flow features i.e. control information about the transmitting content and correlates them based on the previously learned labeling to see if the content is malicious or not. The correlation engine can predict Distributed Denial of Service (DDOS) and Brute-force attacks. These attack categories have been separately modeled using the highest real-time traffic performance algorithm out of Support Vector Machine (SVM), Gaussian Naive Bayes (GNB) and Random Forest Regression (RFR) techniques. The correlation engine tests real-time data and along with the prediction of attacks, it also updates the stored labeling based on system administrator feedback. Once deployed, the correlation engine can be used in realtime on any network or server to continuously monitor and detect zero-day attacks that undermine the integrity of the network or its data.

Table of Contents

I	J	Introdu	ction	I
	1.1	Cy	ber Attacks	1
	1.2	2 Ty	pes of cyber attacks	2
]	1.2.1	Cyber-attacks based on behavior	2
	1	1.2.2	Cyber-attacks based on medium	3
	1	1.2.3	Most common cyber-attacks	3
	1.3	B Pro	blem statement	6
	1.4	l Mo	otivation	7
	1.5	5 The	esis Structure	8
	1.6	5 Ma	jor Contributions	8
2	I	Literatu	re Review	9
	2.1	Ap	proaches of correlation	15
	2	2.1.1	Similarities of Alert Correlation	15
	2	2.1.2	Prerequisites and Consequences of Attacks	16
	2	2.1.3	Predefined Attack Scenario.	16
	2	2.1.4	Expert Systems and Data Mining	16
	2.2	2 Lit	erature Synthesis for correlation techniques	17
3	I	Propose	ed Methodology	22
	3.1	Ma	chine Learning Algorithms	23
	3	3.1.1	Support Vector Machine	23
	3	3.1.2	Gaussian Naïve Bayes	24
	3	3.1.3	Random Forest Regression	24
4]	Implem	entation	27
	4.1	Att	ack Tree	27
	۷	4.1.1	Data Leakage	27
	2	4.1.2	Data Modification	28

	4.1	.3	Data Theft	29
	4.1.4		System attacks	29
۷	4.2	Coı	rrelation Engine	31
	4.3	Coı	rrelation Engine Architecture	32
	4.3	.1	Model Training	32
2	4.3	.2	Real-time Attack Prediction	33
	4.3	.3	Self-learning Mode	34
	4.4	Dat	taset Features	38
	4.4	.1	User Datagram Protocol (UDP) Flooding	38
	4.4	.2	Transmission Control Protocol (TCP) Flooding	39
	4.4	.3	Internet Control Message Protocol (ICMP) Flooding	40
	4.4	.4	Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP) Flooding	40
	4.5	Bru	ate-force and Password Cracking Attack	41
5	Res	sults	and Analysis	43
	5.1	Aco	curacy	43
	5.2	Coı	nfusion Matrix	44
	5.3	Cho	oice of Learning Techniques	45
	5.3	.1	User Datagram Protocol (UDP) flooding	45
	5.3	.2	Transmission Control Protocol (TCP) flooding	47
	5.3	.3	Internet Control Message Protocol (ICMP) flooding	49
	5.3	.4	Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP) flooding	51
5	5.3	.5	Brute-force and Password Cracking Attacks	56
	5.4	Rea	al-time Normal Traffic Testing	59
	5.4	.1	Comparison of TCP Models	60
	5.4	.2	Comparison of UDP Model	61
	5.4	.3	Comparison of ICMP Model	62
	5.4	.4	Comparison of HTTP Model	63

-	5.5 Re	eal-time Attack Testing	64
	5.5.1	Comparison of TCP Model	65
	5.5.2	Comparison of UDP Model	66
	5.5.3	Comparison of ICMP Model	68
	5.5.4	Comparison of HTTP Model	69
4	5.6 Ov	verall Real-time Traffic Testing	71
	5.6.1	Comparison of TCP Model	71
	5.6.2	Comparison of UDP Model	72
	5.6.3	Comparison of ICMP Model	73
	5.6.4	Comparison of HTTP Model	74
4	5.7 Di	scussion	75
5	Conclu	sion	80
7	Future works82		
3	Referer	nces	83