# BIOSTRATIGHRAPHIC AND MECHANICAL ANALYSIS OF KOHAT FORMATION KARRAT HILLS,KARAK, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PAKISTAN



By

## ABDUL QAHAR SHAHZAD MUHAMMAD NAEEM KHAN SHAHAB UDDIN

Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences Bahria University, Islamabad

# BIOSTRATIGHRAPHIC AND MECHANICAL ANALYSIS OF KOHAT FORMATION KARRAT HILLS, KARAK, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PAKISTAN



A thesis submitted to Bahria University, Islamabad in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of B.S in Geology

## ABDUL QAHAR SHAHZAD MUHAMMAD NAEEM KHAN SHAHAB UDDIN

Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences Bahria University, Islamabad

2015

**DEDICATION** 

This dissertation is dedicated to our beloved Parents with whom support and unceasing encouragement this task has been completed.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

It is great satisfaction and we are grateful to **Allah Almighty** who is always with us and gave us the courage to complete this thesis successfully. We are extremely thankful to our **HolyProphet Muhammad** (S. A. W), for being a perpetual source of guidance for us in all aspects of life.

Sincere gratitude to our supervisor,Mr.Mumtaz Ali khan and co-supervisor, Ms. Irum Khan (Lecturer, Department of earth and environmental sciences, Bahriauniversity, Islamabad) who were very kind and helpful to us at every moment of this study carried and their enlightened supervision were so cooperative. Through their guidance and kind advice we have completed this task successfully.

Thanks to Mr. Khalid Latif, Research Associate, NCE in Geology, University of Peshawar and Mr. Hafiz ShahidHussainYousafzai, Research Assistant, NCE in Geology, University of Peshawar for their help and guidance in completing this research. Thanks are extended to Prof. Dr. M. Tahir Shah for allowing us to carry out our research at sedimentology laboratory, NCE in Geology, University of Peshawar.

Sincere thanks to Head of Department Prof. Dr. M. Zafar for his support and enlightened vision.

Words are lacking but we also pay thanks to our parents heartily whose unceasing orisons became the ultimate source and success in our life and without which the present destination would have been a mere dream.

#### **ABSTRACT**

The Eocene age Kohat Formation exposed in karat hills, district Karak was studied to analyze its detailed biostratigraphy and mechanical properties on the basis of fossils assemblages and laboratory work. The studied formation is mainly composed of limestone and laminated shale with thin calcareous fossiliferous beds. The studied formation records a thickness of only 20-40 meters. The Kohat Formation

lies conformably over Kuldana Formation and upper contact is unconformable with the Kamlial Formation of the Rawalpindi group that marks unconformity. On the basis of microscopic study of the thin sections different type of fossils and their species were recognized to construct biostratigraphy of the Kohat Formation. The idntified fossils includes; Nummulitessubirregularis, Nummulitesglobulus, Nummulitesatacicus and Nummulitesmammillatus, Assilinaexponens and Assilinagranulosa, Alveolinaster cusmeris and Alveolinaelliptica with dispersed distribution of Gastropods and Bioclasts. The idnetified fossils suggest that the Kohat Formation is a carbonate sequence containing diagnostic larger foraminiferas that confirm the Middle Eocene age. The Formation represents shallow presence deposits because of the of larger foraminifera's i-e Nummulites, Alveolina and Assilina. The mechanical tests carried out suggest that the limestone of the kohat Formation can be preferably used for light constructions like small bridges, small dams and other small construction projects.

### CONTENT

### Page

Serial		
	DEDICATION	i
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ii
	ABSTRACT	iii
	CHAPTER 1	1
	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	General Statement	1
1.2	Location and Accessibility	1
1.2.1	Geography of the Area	1
1.3	Tectonic setting of the area	1
1.4	Economic importance of the area	4
1.4.1	Hydrocarbon	4
1.4.2	Salt	4
1.4.3	Gypsum	5
1.5	Historical review	5
1.6	Aims and Objectives	6
1.7	Methodology	6
1.7.1	Field work	6
1.7.2	Laboratory work	6
1.7.3	Data compilation	7
	CHAPTER 2	9
	GENERAL STRATIGRAPHY OF AREA	9
2.1	EOCENE SUCCESSION	9
2.1.1	Bahaderkhel Salt	9
2.1.2	Jatta Gypsum	9
2.1.3	Kuldana Formation	10
2.1.4	Kohat Formation	10
2.2	MIOCENE SUCCESSION	11
2.2.1	Kamlial Formation	11

2.3	PLIOCENE SUCCESSION	11
2.3.1	Nagri Formation	11
	CHAPTER 3	13
	BIOSTRATIGHRAPHY	13
3.1	INTRODUCTION	13
3.2	Biostratigraphy and Sediments types	13
3.3	Biostratigraphy of Kohat Limestone	14
3.3.1	Nummulite	14
3.3.1.1	Observed species of Nummulites in Kohat Limestone	15
3.3.1.1.1	Nummulitesatacicus (Leymerie, 1846)	15
3.3.1.1.2	Nummulitesmammillatus (Fichtel and Moll,1798)	16
3.3.1.1.3	Nummulites globulus (Leymerie, 1846)	16
3.3.1.1.4	Nummulitessubirregularis (De la Harpe, 1883)	16
3.3.2	Assilina	16
3.3.2.1	Specie of Assilina identified in KohatLimestone	16
3.3.2.1.1	Assilinagranulosa(d'Archiac, 1847)	16
3.3.2.1.2	Assilinaexponens (Sowerby)	17
3.3.3	Alveolina	17
3.3.3.1	Specie of Alveolina identified in KohatLimestone	17
3.3.3.1.1	Alveolinaelliptica(Sowerby, 1840)	17
3.3.3.1.2	Alveolinastercusmeris (Mayer-Eymar, 1886)	17
3.3.4	Gastropods and Bioclasts	17
	CHAPTER 4	27
	MECHANICAL PROPERTIES	27
4.1	INTRODUCTION	27
4.1.1	Scope and importance of present work	28
4.2	Laboratory work	28
4.2.1	Strength tsests	28
4.2.2	Unconfined Compressive Strength Test (UCS)	28
4.2.3	Unconfined Tensile Strength Test (UTS)	29
4.2.4	Shear Strength	30

4.3	Values of Compressive, Tensile and Shear Strength of the Studied	31
	Samples	
4.3.1	Analysis	34
4.4	Specific Gravity	35
4.5	Water Absorption	37
4.5.1	Comparison among Values of UCS, Specific Gravity & Water	38
	Absorption	

### **TABLES**

PAGE Table 3.1. Scientific classification of nummulites.
15
Table 4.1. Details of the UCS testing of studied sample.
32
Table 4.2. Details of the UTS testing of studied samples.
33
Table 4.3. Details of the UCS, UTS, Cohesion and Angle of
internal friction 33
values of studied samples of Kohat limestone.
Table 4.4. Material grading based on Unconfined Compressive
Strength. 34
Table 4.5. Dry density and porosity values.
36
Table 4.6. Details of specific gravity test on the studied samples.
36
Table 4.7. Details of Water Absorption test on the studied
samples. 37
Table 4.8. Comparison of UCS, Specific gravity and Water
Absorption. 38
CONCLUSIONS 44
REFRENCES 45