IMPACT OF TECHNOLOGICAL ADVANCEMENTS ON SUPPLY CHAIN (SCOR MODEL): A CASE OF UNILEVER PAKISTAN

By

MUNEEB AIJAZ 22880

A thesis presented to the Department of Management Sciences, Bahria University Karachi Campus, in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the MBA degree



SPRING, 2018

Bahria University Karachi Campus



MBA Thesis

2ND HALF-SEMESTER PROGRESS REPORT &

| Name of Student | Muneeb Aijaz |
|------------------|---|
| Registration No. | 22880 |
| Thesis Title | Impact of Technological Advancement on Supply Chain (SCOR model): A case of Unilever Pakistan |

Supervisor-Student Meeting Record

| No. | Date | Place of Meeting | Topic Discussed | Signature of Student |
|-----|----------|---------------------|--|-------------------------|
| 5 | 11-03-18 | Cafeteria | Discussion on Questionnaires and data collection | 12 med |
| 6 | 16-04-18 | Room: Q205 | Running of SPSS test and analysis of its results | March |
| 7 | 23-04-18 | Café Bistrovia | Practical Implications and Critical Debate | Munch |

| | APPROVAL FOR EXAMIN | IATION |
|---|--|---|
| Candidate's Name: | Muneeb Aijaz | Registration No. 22880 |
| Thesis Title: Impact of Technology | ogical Advancement on Supply Chain (SC | COR model): A case of Unilever Pakistan |
| standard is appropriate for suusing HEC prescribed software | ubmission for examination. I have a are and found similarity index ati esis. I have also found the thesis in | eted to my satisfaction and, to my belief, its also conducted plagiarism test of this thesis 15% that is within the permissible limit set a format recognized by the Department of Date: 7/7/2018 |

Abstract

Purpose- The sole purpose of this research was to identify the impact of technological advancement on entire supply chain SCOR model. Different literature reviews done in this research emphasized on the fact that the use of technology in any driver of supply chain increases its performance efficiency and reduces cost. In this research focus was on FMCG sector and further it was narrowed down to the largest FMCG firm Unilever Pakistan.

Methodology/sample- This research was of quantitative in nature and data was collected through close ended questionnaires distributed in various Unilever Pakistan factories. The sample size was set to 100 and data was collected from entire sample for analysis. Descriptive analysis, reliability test and multiple regression tests were run by using SPSS tools for study.

Findings- Our results showed that there is positive and significant relation between technology and drivers of SCOR model.

Practical Implications- IT eliminates the organizational fat which is wasting the time and speedup the processes thus same practices should be implemented across the industry for the growth of FMCGs. The benefits which are gained with the usage of IT in FMCGs are lead time reduction, speed to market, efficiency enhancement, fat reduction, maximum resources utilization, wastage reduction etc. Therefore, it's recommended to use the same practices across all other industries in Pakistan to get maximum benefit of advanced technology.

Keywords: SCOR model, information technology, business practices, integrated supply chain, excellence in SCM, FMCGs

Contents

| CHAPTE | ER 1 | 1 |
|----------|--|----|
| INTROD | UCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 | Introduction | 1 |
| 1.2 | Background | 3 |
| 1.3 | Problem Statement | 6 |
| 1.4 | Research Objectives | 7 |
| 1.5 | Significance of the Study | 7 |
| 1.6 | Scope of the Research | 7 |
| 1.7 | Organization of the Thesis | |
| СНАРТЕ | ER 2 | 9 |
| LITERA' | TURE REVIEW | 9 |
| 2.1 | Information Technology (Business Practices and Integrated SCM) | 9 |
| 2.2 | Plan | 11 |
| 2.3 | Source | |
| 2.4 | Make | 12 |
| 2.5 | Deliver | 13 |
| 2.6 | Return | 13 |
| 2.7 | Summary of Literature Review | 15 |
| 2.8 | Research Hypotheses | |
| 2.9 | Conceptual Framework | 18 |
| СНАРТЕ | ER 3 | 19 |
| Research | Methodology | 19 |
| 3.1 | Research Approach & Type | 19 |
| 3.2 | Research Design | 19 |
| 3.3 | Research Population | 20 |
| 3.4 | Sample Size & Sampling Technique | 20 |
| 3.5 | Research Instrument | 21 |
| 3.6 | Data Analyses Method | 22 |
| СНАРТЕ | ER 4 | 23 |

| Results | | 23 |
|-----------|-------------------------------|----|
| 4.1 | Descriptive Analyses | 23 |
| 4.2 | Reliability Analyses | |
| 4.3 | Hypothesis Testing | 24 |
| 4.3.1 | Hypothesis 1 | 25 |
| 4.3.2 | 2 Hypothesis 2 | 27 |
| 4.3.3 | 3 Hypothesis 3 | 30 |
| 4.3.4 | 4 Hypothesis 4 | 32 |
| 4.3.5 | 5 Hypothesis 5 | 34 |
| 4.4 | Summary of Hypotheses Testing | 37 |
| СНАРТЕ | ER 5 | 38 |
| Discussio | on | 38 |
| 5.1 | Discussion | 38 |
| 5.1.1 | Hypothesis I Discussion | 38 |
| 5.1.2 | 2 Hypothesis 2 Discussion | 39 |
| 5.1.3 | B Hypothesis 3 Discussion | 39 |
| 5.1.4 | Hypothesis 4 Discussion | 39 |
| 5.1.5 | 5 Hypothesis 5 Discussion | 40 |
| CHAPTE | ER 6 | 41 |
| Recomm | endations and Conclusion | 41 |
| 6.1 | Recommendations | 41 |
| 6.2 | Limitations of the Research | 41 |
| 6.3 | Future Research | 42 |
| 6.4 | Conclusion | 42 |
| Reference | es | 44 |
| APPENI | DIX | 49 |