ENGINEEERING PROPERTIES OF MATERIAL BEING USED FOR ROAD CONSTRUCTION AT BAHRIA TOWN PHASE 8 RAWALPINDI, PAKISTAN



A thesis submitted to Bahria University, Islamabad in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of B.S in Geology

GHULAM RASOOL BUGTI SAQIB JAVED ABDUL SHARIQ

Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences Bahria University, Islamabad

2016

ABSTRACT

The study area is part of Potwar Basin and comprises thick deposits of recent Potwar clay. The study objectives consist of evaluation of asphalt, soil's index, and engineering properties as subgrade materials is purposed for Bahria Town phase 8 in district Rawalpindi. During field investigation different test pits were excavated as per project's specification along the purposed road alignment. In-situ compaction was determined by using Nuclear method and sand-cone method (ASTM D1556) which ranges from to 90-100 %. The grain friction analysis reveals that the gravel varies from 1 to 54 %, sand varies from 4 to 57% and silt/clays friction ranges from 33 to 92 %. The Atterberg limits data reveals that the Liquid Limit varies from 23.1 to 30.5 %, Plastic Limit 18.3 to 18.9 % and Group index varies is zero. The soil in the study area was classified as A-4 using AASHTO M 145 soil classification. The Californian Bearing Ratio at 1mm" penetration ranges from 4% to 9%. Based upon AASHTO soil classification and Central Laboratory of Bahria Town road specification, the soil of area is not recommended for purposed road subgrade.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are very thankful to our supervisor Mr. Mumtaz Ali khan, Lecturer, Earth and Environmental Sciences Bahria University for his utmost support and guidance throughout this research project.

We also extend our thanks to the staff of Central Testing Laboratory Bahria Town for their help in conducting different material tests.

We are thankful to our parents for their financial and moral support support we needed to complete this task and our degree program.

.

CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	i
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	ii
FIGURES	vi
TABLES	vii
GRAPHS	viii

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Location and Accessibility	2
1.3	Purpose of project	3
1.4	Methodology	3

CHAPTER 2

STRATIGRAPHY AND TECTONIC OF PAKISTAN

2.1	Tectonic Settings	4
2.1.1	Karakorum Block	5
2.1.2	Main Karakorum thrust	5
2.1.3	Kohistan island arc	5
2.1.4	Main mantle thrust	6
2.1.5	Northern deformed fold and thrust belt	6
2.1.6	The Main Boundary Thrust	6
2.1.7	The Southern Deformed Fold and Thrust Belt	7
2.1.8	The Punjab Fore deep	7
2.2	Local Geology Islamabad/Rawalpindi	8
2.2.1	Stratigraphy	9
2.2.1.1	Murree Formation	9
2.2.1.2	Kamlial Formation	9

CHAPTER 3

ENGINEERING GEOLOGY

3.1	Scope of engineering geology	11
3.2	Engineering aspects of study Area	13
3.3	AASHTO Standards	15

CHAPTER 4

METHODOLOGY AND RESULTS

4.1	Gradation (Sieve analysis) for soil	19
4.1.1	Needs and scope	19
4.1.2	Required equipment	19
4.1.3	Test Procedure	20
4.1.4	Gradation Test Formulae	21
4.1.5	Precaution	21
4.2	Sand cone method	22
4.2.1	Apparatus	22
4.2.2	Procedure	23
4.2.3	Calculations and formulas	24
4.3	Nuclear method	26
4.3.1	Procedure	26
4.3.2	Results	26
4.3.3	Plasticity Index (P.I.)	27
4.3.4	Group Index	27
4.4	Liquid Limit Test Determination	27
4.4.1	Apparatus	27
4.4.2	Procedure of Test Sample	28
4.4.3	Precaution	29
4.5	Plastic Limit Determination	29
4.5.1	Apparatus	29
4.5.2	Procedure	30
4.5.3	Precaution	30
4.6	Modified Proctor Test	32

4.6.1	Apparatus	32
4.6.2	Procedure	32
4.6.3	Modified Proctor Test Formulas	33
4.6.4	Precautions	33
4.7	CBR (California Bearing Ratio)	35
4.7.1	Definition	35
4.7.2	Apparatus	35
4.7.3	Procedure	36

CHAPTER 5

AGGREGATE ANALYSIS

5.1	Sand equivalent test	41
5.1.1	Apparatus	41
5.1.2	Procedure	41
5.1.3	Sand equivalent formulas	42
5.1.4	Precautions	42
5.2	Los Angeles abrasion test	44
5.2.1	Apparatus	44
5.2.2	Procedure	45
5.2.3	Los Angeles Abrasion Test calculation	45

CHAPTER 6

ASPHALT TEST

6.1	Bitumen Penetration	46
6.1.1	Apparatus	46
6.1.2	Procedure	47
6.1.3	Results	47
6.2	Temperature test	47
6.2.2	Apparatus	47
6.2.3	Results	48
6.3	Bitumen Extraction test	48

REFRENCES		54
CONCLUSIONS RECOMMENDATION		53
		6.3.3
6.3.2	Procedure	49
6.3.1	Apparatus	48

FIGURES

Figure 1.1.	Map of the study area.	2
Figure 1.2.	Sampling and field density test.	3
Figure 2.1.	Tectonic setup of northern Pakistan.	8
Figure 4.1.	Quartering box used for mixing the collected sample to	20
	Obtained qualified result.	
Figure 4.2.	Sand cone apparatus.	23
Figure 4.3.	Nuclear density meter.	26
Figure 4.4.	Apparatus for determination of liquid limit and plastic limit.	28
Figure 4.5.	Method of rolling thread on glass plate.	29
Figure 4.6.	CBR test machine.	37
Figure 5.1.	Apparatus use in sand equivalent test.	42
Figure 5.2.	Loss Angeles Abrasion Machine.	44
Figure 6.1.	Penetrometer.	46
Figure 6.2.	Temperature gauge.	48
Figure 6.3.	Centrifuge Extraction.	49

TABELS

		Page
Table 2.1.	Stratigraphic column.	10
Table 3.1.	Classes of material for each layer according to AASHTO standard.	15
Table 3.2.	Specified test value for sub – base material.	16
Table 3.3.	Gradation of coarse Aggregate through sieve analysis.	16
Table 3.4.	Classes of material for each layer.	17
Table 3.5.	Gradation analysis of fine Aggregates.	17
Table 3.6.	Gradation requirements of Sub-Base material.	18
Table 4.1.	Result obtained from sub base gradation.	20
Table 4.2.	Result of 3/4 Aggregate.	21
Table 4.3.	Result of 3/8 Aggregate.	22
Table 4.4.	Results of sand cone method (moisture content).	24
Table 4.5.	Results of sand cone method (field density).	25
Table 4.6.	Result of Consistency limit.	30
Table 4.7.	Result of plastic limit, liquid limit and plastic index.	31
Table 4.8.	Sieve analysis value used for plastic, liquid limit.	31
Table 4.9.	Results maximum moisture content for proctor test.	33
Table 4.10.	Results maximum dry density for proctor test.	34
Table 4.11.	Standard load Value.	37
Table 4.12.	CBR Results.	37

Table 4.13.	Result of dry density.	38
Table 4.14.	Result of moisture content.	38
Table 4.15.	Density C.B.R values for mould 1.	39
Table 4.16.	Density C.B.R values for mould 2.	39
Table 4.17.	Density C.B.R values for mould 3.	40
Table 5.1.	Result of Sand equivalent for Aggregate.	43
Table 5.2.	Result of Sand equivalent for Aggregate base coarse.	43
Table 5.3.	Results of Los Angeles.	45
Table 6.1.	Results of Bitumen penetration test.	47
Table 6.2.	Results of Asphalt Temperature test.	48
Table 6.3.	Results of bitumen extraction.	50

GRAPHS

Graph 4.1.	Liquid limit value	Page 31
Graph 4.2.	Results of Proctor	34
Graph 4.3.	Result of CBR Curve Mould 1,2,3,	40
Graph 6.1.	Asphalt wearing course analysis curve	50