STRUCTURAL INTERPRETATION, FORMATION AND PORE PRESSURE ANALYSIS USING WELL LOGS OF JOYAMAIR AREA, UPPER INDUS BASIN, PAKISTAN



A thesis submitted to Bahria University, Islamabad in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of MS in Geology

By

OMAIR ASHFAQ

Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences Bahria University, Islamabad

2016

ABSTRACT

The Joyamair Oilfield lies in the south-southeast of the Salt Range-Potwar foreland basin (SRPFB). It is the result of Tertiary Himalayan collision between the Indian and Eurasian plates and characterized by number of reverse and thrust faults which results in formation of different hydrocarbon traps. The main objective of research was to reveal the structure of the area and hydrocarbon prospect with the help of available 2D seismic data. Interpretation of seismic data and generation of TWT maps and depth contour maps show a triangle zone in the area bounded by two major faults. It is concluded on the basis of study that the Joyamair structure is the combination of thrust and back-thrust, forming a triangle zone at subsurface. The triangle zone is the result of two phases of Himalayan thrusting. Petroleum play of the area shows that Patala Formation of Paleocene age act as a source rock while Chorgali and Sakesar Formation of Eocene age are two major reservoirs in the area while Muree Formation of Miocene age is acting as a seal. Petrophysical evaluation for Joyamair-01 and Joyamair-04 is carried out which shows more water saturation than hydrocarbon saturation. Pore pressure prediction is carried out for Joyamair-04 which is helpful in understanding subsurface pressure conditions and it can be further used for safe drilling and helps in correct identification of mud weight window. Study done for pore pressure prediction for Joyamair-04 show area of high pressure zone due to the compressional tectonic regime of the area so for safe drilling the mud weight should be kept high.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Head of Department Professor Dr. Tahseenullah Khan for his guidance, support and encouragement during whole education in the university. I owe a debt of gratitude to my supervisor and mentor, Muhammad Fahad Mehmood who has offered unlimited support, encouragement and friendship not during this thesis, but through the past two years.

I would like to thanks all those teachers who taught me throughout six years of my study in this university. From whom I have learnt a lot.

Special thanks to my seniors Waqar Ahmed and Irfan Muhammad who have been with me for past several years.

Last but not the least, I would like to thanks and appreciate all those who helped me in understanding geophysics in my early days and throughout these years of my master's program, after all it wasn't just about the destination, it was all about the journey of learning geology. Switching field from geophysics to geology wasn't easy but it was worth it.

Last, and most importantly, I wish to thank my parents. They raised me, supported me, taught me, loved me and most importantly they believed in me. To them I dedicate this thesis.

CONTENTS

	page
ABSTRACT	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ii
CONTENTS	iii
FIGURES	vi
TABLES	vii

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1	Introduction to the study area	1
1.2	Location of the study area	1
1.3	Objectives of the research work	1
1.4	Available data	2
1.4.1	Seismic lines	2
1.4.2	Well data	3
1.5	Methodology of research	4

CHAPTER 2

GEOLOGY AND TECTONICS

2.1	General geology	5
2.2	Potwar plateau	5
2.2.1	Kalabagh fault	8
2.2.2	Jhelum fault	8
2.2.3	Salt range thrust	8
2.2.4	Main boundary thrust	8

CHAPTER 3

STRATIGRAPHY AND PETROLEUM PLAY

3.1	Formations encountered in study area	9
3.1.1	Khewra Sandstone	9
3.1.2	Tobra Formation	11
3.1.3	Dandot Formation	11
3.1.4	Warchha Sandstone	11
3.1.5	Lockhart Limestone	11
3.1.6	Patala Formation	11
3.1.7	Sakesar Limestone	11
3.1.8	Chorgali Formation	12
3.1.9	Murree Formation	12
3.1.10	Kamlial Formation	12
3.1.11	Chinji Formation	12
3.1.12	Nagri Formation	12
3.2	Petroleum play	13
3.2.1	Hydrocarbon potential	13
3.2.2	Source rock	13
3.2.3	Reservoir rock	13
3.2.4	Cap rock	13
3.2.5	Trap	14

CHAPTER 4

SEISMIC INTERPRETATION

4.1	Introduction	15
4.2	Seismic interpretation approaches	15
4.2.1	Stratigraphic analysis	15
4.2.2	Structural analysis	16
4.3	Flowchart for seismic interpretation	16
4.4	Selection of control line	17

4.5	Marking of reflectors	17
4.6	Correlation of reflectors	18
4.7	Fault Marking	18
4.8	Time Picking and TWT Contour Map	34
4.8.1	TWT contour map of Chorgali Formation	35
4.8.2	TWT contour map of Sakesar Formation	37
4.9	Depth contour map	38
4.9.1	Depth contour map of Chorgali Formation	39
4.9.2	Depth contour map of Sakesar Formation	41

CHAPTER 5

PORE PRESSURE ANALYSIS

5.1	Introduction	43
5.2	Well based pore pressure predictions	43
5.3	Pore pressure predictions for Joyamir-04 well	45

CHAPTER 6

PETROPHYSICAL EVALUATION AND RESERVES ESTIMATION

6.1	Flowchart for petrophysical interpretation	51
6.2	Methodology	52
6.3	Petrophysical interpretation of Sakesar Formation in Joyamir-04	55
6.4	Correlation between petrophysical results and pore pressure analysis	58
6.5	Reserve estimation	60
6.5.1	Volumetric analysis	60
RESULTS A	ND DISCUSSION	63
CONCLUSI	ONS	64
RECOMMENDATIONS		65
REFERENCES		66

FIGURES

page

Figure 1.1	Location map of study area.	2
Figure 1.2	Base map of study area	3
Figure 2.1	Geology and tectonics of the study area.	7
Figure 3.1	Stratigraphic map and petroleum play of Upper Indus Basin.	10
Figure 4.1	Non-interpreted seismic section SPOL-93-MN-08.	19
Figure 4.2	Interpreted seismic section SPOL-93-MN-08.	20
Figure 4.3	Non-interpreted seismic section SPOL-93-MN-10.	22
Figure 4.4	Interpreted seismic section SPOL-93-MN-10.	23
Figure 4.5	Non-interpreted seismic section SPOL-93-MN-11.	24
Figure 4.6	Interpreted seismic section SPOL-93-MN-11.	25
Figure 4.7	Non-interpreted seismic section SPOL-93-MN-04.	26
Figure 4.8	Interpreted seismic section SPOL-93-MN-04.	27
Figure 4.9	Non-interpreted seismic section SPOL-93-MN-05.	28
Figure 4.10	Interpreted seismic section SPOL-93-MN-05.	29
Figure 4.11	Non-interpreted seismic section SPOL-93-MN-06.	30
Figure 4.12	Interpreted seismic section SPOL-93-MN-06.	31
Figure 4.13	Non-interpreted seismic section SPOL-93-MN-09.	32
Figure 4.14	Interpreted seismic section SPOL-93-MN-09.	33
Figure 4.15	Time contour map of Chorgali Formation	35
Figure 4.16	Time contour map of Sakesar Limestone	37
Figure 4.17	Depth contour map of Chorgali Formation	39
Figure 4.18	Depth contour map of Sakesar Limestone	41
Figure 5.1	Shale base line	45
Figure 5.2	Shale points with normal compaction trend line	46

Figure 5.3	Density from Gardner's sonic	47
Figure 5.4	Pore pressure with hydrostatic pressure	48
Figure 5.5	Pore pressure with fracture gradient	49
Figure 6.1	Raw log curves of Sakesar Limestone in Joyamir-04 well.	55
Figure 6.2	Petrophysical evaluation of Sakesar Limestone in Joyamir- 04 well.	56
Figure 6.3	Correlation of petrophysical results and pore pressure analysis of Sakesar Limestone in Joyamir-04 well.	59
Figure 6.4	Calculation of the reservoir area using the Depth contour map of Sakesar Limestone.	61

TABLES

Table 6.1	Zone of interest Sakesar Limestone Joyamir-04 well.	56
Table 6.2	Summation of Petrophysical results Sakesar Limestone.	58
Table 6.3	Results of Volumetric Analysis.	62