GUI TEST CASE MINIMIZATION USING EVENT FLOW MAPS



By Raheela Ambrin

Enrollment No: 01-244151-043

Supervised By

Dr. Tamim Ahmed Khan

A thesis submitted to the Department of Software Engineering, Faculty of Engineering Sciences, Bahria University, Islamabad in the partial fulfillment for the requirements of a Masters degree in Software Engineering

June 2017

APPROVAL SHEET

THESIS COMPLETION CERTIFICATE

Scholar's Name:	Raheela Ambrin	Registration No:	<u>39444</u>
Program of	MS Software Engineering		
Study:			
Thesis Title:	GUI TEST CASE MINIMIZA	ATION USING EVENT FI	LOW MAPS
It is to certify that	the above student's thesis	has been completed to m	y satisfaction and, to my
belief, its standard	d is appropriate for submissi	ion for Evaluation. I have	also conducted plagiarism
test of this thesis	using HEC prescribed softwa	are and found similarity ir	ndex at 5% that is within the
permissible limit s	set by the HEC for the MS/N	1Phil degree thesis. I have	e also found the thesis in a
•	d by the BU for the MS/MPh	· ·	
TOTTIAL TECOGNIZER	a by the bottor the Mayivii h	iii tiicsis.	
Principal Superviso	or's Signature:		
Timelpur Supervise	of bigilatore.		
Date:	Name:		

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINALITY

This is certified that the intellectual contents of the thesis <u>GUI TEST CASE MINIMIZATION USING EVENT FLOW MAPS</u> are the product of my own research work except, as cited property and accurately in the acknowledgements and references, the material taken from such sources as research journals, books, internet, etc. solely to support, elaborate, compare and extend the earlier work. Further, this work has not been submitted by me previously for any degree, nor it shall be submitted by me in the future for obtaining any degree from this University, or any other university or institution. The incorrectness of this information, if proved at any stage, shall authorities the University to cancel my degree.

Signature:	Date:	
Name of the Research Student:	Raheela Amhrin	

ABSTRACT

GUI testing is an important aspect of conventional software testing where we intend to execute underlying functionality considering business requirements. Regression testing verifies if software previously developed and tested still performs correctly after it was changed or incorporated with other software components. Some modules that are changed perform all the functionality correctly and are verified as well as validated.

Test case minimization techniques are used to minimize the testing cost in terms of execution time, resources through reducing number of test cases. We generate a set from test suite that satisfies all the requirements of original test suite with minimum number of test cases.

We propose sequence recording technique to report coverage and present a test case minimization using our sequence recording. To evaluate our proposal, we developed application to perform analysis and show results. It is type of manual testing approach in which sequence of events are recorded.

All the recorded events are clustered using data mining algorithm K-Means and similar clusters are grouped together. After clustering search sequence is performed and all the test cases that are generated are listed based on size.

Our results are comparable with Microsoft coded UI framework test engine results. We generated the UI map from Microsoft coded GUI in visual studio in which all interface components are verified and compare this UI map with our event map and hence proved that there is no change in sequence when the user visits GUI.

Test case minimization is performed based on which short sequence test cases are on the top in the list and the test cases that contain longer sequence are listed afterwards. This create ease for the tester and able to evaluate all the test cases and it saves time and execution of test cases.

DEDICATION

I am dedicating this thesis to most beloved people, a special feeling of gratitude to my loving parents. Thank you both for giving me strength to chase my dreams and for your full support and motivation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

A special thanks to my supervisor for his efforts and dedication on my research work, who are more than generous with their expertise and precious time. Thanks to him for hours of reflecting, reading, encouraging throughout the entire process. I would like to acknowledge and thanks my university for allowing me to conduct my research and providing any assistance requested.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Approval Sheet	i
Certificate of Orginality	ii
Abstract	iii
Dedication	iv
Acknowledgments	v
Table of Contents	vi
List of Figures	viii
List of Tables	ix
Abbreviations	X
Chapter 1	1
Introduction	
1.1. Motivation	4
1.2. Problem statement	4
1.3. Aims and Objectives	5
1.4. Contribution.	5
1.5. Thesis Organization	5
Chapter 2	6
Literature Review	
Chapter 3	18
Methodology	
Chapter 4	22
Implementation	
4.1. GUI	22
4.2. Proposed System	22
4.3. Event Flow Graph	25
4.4. Executable Sequences and Sub Sequences	26
4.5. GUI Test Cases	27
4.6. Coverage Criteria for GUI Testing	27
4.7. Implementation and Experiment	28
4.8. Sequential Pattern Mining Algorithm	29
4.8.1. Clustering of Document Using K Mean Algorithm	32
4.8.2. Sequence and Subsequence Search	36

4.9. Comparison of Results Through Microsoft Coded UI Framework	38
Chapter 5	41
Results and Evaluation	
5.1. Coverage Computation	42
5.1.1. Controls Coverage	42
5.1.2. Sequence Coverage	43
5.2. Clustering of Text Files	44
5.2.1. Case 1	44
5.2.2. Case 2	45
5.2.3. Case 3	46
5.3. Search Sequence of a Text Document	47
5.3.1. Case 1	47
5.3.2. Case 2	48
5.4. Sequence generated through coded UI framework	49
5.4.1. Recorded Methods with code coverage results	49
5.5. Discussion.	50
Chapter 6	52
Conclusion	
6.1. Contributions	52
6.2. Future Work	53
References	54
Appendices	58
Annendix 1	59

List of Figures

Figure 1: Proposed Research Methodology	
Figure 2: Profile Management System Flow	24
Figure 3: Login Form of PMS	25
Figure 4: Login form EFG	26
Figure 5: Model for Document Clustering	34
Figure 6: Search Sequence of document Flow Chart	37
Figure 7: Coded UI Test Builder	39
Figure 8: Using Coded UI on Login form	39
Figure 9: Using Coded UI on Personal Information form	40
Figure 10: Clustering of Text File Using K-Mean Algorithm Snap 1	44
Figure 11: Clustering of Text File Using K-Mean Algorithm Snap 2	45
Figure 12: Clustering of Text File Using K-Mean Algorithm Snap 3	46
Figure 13: Search Sequence and Subsequence list 1	49
Figure 14: Search Sequence and Subsequence list 2	50
Figure 15: CUIT Recorded Methods with Code Coverage Snap 1	49
Figure 16: CUIT Recorded Methods with Code Coverage Snap 2	50

List of Tables

Table 1: Widgets and Events of login form	25
Table 2: Sequences and Sub sequences of login form	29
Table 3: Coverage of Controls	42
Table 4: Coverage Report of Sequence and Subsequence of login form	43
Table 5: Test Cases of Web application 1	64
Table 6: Test Cases of Web application 1	65
Table 7: Test Cases of Web application 2	66

ABBREVIATIONS

PMS: Profile Management system

MBT: Model Based Testing

EFG: Event Flow Graph

FA: Finite Automata

ESG: Event Sequence Graph

GUI: Graphical User Interface

UI: User Interface

DART: Daily Automated Regression Tester

AUT: Application Under Test

SUT: System Under Test

SM: Systematic Mapping

GQM: Goal Question Metric

UML: Unified Modelling Language

ACO: Ant Colony Optimization

MLRT: Multiple level regression testing technique

HGS: Harrold Gopta Sofa (Testing algorithm)

CUIT: Coded UI test

TFS: Team Foundation Server

QTP: Quality Test Professional