

DEDICATION

This dissertation is dedicated to my parents, who always helped me in every sphere of life
with their great practical advices

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

(In the name of ALLAH, the most merciful and beneficent)

All praises are for Almighty ALLAH, who blessed me with courage to cope with the odds of life and who enabled me to accomplish this project. I owe to the HOLY PROPHET (P.B.U.H) for the true guidelines of life. I am thankful to all of my friends who had provided me with the confidence and courage.

I am very much thankful to all the faculty members of the Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Bahria University for their valuable support and constructive ideas throughout my stay here.

I am glad to acknowledge the support and encouragement of my external supervisor Mr. Muhammad Zahid (Petrophysicist), Land Mark Resources Pakistan Limited; and internal supervisor Mr. Mohsin Munir.

I would like to acknowledge my friends Mr. Farrukh Khan, Mr. Inam Malik, Mr. Tauqeer Ahmed, Mr. Wahab, Mr. Jamal, Mr. Imran, Mr. Adeel and Haroon Rasheed who were always there to help me despite the fact.

There needs to pay a bunch of special thank to those special and true friends, who are around me like air. They have given me a boost in my life and provided me a launching pad. Thank you so much!

Thank You All!

Muhammad Adnan

ABSTRACT

The main purpose of the study is to evaluate hydrocarbon potential of a well named Bobi Well # 01, Lower Indus Basin, Pakistan. This has been achieved by using complete suite of wire line logs and available well data. This complete set of data is issued by Land Mark Resources, Pakistan with the prior permission of Directorate General of Petroleum Concessions, Pakistan.

To complete the above mentioned task, all logs were correlated to mark the horizon of interest i.e. reservoir zone. In my case the reservoir lies in Goru Formation of Cretaceous age. After the demarcation of reservoir zone of about 122 meter, this zone was then divided into three sub zones according to the quick look interpretation for the Gamma ray and Resistivity Log.

Then these zones were evaluated for the hydrocarbon potential in detail using set of equations and different formation evaluation charts made by Schlumberger.

The methodology adopted to accomplish this task include; the measurements for the Shale volume by using Gamma Ray Log, Porosities of the Reservoir zone by Density and Neutron Log, Resistivity of water by using Spontaneous potential log , Saturation of water in the zone of reservoir and Hydrocarbon saturation using Archie equation.

The results for the dissertation were then displayed in the form of excel sheets and graphs for the better approach towards the task. These all displayed results show a good reservoir quality in all the three sub zones.

CONTENTS

Acknowledgement	i
Abstract	ii
List of Figures.....	vi
List of Tables.....	vi
List of Graphs.....	vi
Chapter 1	
INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Exploration History of Study Area	2
1.3 Objectives	3
1.4 Data Used	3
1.5 Methodology.....	3
Chapter 2	
REGIONAL GEOLOGICAL FRAMEWORK	
2.1 Regional Geological Settings.....	4
2.2 Regional Structural Style.....	4
2.2.1 Thar Platform.....	5
2.2.2 Karachi Trough.....	5
2.2.3 Kirthar Foredeep	5
2.2.4 Kirthar Fold Belt.....	5
2.2.5 Offshore Indus	5
2.3 Regional Stratigraphy.....	7
Chapter 3	
GENERALIZED STRATIGRAPHY OF STUDY AREA	
3.1 Mesozoic Succession.....	8
3.2 Cenozoic Succession	9
3.3 Petroleum Geology.....	11

Chapter 4

WIRELINE LOGGING

4.1 Introduction	13
4.2 Creating Wireline Logs	13
4.3 Borehole Environment	14
4.3.1 Borehole Conditions	15
4.3.2 Invasion	17

Chapter 5

THE LOGGING TOOLS

5.1 Gamma Ray Log	18
5.1.1 Standard Gamma Ray Applications	18
5.1.2 Natural Gamma Ray Tool (NGT) Applications.....	18
5.1.3 Theory of Measurement	18
5.2 Neutron Log.....	21
5.2.1 Applications of the Neutron Log	21
5.3 Density Log	23
5.3.1 Litho Density Log	23
5.3.2 P.E Log Measurement	23
5.3.3 Applications.....	24
5.4 Resistivity Logs	26
5.4.1 Tools Measuring the Uninvaded Zone (Rt).....	26
5.4.2 Tools Measuring the Invaded Zone (Ri)	27
5.4.3 Tools Measuring the Flushed Zone (Rxo).....	27
5.5 Spontaneous Potential (SP).....	27
5.5.1 Origin of Spontaneous Potential	27

Chapter 6

PETROPHYSICAL INTERPRETATION

6.1 Petrophysics.....	29
6.2 Wireline Logs	29
6.3 Methodology Adapted.....	29
6.4 Volume of Shale By Gamma Ray Log.....	30
6.5 Porosity By Nphi(CNL) and Rhob(LDL).....	32
6.6 Lithology	34
6.7 Water Saturation	38
6.7.1 Determination of Rw From SP	38
6.8 Hydrocarbon Saturation.....	41
6.9 Conclusion.....	43
References	44
Appendices	
Appendix-I	45
Appendix-II	52
Appendix-III.....	56
Appendix-IV.....	60

List of Figures

Figure-1: Location Map of Study Area	1
Figure-2: Structural Settings of Southern Indus Basin	6
Figure-3: Stratigraphic Sequence of Lower Indus Basin	7
Figure-4: Generalized Diagrams of Petroleum Play Types of Lower Indus Basin.....	12
Figure-5: Logging Operation	14
Figure-6: Borehole Environment	15
Figure-7: Graphical Representation of Gamma Ray Log.....	20
Figure-8: Neutron Tools.....	22
Figure-9: Litho Density Tool	25

List of Tables

Table-1: Stratigraphic Sequence of Study Area.....	10
Table-2: Average Shale Volume of Zone 1,2 & 3.....	30
Table-3: Average Porosities of Zone 1,2 & 3.....	32
Table-4: Determined Lithologies of Zone 1.....	34
Table-5: Determined Lithologies of Zone 2.....	37
Table-6: Determined Lithologies of Zone 3.....	34
Table-7: Average Water Saturation of Zone 1,2 & 3.....	379
Table-8: Average Hydrocarbon Saturation of Zone 1,2 & 3.....	41

List of Graphs

Graph-1: Depth vs Shale Volume of Zone 1	30
Graph-2: Depth vs Shale Volume of Zone 2	31
Graph-3: Depth vs Shale Volume of Zone 3	31
Graph-4: Depth vs Total Porosity of Zone 1	32
Graph-5: Depth vs Total Porosity of Zone 2	33
Graph-6: Depth vs Total Porosity of Zone 3	33
Graph-7: Depth vs Water Saturation of Zone 1	39
Graph-8: Depth vs Water Saturation of Zone 2	400
Graph-9: Depth vs Water Saturation of Zone 3	40
Graph-10: Depth vs Hydrocarbon Saturation of Zone 1	41
Graph-11: Depth vs Hydrocarbon Saturation of Zone 2	422
Graph-12: Depth vs Hydrocarbon Saturation of Zone 3	42