

**PETROPHYSICAL ANALYSIS AND FORMATION
EVALUATION OF A WELL OF GAS FIELD**



BY

SULMAN FAROOQ

**M.Sc Geology
Session 2007-08**

**FACULTY OF EARTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL
SCIENCES BAHRIA UNIVERSITY ISLAMABAD**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | PAGE NUMBER |
|-----------------|--------------------|
| Acknowledgement | i |
| List of figures | ii |
| List of tables | iii |
| List of plates | iii |
| Appendix | iv |
| Abstract | 1 |

CHAPTER-1

INTRODUCTION

| | |
|-------------------------------|---|
| 1.1 Location | 2 |
| 1.2 Objective | 2 |
| 1.3 Previous work | 4 |
| 1.4 Tectonics of area | 4 |
| 1.5 General Geology | 6 |
| 1.6 Petroleum Prospects | 6 |
| 1.6.1 Source rocks | 7 |
| 1.6.2 Reservoir rocks | 7 |
| 1.7 Structure | 8 |
| 1.8 Environment of deposition | 8 |

CHAPTER-2

STRATIGRAPHY

| | |
|----------------------------|----|
| 2.1 Kirthar Formation | 9 |
| 2.1.1 Pirkoh Limestone | 9 |
| 2.1.2 Sirki member | 10 |
| 2.1.3 Habib Rahi Limestone | 10 |

| | |
|-------------------------------|----|
| 2.2 Ghazij Formation | 10 |
| 2.3 Dungan Formation | 11 |
| 2.4 Ranikot Formation | 11 |
| 2.4.1 Upper Ranikot Formation | 11 |
| 2.4.2 Lower Ranikot Formation | 12 |
| 2.5 Pab Sandstone | 12 |
| 2.6 Mughalkot Formation | 13 |

CHAPTER-3

METHODOLOGY

| | |
|---|----|
| 3.0 Interpretation work flow | 15 |
| 3.1 Petrophysical techniques | 16 |
| 3.2 Porosity | 16 |
| 3.3 Picket Plots | 17 |
| 3.4 Determination of m from core | 17 |
| 3.5 Determination of n from core | 18 |
| 3.6 Cementation and saturation exponent | 18 |
| 3.7 Formation water resistivity | 19 |
| 3.8 Determination of R_w | 20 |
| 3.8.1 R_w from water catalog | 20 |
| 3.8.2 R_w from chemical analysis | 20 |
| 3.8.3 R_w from the S_p | 21 |
| 3.9 Determination of R_{mf} | 22 |
| 3.10 Environmental correction and precautions | 22 |
| 3.11 Water saturation | 23 |
| 3.12 Volume of shale | 24 |

CHAPTER-4

CORE DATA AND PRODUCTION TESTING

| | |
|------------------------------|----|
| 4.1 Core Data | 30 |
| 4.2 Core Porosity | 31 |
| 4.3 Testing Results | 32 |
| 4.4 Completion intervals | 32 |
| 4.5 Results on 6th June 2004 | 32 |

CHAPTER-5

PETROPHYSICAL INTERPRETATION

| | |
|---------------------------------------|----|
| 5.1 Parameters used in interpretation | 33 |
| 5.2 Well logs | 33 |
| 5.3 Logs quality | 33 |
| 5.4 Clay volume calculation | 34 |
| 5.5 Lithology | 34 |
| 5.6 Hydrocarbon summary | 35 |

DISCUSSION

| | |
|------------|----|
| Discussion | 36 |
|------------|----|

| | |
|--|-----------|
| CONCLUSION & RECOMMENDATION | 64 |
|--|-----------|

| | |
|-----------------|-----------|
| APPENDIX | 65 |
|-----------------|-----------|

| | |
|-------------------|------------|
| REFERENCES | 110 |
|-------------------|------------|

ABSTRACT

For the hydrocarbon exploration of the study area the petrophysical study has been carried out in well XYZ, in this study we applied various techniques, i.e., mud logging, wireline logging, well testing, core analysis and production testing.

In this study the Pab 1 and Pab 2 are evaluated, which have potential reserves. These two zones of Pab formation were focused for the evaluation purpose. Eight distinct lithological layers are identify through petrophysical analysis.

The Pab formation was cored in XYZ well. The petrographic study identified Ankerite as the predominant cementing material and siderite as the predominant heavy mineral.

The reservoir quality of Pab formation was much better as compared to lower Ranikot in terms of clean sand, water saturation and permeability.