# PETROGRAPHY AND GEOCHEMISTRY OF PART OF THE MANSEHRA GRANITE, KHYBER PAKHTOONKHWA PAKISTAN



By

IRUM

Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences Bahria University, Islamabad

2014

#### ABSTRACT

The igneous rocks from Mansehra Granitic Complex are studied for their petrographic and geochemical characteristics. On the basis of outcrop relationships and petrographic analyses, the rocks are divided into three categories; granites, doleritic dykes and metamorphosed volcanic rocks (andesite). Mansehra granite has typical mega-porphyritic texture with both fine grained and foliated varieties. During petrographic analysis it was observed that essential minerals of granites are quartz, perthitic and microcline alkali feldspar and plagioclase. It constitute the part of both phenocrysts and ground mass for other minerals like biotite, muscovite, tourmaline, quartz, apophyllite, apatite, monazite, andualsite, epidote, zircon, titanite, clay and ore mineral (s). The doleritic dykes display sub-ophitic to ophitic texture and essentially comprised of plagioclase and clinopyroxene while the metamorphosed volcanic rocks have foliated and fine grained texture and comprised of amphibole, quartz and plagioclase. On the basis of detailed geochemical analysis, the Mansehra granites are strongly per-aluminous and calc-alkaline. The per-aluminous property confirms its formation as S-type and collisional granite. These granites are derived from plagioclase poor and clay rich sedimentary melt. The modal mineralogy of dykes indicates its alkaline nature. The foliated texture of above mentioned rocks represents low to medium grade metamorphism in these rocks. The comparison of Mansehra granites with Utla and Ambela granites indicates it's more resemblance with Utla granites rather than Ambela granites. Both these granites share same type of texture, modal mineralogy, geochemical characteristics and petrogenesis.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I express my gratitude to my thesis supervisor Prof. Dr. Tahseenullah Khan Bangash, Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences (E&ES), Bahria University, Islamabad Campus for his full cooperation, prolific guidance, sincere and concerned attitude. Without his guidance this research work would have not been possible. I also express my heartfelt gratitude to Mr. Humaad Ghani, Lecturer (E&ES) and Ph.D Scholar at the Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Potsdam University, Germany for his help in geological field work and moral support. Thanks are also extended to Dr. Muhammad Zafar, Head of the Department (E&ES) for facilitating this research study.

Dr. Abid Ahmad, Deputy Chief Geologist, Pakistan Institute of Engineering and Applied Sciences is thanked for his help in the XRF analysis. Mr. Rashid Masih, Assistant, Rock Cutting Laboratory, Department of Geology, University of Peshawar helped in preparing rock thin sections and Mr. Tariq, Assistant, Geochemistry Laboratory, National Center of Excellence in Geology, University of Peshawar pulverized the are rock samples for whole rock chemical analysis, I am thankful to them. My colleagues namely Mr. Saqib Mehmood, Assistant Professor, Mr. Mustafa Yar, Lecturer, Mr. Asif Javed, Assistant Professor, Ms. Urooj Muyassar, Assistant Professor, Miss Uroosa Zaman, Teaching Assistant and Mr. Hamid Khattak, Visiting Faculty (E&ES) gave me moral support and encouraged me to complete this thesis work. I am indeed thankful to them.

Last but not the least I am indebted to my parents for believing in me, for edifice out self-reliance and for providing moral and pecuniary support at each step of my life.

## CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
FIGURES	vi
TABLES	ix

# CHAPTER 1

#### **INTRODUCTION**

1.1	General statement	1
1.2	Location and accessibility	1
1.3	Previous work	2
1.4	Present work	2
1.5	Objectives	3
1.6	Methodology	3
1.7	Interpretation and significance	4

# CHAPTER 2

# **REGIONAL GEOLOGY AND TECTONICS**

2.1	Regional geology	6
2.1.1	The Kohistan arc sequence	6
2.1.2	The main mantle thrust mélange group	8
2.1.3	The Indo-Pakistan plate sequence	9
2.1.3.	1 Swat nappe	9
2.1.3.	2Hazara nappe	10
2.2	North-West Himalayan fold and thrust belt	10
2.3	Khyber-lower Hazara metasedimentary fold and thrust belt	11

#### CHAPTER 3

#### PETROGRAPHY

3.1	Introduction	13
3.2	Methodology	13

3.3	Petrography	13
3.3.1	Granites	14
3.3.2	Dolerite dykes intruded in the granites	26
3.3.3	Metamorphosed volcanic rocks	27

## **CHAPTER 4**

#### GEOCHEMISTRY

4.1	Introduction	32
4.2	Methodology	32
4.3	Major elements geochemistry	33
4.4	Trace elements geochemistry	39
4.5	Tectonic settings	40
DISCU	USSIONAND CONCLUSIONS	44

49

REFERENCES

### FIGURES

		Page
Figure 1.1.	Location figures:	
(a)	Tectonic sub divisions and major faults present in northern Pakistan.	5
	The study area is shown with a red circle.	
(b)	Sample location map of the study area.	5
Figure 2.1.	Geological map of Kohistan Island Arc after Coward et al (1986).	7
Figure 2.2.	Geological map of the area south of the Main Mantle Thrust	12
	between the Swat and Kaghan valleys (redrawn from Treloar and	
	Rex, 1990).	
Figure 2.3.	Generalized geological map showing the major alkaline complexes	12
	in northern Pakistan (redrawn from Khattak et al., )	
Figure 3.1.	Field photographs:	
(a)	Feldspar phenocrysts in granite	18
(b)	A feldspar vein cutting the granite	18
(c)	Fine to medium grained dolerite dykes cutting across the granite	18
(d)	A chlorite and muscovite sample within the granitic rocks	18
Figure 3.2.	IUGS classification diagram of the studied granites	20
Figure 3.3.	Photomicrographs (XPL):	
(a)	Vermicular intergrowth between quartz and alkali feldspar	21
(b)	Strained quartz grain	21
(c)	Cross hatched twining in microcline grain	21
(d)	Quartz inclusion in microcline grain	21
(e)	Biotite and muscovite inclusion in microcline grain	21
(f)	Plagioclase phenocryst exhibiting albite polysynthetic twining.	21
Figure 3.4.	Photomicrographs (XPL):	
(a)	Exsolution of plagioclase grain	22
(b)	Sericitization in the plagioclase grain	22
(c)	Development of sericite along the right angle cleavages of	22
	plagioclase grain	
(d)	Twisted twin lamella in plagioclase phenocryst	22
(e)	Biotite and muscovite grains	22

(f)	Stretched grains of muscovite	22
Figure 3.5.	Photomicrograph:	
(a)	Intergrown muscovite and biotite grain in XPL	23
(b)	Topotaxial growth of muscovite and biotite in XPL	23
(c)	Biotite flakes in cluster form in PPL	23
(d)	Pleochroic halos in biotite grain having inclusion of zircon in XPL	23
(e)	Biotite grain altered to chlorite and leached biotite grain on the left	23
	hand side of the photograph in PPL	
(f)	Biotite grain containing fine grains of brown color tourmaline in	23
	PPL	
Figure 3.6.	Photomicrographs:	
(a)	Grain of apophyllite displaying bird's eye extinction in XPL	24
(b)	Strained grain of apophyllite XPL	24
(c)	Fractured and anhedral grain of garnet in PPL	24
(d)	A thin rim of sphene around an opaque ore mineral in PPL	24
(e)	Cluster of rutile grains within the grains of quartz and alkali	24
	feldspar in XPL	
(f)	Elongated apatite grain and small epidote grain with anomalous	24
	interference colors in XPL	
Figure 3.7.	Photomicrographs:	
(a)	Tiny inclusion of monazite within quartz grain	25
(b)	Inclusion of fine grain monazite surrounded by thin rim of opaque	25
	mineral in the flake of biotite	
Figure 3.8.	Photomicrographs:	
(a)	Dolerite showing ophitic texture in XPL	29
(b).	Laths of plagioclase with cluster of opaque minerals in XPL	29
(c)	Pink color clinopyroxene grain in PPL and XPL	29
(d)	Pink color clinopyroxene grain in PPL and XPL	29
(e& f)	Opaque grains surrounded by fresh and leached biotite	29
Figure 3.9.	Photomicrographs:	
(a)	Fine grained texture of rock in XPL	30
(b)	Fresh grains of amphibole in PPL	30
(c)	Chloritized amphibole grain in XPL	30

(d)	Grain showing albite polysynthetic twining in plagioclase in XPL	30
(e)	Flakes of biotite in PPL	30
(f)	Euhedral grain of rutile in XPL	30
Figure 3.10.	Photomicrographs (XPL):	
(a)	Subhedral grain of sphene	31
(b)	Tiny grains of epidote in association with amphibole and biotite	31
	grains	
Figure 4.1.	$SiO_2$ versus $FeO_t + MgO + TiO_2$ (FMT) diagram	36
Figure 4.2.	SiO <sub>2</sub> versus K <sub>2</sub> O diagram	36
Figure. 4.3.	Classification diagram: Molar Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /CaO + Na <sub>2</sub> O + K <sub>2</sub> O versus molar Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /Na <sub>2</sub> O+K <sub>2</sub> O (Maniar and Piccoli, 1989)	37
Figure. 4.4.	Comparison of the compositional fields of the peraluminous granite and experimental partial melts from different source rocks (redrawn from Patino Douce, 1999)	37
Figure 4.5.	Classification diagram: Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> + CaO/Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> + Na <sub>2</sub> O + K <sub>2</sub> O versus MgO + Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> + TiO <sub>2</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> .	38
Figure 4.6.	AFM plot: The composition of Mansehra granites is shown by black dots while the average composition of the Utla granite (represented by open rhomb) is also shown for the purpose of comparison (fields after Irvine and Baragar, 1971)	38
Figure 4.7.	Trace elements versus $SiO_2$ diagrams of the Mansehra granites (trace elements data is given in ppm while silica is given in weight %)	41
Figure 4.8.	Ce-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> (wt %) variation diagram of Mansehra granites.	42
Figure 4.9.	FeOt + MgO versus CaO discriminant diagram (Maniar and Piccoli,	43
	1989)	
Figure 4.10.	MgO versus FeO <sub>t</sub> binary tectonic discriminant diagram (Maniar and Piccoli, 1989)	43

# TABLES

		Page
Table 3.1.	Modal mineralogical composition of granitic rocks from Mansehra	19
	Granitic Complex	
Table 3.2.	Modal mineralogical composition of doleritic and andesitic rocks	28
	from Mansehra granitic complex	
Table 4.1.	Representation of the chemical analysis of the Mansehra granites	34