ATTACHMENT STYLES AND ACTIVE SCHEMA MODES AMONG PATIENTS OF

BORDERLINE PERSONALITY DISORDER



By

Asma Aslam

Supervised By

Dr. Uzma Masroor

Department of Professional Psychology

Bahria University, Islamabad

2016

ATTACHMENT STYLES AND ACTIVE SCHEMA MODES AMONG PATIENTS OF

BORDERLINE PERSONALITY DISORDER

By

Asma Aslam

A dissertation submitted to

Dr. Uzma Masroor

Department of Professional Psychology

Bahria University, Islamabad

In partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

IN

CLINICAL PSYCHOLOGY

2016

ATTACHMENT STYLES AND ACTIVE SCHEMA MODES AMONG PATIENTS OF

BORDERLINE PERSONALITY DISORDER

By

Asma Aslam

Approved By

(Dr Uzma Masroor)

Supervisor

(Dr____)

External Examiner

(Dr Uzma Masroor)

HOD, DPP

CERTIFICATE

It is certified that dissertation titled as "Attachment styles and Active Schema modes among patients of Borderline Personality Disorder" prepared by Ms. Asma Aslam has been approved for submission to the department of Professional Psychology, Bahria University, Islamabad.

Dr Uzma Masroor

(Supervisor)

ATTACHMENT STYLES AND ACTIVE SCHEMA MODES AMONG PATIENTS OF BORDERLINE PERSONALITY DISORDE

Dedicated to my

Parents

For their support, inspiration, devotion, and love

&

Siblings

&

Friends

TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Tables	i
List of Figures	ii
Abbreviation	iii
List of Appendices	iv
Acknowledgements	v
Abstract	vi
CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION	1
Borderline Personality Disorder	2
Attachment	5
Stages of Attachment	6
Evolutionary perspective of Attachment	7
Behavioral perspective	8
Core Concepts of Bowlby Work	8
Characteristics of Attachment	10
Ainsworth Strange Situation	11
Adult Attachment	12
Types of Attachment Styles	14
Attachment Styles and Psychopathology	17
Schema	19
Types of Schemas	21
Early maladaptive schema	21
Schema Domains	22
Schema modes	25
Schema Modes and psychopathology	30

Rationale of the Study	32
CHAPTER II: METHOD	
Objectives	35
Hypotheses	35
Operational Definition of Variables	36
Research Design	36
Conceptual model of study	36
Sample	37
Instruments	38
Procedure	39
Data Analysis	40
CHAPTER III: RESULTS	41
CHAPTER IV: DISCUSSION	56
Implications of Study	60
Limitations and suggestions	60
Conclusion	62
REFERENCES	
APPENDICES	79

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1	Demographic characteristics of Participants	41
Table 2	Psychometric properties of Experience in close relationship	43
	scale and Schema mode Inventory	
Table 3	Inter Scale correlation of Experience in close relationship	45
	scale and Schema mode Inventory	
Table 4	Correlation of attachment styles and schema modes.	48
Table 5	Mean differences on attachment styles across control and	48
	borderline group.	
Table 6	Mean differences on schema modes across control and	49
	borderline group.	
Table 7	Mean differences on attachment styles across gender.	50
Table 8	Mean differences on schema modes across gender.	51
Table 9	Mean differences on study variables across levels of education	52
Table 10	Mean differences on study variables across levels of social	54
	status	

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1	Internal working Model of attachment (Bowlby, 1973)	10
Figure 2	Four category model of attachment (Bartholomew & Horowitz,	14
	1991)	
Figure 3	The two-dimensional model of individual differences in adult	16
	attachment (Brennan, Clark, & Shaver, 1998)	

ABBREVIATIONS

BPD	Borderline Personality Disorder
ECR-R	Experiences in Close Relationships-Revised
SMI	Schema Mode Inventory
DSM	Dysfunctional Schema Mode
AN	Anxious Attachment
AV	Avoidant Attachment
VC	Vulnerable Child
AC	Angry Child
EC	Enraged Child
IC	Impulsive Child
UC	Undisciplined Child
НС	Happy Child
CS	Compliant Surrenderer
DP	Detached Protector
DSS	Detached Self Soother
SA	Self-Aggrandizer
BA	Bully and Attack
PP	Punishing Parents
DPP	Demanding parent
НА	Happy Adult

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A	Consent Form	79
Appendix B	Demographic Information sheet	80
Appendix C	Experience in close relationship scale(ECR-R)	81
Appendix D	Schema mode inventory	83
Appendix E	Permission for using Experience in close relationship	88
	scale(ECR-R)	
Appendix F	Permission for using Schema mode inventory	89
Appendix G	Diagnostic criteria for borderline personality disorder	90

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Thanks to Almighty Allah, worthy of all praise that guides His creature in darkness and helps in difficulties. All respects for His last Holy Prophet (Peace Be Upon Him) who helped me recognize my Creator.

I feel great honor to express my sincere gratitude to my respected, learned and revered research guide, DR UZMA MASROOR, head of department, Professional Psychology, Bahria University, Islamabad, for her invaluable guidance, sympathetic attitude, meticulous training, moral support, healthy criticism, strong motivation, deep concern and admiring placid manners to listen to my problems encountered during research work. She is not only an outstanding teacher, but also a loving guardian.

I would like to thank my teachers and colleagues for their continuous support in my work throughout this period. I am also deeply thankful to Departmental staff and all the patients who participated in this study, for their understanding and cooperation.

I cannot think of even partly paying back with any degree of thankfulness and gratitude to my parents and family. I am grateful to my father for giving me the life I ever dreamed and my mother, whose prayers, concern, care, love, affection, encouragement and guidance give me the strength and make me walk through life with confidence every single moment. Last but not the least I want to express my deepest gratitude to my friends whose unconditional love, patience, encouragement and continual support enabled me to complete this dissertation.

Asma Aslam

ABSTRACT

Borderline personality disorder(BPD) is a personality disorder and is usually remains undiagnosed among other psychiatric disorders for displaying mimicry of severe symptoms from other psychiatric conditions. The present research attempts to identify different possible relationship of BPD with childhood experiences and parental attachment .It investigates the role of dysfunctional "Young's Schema Modes" acquired by individuals suffering from BPD and to determine their attachment styles with parents and significant others. A sample of 50 individuals (25 borderline personality disorder patients and 25 normal individuals) completed questionnaires that included Experiences in closed relationship questionnaire (Fraley, Waller, & Brennan, 2000) and Schema mode inventory (Lobbestael, Van Vreeswijk & Arntz, 2007). The reliability of Experiences in close relationship questionnaire was.91 and .94, respectively, for the Anxiety and Avoidance subscales and schema mode inventory was .60 -.89. The data was analyzed using correlation and t-test. Result indicated that attachment styles are significantly positively correlated with dysfunctional schema modes (r=.56, p<.05) and anxious attachments (Mean difference = -25.72) with parents and significant others. Further results also indicated significant demographic variables positively related to BPD as compared to normal individuals. In the end, some limitations of the study were mentioned with plausible suggestions.