#### ABSTRACT

Assessment of hydrological effects of the land use transformations on both water yield and flow regimes are of vital importance aspect in watershed management. Dealing with water management issues requires analyzing of different elements of hydrologic processes taking place in a watershed area. In the present study, hydrological response of the Chirah watershed (located in the sub-Himalayan region) was studied to historical land use evolution and variable land use change scenarios using SWAT -Soil and Water Assessment Tool model. Three scenarios are developed to study effect of land use change in future. In the first scenario forest is converted into agriculture land ( about 80% increase in agriculture land) which indicates 2.5 % increase in water yield, about 10.5 % increase in surface runoff while ground water recharge decreased by 1.9 % . In the second scenario forest is converted to rangeland (about 80 % increase in rangeland) which gives 3.2 % increase in water yield, 7.7 % increase in ground water recharge and surface runoff is also increased by 4.4 %. In the third scenario all other land covers are converted to forest to study hydrological parameters if afforestation takes place which gives 2.5 % increase in water yield while surface runoff and ground water recharge is decreased by 1.2 % and 3.8% respectively. Results shows that surface runoff is increased due to deforestation that may lead to flash flooding so government and local communities should play their role to overcome this and should adopt afforestation techniques.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of Allah, the only Lord, the most powerful and the merciful, blessed me with knowledge, potential and ability to accomplish this task.

I would like to oblige my Supervisor, Mr. Muhammad Khubaib Abuzar, Senior Assistant Professor, Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences at Bahria University, Islamabad and Co-supervisor, Dr. Arshad Ashraf, Principal Scientific Officer, CAEWRI, NARC, for their supervision, guidance and encouragement. I would like to express my gratitude to MR. Bilal Iqbal, MR. Naveed and MR. Imran for help and counseling in completion of my research work.

In addition, my deepest appreciation would go to my family for their prayers, moral support, care and love for me. Last but not least, I would like to acknowledge M. Umar, Waqi ur Rehman, M. Idrees, Ahmar Mujtabh and Yawar Abbas who deserve to be thanked for being my strength and made university period an unforgettable time for me.

## ABRREVIATIONS

MTIP	Medium term investment plan
SWAT	Soil and water analysis tool
PCRWR	Pakistan council of research in water resources
GIS	Geographical information system
RS	Remote sensing
PMD	Pakistan meteorological department
WAPDA	Water and power development authority
DEM	Digital elevation model
UTM	Universal transverse mercator
RMSE	Root mean square error
HRUs	Hydrological response units

### CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
ABBREVIATIONS	iii
FIGURES	vi
TABLES	vii

## **CHAPTER 1**

## INTRODUCTION

1.1	Background information	01
1.2	Global water crisis	07
1.3	Present situation of water in Pakistan	09
1.3.1 Industrial impact of water		09
1.4	Study area	11
1.5	Scope of study	13
1.6	Study objectives	14

#### **CHAPTER 2**

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1	Overview of design	15
2.2	Hydrological modeling	15
2.2.1	Modeling protocol	15
2.3	Data used	18
2.4	Data preparation	18
2.4.1	Stream definition	20
2.4.2	Outlet definition	20
2.5	Model baseline establishment	21
2.6	Model calibration	22

## **CHAPTER 3**

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

3.1	Water balance analysis	25
3.2	Final HRU report	28
3.3	Surface runoff prediction in SWAT model	29
3.4	Scenarios of extreme conditions	32
DISCUSSION		38
CONCLUSION RECOMMENDATIONS		40
		41
REFERENCES		42

## **FIGURES**

Page

Figure 1.1.	Global water withdrawal to availability ratio (Source: Water	
	GAP, 1999).	08
Figure 1.2.	Location map of study area.	12
Figure 1.3.	Chirah watershed and drainage network.	13
Figure 2.1.	Procedure for hydrological model application (Refsgaard, 1996).	17
Figure 2.2.	Outlet and inlet definition of watershed.	21
Figure 2.3.	Time series of simulated and observe annual discharge of	
	Chirah watershed 2003-2011.	24
Figure 3.1.	Comparison of water yield of Chirah watershed 2005, 2008, 2011	
	and average water yield of 2003 - 2011.	25
Figure 3.2.	Comparison of surface runoff of Chirah watershed 2005, 2008,	
	2011 and average water yield of 2003 - 2011.	26
Figure 3.3.	Comparison of precipitation, water yield, surface runoff and	
	ground water discharge of Chirah watershed in 2003, 2008 and	
	2011.	27
Figure 3.4.	Discharge in Chirah watershed from 2003-2011 in winters.	27
Figure 3.5.	Discharge in Chirah watershed from 2003- 2011 in summer.	28
Figure 3.6.	Average monthly values of various hydrological components of	
	the watershed.	31
Figure 3.7.	Land use/land cover status during base year and three land use	
	change scenarios.	32
Figure 3.8.	Comparison of hydrological response in term of surface runoff	
	under three land use change scenarios.	34
Figure 3.9.	Comparison of hydrological response in term of water yield	
	under three land use change scenarios.	35
Figure 3.10.	Comparison of hydrological response in term of ground water	
	recharges under three land use change scenarios.	36
Figure 3.11.	Hydrological response of the watershed under base year and	
	three land use change scenarios.	37

# TABLES

Page

Table 2.1.	Criteria for examining accuracy of calibration and validation	
	processes.	23
Table 3.1.	Average annual basin values.	30
Table 3.2.	Average monthly values of various hydrological components of	
	the watershed.	31
Table 3.3.	Percentage coverage of land use in base year 2010 and under	
	three land use change scenarios.	33
Table 3.4.	Percentage changes projected for water yield, surface runoff	
	and ground water recharge under different land use change	
	scenarios using base conditions of 2011.	37