

FREQUENCY BASED TEST CASE PRIORITIZATION

BY

ANAM ZEHRA

01-244141-002

SUPERVISED BY

DR. MUHAMMAD MUZAMMAL



Session-2014-16

A Report submitted to the Department of Software Engineering

Bahria University, Islamabad

in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of MS(SE)

CERTIFICATE

We accept the work contained in this report as a confirmation to the required standard for the partial fulfilment of the degree of MS(SE).

Head of Department

Supervisor

Internal Examiner

External Examiner

DEDICATION

Dedicated to my beloved parents and to all those, whose prayers always pave the way to success
for me.

DECLARATION OF AUTHORSHIP

I hereby declare that content of this thesis is my own work and that it is the result of work done during the period of registration. To the best of my knowledge, it contains no material previously published or written by another person nor material which to a substantial extent has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma of the university or other institute of higher learning, except where due acknowledgement has been made in the text.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Above all, to the Great Almighty, Allah S.W.T., the author of knowledge and wisdom, for his countless blessings. It is with great pride that I hereby present my MS thesis. I would like to express absolute gratitude to my beloved supervisor, Dr. Muhammad Muzammal for his encouragement and persistent support in myself undertaking this research. I am also grateful to my beloved family, friends, and classmates for their prayers.

ABSTRACT

Softwares are ever evolving. Every time software evolves, it requires testing in order to verify that the evolution did not affect the already working parts of the system. Thus, software testing is of fundamental importance in software development life cycle. For each new release, testing the entire test suite is often called regression testing and may not be feasible due to resource constraints. Researchers have proposed prioritization schemes for prioritising test cases in the test suite such that as many test cases are executed as possible within the given time and effort constraints. However, a prioritisation scheme should not compromise the ability of the test suite in identifying the faults. In this work, we propose a test case prioritisation scheme that is based on the two kinds of input, i.e. it considers tester's perspective and the user's perspective. Test cases are prioritised based on both the perspectives and the workflows which are long and relatively complex are prioritised over smaller in length and relatively less complex workflows. We call the prioritization scheme Frequency based Test-case Prioritization (FTCP). We propose three algorithms for the purpose namely Interaction Mining Algorithm, a Mapping Algorithm and a Prioritization Algorithm. We consider two applications as case studies and validate the effectiveness of FTCP.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>Certificate</i>	<i>ii</i>
<i>Dedication</i>	<i>iii</i>
<i>Declaration of Authorship</i>	<i>iv</i>
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	<i>v</i>
<i>Abstract</i>	<i>vi</i>
<i>List of Figures</i>	<i>xi</i>
<i>List of Tables</i>	<i>xiii</i>
<i>Abbreviations</i>	<i>xiv</i>
CHAPTER 1. Introduction	2
1.1. Test Case Prioritization.....	3
1.2. Research Problem	4
1.3. Thesis Organization	5
1.4. Summary	5
CHAPTER 2. Test Case Prioritization	7
2.1. Test Case Prioritization.....	7
2.1.1. Coverage-based Prioritization.....	9
2.1.2. Chronographic history-based Prioritization.....	10
2.1.3. Cost effective Prioritization	10
2.1.4. Hybrid Prioritization	11
2.1.5. Adaptive Random Test Case Prioritization (ART).....	11
2.1.6. Distribution-based Prioritization.....	11

2.1.7. Jupta Prioritization	11
2.1.8. GUI –based Prioritization	12
2.1.9. Risk-based Prioritization.....	12
2.1.10. Frequency-based Prioritization	12
2.2. Evaluating TCP Effectiveness	13
2.2.1. Percentage of Fault Detected	13
2.2.2. Fault seeding	13
2.3. Frequency-based TCP	13
2.4. Summary	14
CHAPTER 3. Frequency based test case prioritization.....	16
3.1. FTCP Outline	16
3.1.1. Interaction Recording.....	16
3.1.2. Mining.....	17
3.1.3. Mapping	18
3.1.4. Test Case Prioritization.....	18
3.1.5. Validation.....	19
3.2. FTCP Framework.....	19
3.2.1. Interaction Recording.....	20
3.2.2. Mining Algorithm	22
3.2.3. Mapping Algorithm	25

3.2.4. Test Case Prioritization.....	26
3.2.5. Validation.....	26
3.3. Summary	28
CHAPTER 4. Implementation	30
4.1. FTCP Procedure.....	30
4.1.1. User Interaction recording	30
4.1.2. Tester Interaction recording.....	30
4.2. Sequential pattern mining	31
4.3. Mapping	32
4.4. Prioritization	33
4.5. Summary	34
CHAPTER 5. Evaluation	36
5.1. Fault Seeding	36
5.1.1. Random Fault Seeding.....	37
5.1.2. Frequent Fault Seeding.....	37
5.2. Setup	37
5.3. Datasets	37
5.3.1. Real Datasets.....	38
5.4. Evaluation	39
5.5. Case Study1: Online Book Store	39

5.5.1. Interaction Recording.....	39
5.5.2. Mining Algorithm	40
5.5.3. Prioritization Algorithm.....	42
5.5.4. Validation.....	44
5.6. Case Study2: Calculator.....	45
5.6.1. Interaction Recording.....	45
5.6.2. Mining Algorithm	46
5.6.3. Prioritization Algorithm.....	49
5.6.4. Validation.....	50
5.6.1. Evaluation Results	51
5.7. Summary	55
CHAPTER 6. Conclusions and future work.....	58
6.1. Contributions.....	58
6.2. Future Work	59
6.3. Summary	59
References.....	60
Appendix A. User Manual.....	64
Appendix B. Test cases	69
Appendix C. Use cases.....	70

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Overview of FTCP	20
Figure 2: User Interaction Recording	21
Figure 3: Tester Interaction Recording	21
Figure 4: Fault Seeding	27
Figure 5: Comparison Of confidence of Techniques	55

LIST OF EQUATIONS

Equation 1: No of non-seeded faults.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Equation 2:Confidence of test suite	52

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Interaction Recording	22
Table 2: 1-Candidate Generation	23
Table 3: 2-Candidate Generation	24
Table 4: 3-Candidate Generation	24
Table 5: Mapping of LMSP with test suite	25
Table 6: Test Suite Ranking.....	26
Table 7: Faults Classification.....	27
Table 8: Sequential Pattern Mining Algorithm.....	31
Table 9: Mapping Algorithm	32
Table 10: Prioritization Algorithm.....	33
Table 11: Sampath's Ranking of test cases (Case Study 1)	41
Table 12: FTCP Ranking of test cases (Case Study 1)	43
Table 13: Sampath's Ranking order of Test Cases (Case Study 2).....	48
Table 14: FTCP Ranking of test cases of (Case Study 2).....	49
Table 15: Evaluation Results for Case Study 1	52
Table 16: Evaluation Results for Case Study 2	53

ABBREVIATIONS

TCP	Test Case Prioritization
FTCP	Frequency based Test Case Prioritization
LMSP	Longest Most Significant Path
SPM	Sequential Pattern Mining