INVISTIGATION OF NON-TECHNICAL ISSUES IN SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT PHASES AND THEIR LINKAGES WITH SOFTWARE PROJECT FAILURE



Ву

Muhammad Ansar

Enrollment No: 01-244132-007

A thesis submitted to the Department of Software Engineering, Bahria University,
Islamabad in the partial fulfillment for the requirements of a Masters degree in Software
Engineering

OCTOBER 2015

ABSTRACT

In software industry, every software organization faces technical and non-technical issues during software project development. Every software organization has aim to build software project under time, cost and with required quality goals. Due to technical and non-technical issues software project success criteria are affected and ultimately to software project failure.

In this study, we explored the non-technical issues in each software development phase and identified the factors that cause software project failure. For this purpose, we divided our study into two parts. In first part of study, we conducted interviews with software expert for exploring non-technical issues in each phase of software development using exploratory research technique. On the basis of experts opinions, we proposed hypotheses and design questionnaires. In the second part of the study, we conducted survey in 30 different software organization located in Pakistan's capital region. After survey, we analysed the responses and documented the result.

In this study, we found that non-technical issues (communication, understandability and political issues) prevailing in each software organization. Communication, understanability and political issues are those issues that affect software project and ultimately to software project failure. If these issues were managed properly affect software project. We linked these issue with software project failure in each software development phase. For this purpose we used correlation and logistic regression techniques to measure the association and causation between non-technical issues and software project failure in each software development phase.

After statistical analysis we found that understandability issues affect the undertaken software project in designing and coding phase only and it has no significantly effect in other phases of software development. Communication issues affect all phases of software development while political issues significantly affect software project in testing phase. We also found higher correlation between software project failure and communication issues.

Certificate of Originality

No portion of the work referred to in the thesis has been submitted in support of an application for another degree or qualification of this or any other university or other institution of learning.

Copyright Statement

- 1. The author of this thesis (including any appendices and/or schedules to this thesis) owns any copyright in it (the "Copyright") and he has given Bahria University, Islamabad the right to use such Copyright for any administrative, promotional, educational and/or teaching purposes.
- Copies of this thesis, either in full or in extracts, may be made only
 in accordance with the regulations of the Bahria University Library.
 Details of these regulations may be obtained from the Librarian. This page
 must form part of any such copies made.
- 3. The ownership of any patents, designs, trademarks and any and all other intellectual property rights except for the Copyright (the "Intellectual Property Rights") and any reproductions of copyright works, for example graphs and tables ("Reproductions"), which may be described in this thesis, may not be owned by the author and may be owned by third parties. Such Intellectual Property Rights and Reproductions cannot and must not be made available for use without the prior written permission of the owner(s) of the relevant Intellectual Property Rights and/or Reproductions.
- 4. Further information on the conditions under which disclosure, publication and exploitation of this thesis, the Copyright and any Intellectual Property Rights and/or Reproductions described in it may take place is available from the Head of Department of Software Engineering, Bahria University, Islamabad.

Dedication

I would like to dedicate this thesis to my beloved parents who always supported me and whose unconditional support is always with me. They have given me encouragement to deal with each and every problem with enthusiasm and determination. Without their support I would not be able to complete this thesis. Their love, affection and belief in me, made me achieve my goals successfully. Further, I would like to extend my dedication to my beloved brothers, sisters, my supervisor **Dr.Tamim Ahmad Khan** and to maternal uncle (Khan Afsar).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thanks to **Almighty Allah** to give me knowledge, strength, and patience to complete this thesis. I am personally very thankful to my supervisor **Dr. Tamim Ahmad Khan** whose encouragement was always with me to accomplish this thesis from initial to final phase. Without his support, supervision and guidance I would have not able to complete my research work.

lab	ıle	ot	Contents

1.1	Intr	oduction	10
1.2	Mo	tivation	11
1.3	Pro	blem Statement	12
1.4	Нур	potheses	13
1.5	The	e Aims and Objective	14
1.6	Imp	portance and Benefit	14
1.7	The	esis Organization	15
Chapte	r 2	Literature Review	16
Chapte	r 3	Research Methodology	22
3.1	Res	search Methodology	22
3.2	San	nple	24
3.3	Inst	trumentation	24
3.4	Stat	tistical Analysis	25
3.4	.1	Pearson's Correlation	25
3.4	.2	Logistic Regression	25
Chapte	r 4	Data Analysis and Finding	26
4.1	Nor	n-Technical Issues in Requirement Phase	26
4.1	.1	Non-Technical Issues in Requirement Phase	26
4.1 Pro	.2 ject	Non-Technical Issues in Requirement Phase that Affect Software 50	
4.1	.3	Result of Hypothesis in Requirement Phase	54
4.2	Nor	n-Technical Issues in Designing Phase	58
4.2	.1	Non-Technical Issues in Designing Phase	58
4.2 Pro	.2 ject	Non-Technical Issues in Software Designing Phase that Affect Softw 73	vare
4.2	.3	Result of Hypothesis in Software Designing Phase	77
4.3	Nor	n-Technical Issues in Coding Phase	81
4.3	.1	Non-Technical Issues in Coding Phase	81
4.3	.2	Non-Technical Issues in Coding Phase that Affect Software Project.	96
4.3	.3	Hypothesis Result in Coding Phase	100
4.4	Nor	n-Technical Issues in Testing Phase	103
4.4	.1	Non-Technical Issues in Testing Phase of SDLC	103
4.4	.2	Non-Technical Issues in Testing Phase that Affect Software Project.	115
4.4	.3	Hypothesis Result in Testing Phase	115
Chapte	r 5	Conclusion	118

5.1	Conclusion	118
5.2	Limitation of Study	121
5.3	Future Work	121
Referen	ıces	123
Append	lices	127
Apper	ndix-A	127
Apper	Appendix -B	

List of Figures

Figure 4.1.1. 1	In requirement capturing process, end users are not involved	28
Figure 4.1.1. 2	No trained and experienced person available to capture/gather the	
requirement	28	
Figure 4.1.1. 3	Technical terms are used in documents that are delivered to customer	29
Figure 4.1.1. 4		y are
	performing their work	30
Figure 4.1.1. 5	Requirement collected by customer in software Development Compa	ıny's
	workplace rather than customer office	31
Figure 4.1.1. 6	We do not tell to customer when some requirement are not implementable d	ue
	to any Constraint or non-availability of technology	32
Figure 4.1.1. 7	Some extra constrain putted by customer does not taken seriously	32
Figure 4.1.1. 8	Adequate tools are not available for requirement management	33
Figure 4.1.1. 9	We don't have interest to know all processes of customer business	34
Figure 4.1.1. 10	Mistake made by requirement analyst in phase of capturing requirement	34
Figure 4.1.1. 11	Requirement engineer are not prepared for capturing requirement	35
Figure 4.1.1. 12	After completing the requirement process, we have no room of risk for	
changing r	equirement	36
Figure 4.1.1. 13	Changing in requirement does not documented properly	36
Figure 4.1.1. 14	Work break down (WBS) does not develop for requirement analysis	37
Figure 4.1.1. 15	Requirement does not prioritize	38
Figure 4.1.1. 16	There is no trace in requirement, requirement does not ordered in sequence	e 38
Figure 4.1.1. 17	Communication does not properly managed between customer and	
	requirement team member	39
Figure 4.1.1. 18	Customer oral communication does not documented and recorded, when	he
	telling about his business procedures and business requirements	40
Figure 4.1.1. 19	Quality plan does not carried out	40
Figure 4.1.1. 20	Risk plan about project does not develop	41
Figure 4.1.1. 21	Required skills are not available to implement the project	42
Figure 4.1.1. 22	Communication between customer and requirement team are not importa	nt
	after completing the requirement acquisition	42
Figure 4.1.1. 23	Software development team does not read all requirement document	43

Figure 4.1.1. 24	No schedule plan developed for project	44
Figure 4.1.1. 25	Requirement does not captured in natural language	44
Figure 4.1.1. 26	Political Issues	45
Figure 4.1.1. 27	Communication Issues	45
Figure 4.1.1. 28	Understandability Issues	46
Figure 4.1.1. 29	Non-Technical Issues in Requirement Phase	49
Figure 4.2.1. 1	Non-Technical Issues in Requirement Phase that Affect Software Project	53
Figure 4.2.1. 2	In your organization, right tools are available for designing the software accord	ding
	to customer requirement?	58
Figure 4.2.1. 3	In your organization, Does not have required and enough knowledge about	
	designing procedures	59
Figure 4.2.1. 4	Programmer don't participating in designing process	59
Figure 4.2.1. 5	In your organization, detailed analysis does not carried out, about software	
design?	60	
Figure 4.2.1. 6	Do you show final design interfaces to customer along development?	60
Figure 4.2.1. 7	At this level customer's working environment does not analyzed	61
Figure 4.2.1. 8	At this level, design of final interfaces do not show to customer along	
development?		
Figure 4.2.1. 9	Do you document changes properly in design suggested by customer?	
Figure 4.2.1. 10	Designers don't read all requirement document?	
Figure 4.2.1. 11	In your organization, designer design software on the basis of experience	
	rather analyzing customer context	63
Figure 4.2.1. 12	If additional requirement identified at this level, then these identified	
	requirement does not documented properly	
Figure 4.2.1. 13	Design changing at great variation by changing customer requirement	64
Figure 4.2.1. 14.	For alternative evaluation, prototype did not constructed in your	
organization?	65	
Figure 4.2.1. 15	In designing, flowchart, hierarchical diagram does not drawn in you softwar	re
	developing process?	65
Figure 4.2.1. 16	All software designing process do not documented properly?	66
Figure 4.2.1. 17	Prototyping issues	66

Figure 4.2.1. 18	We do not have enough time for prototyping.	67
Figure 4.2.1. 19	Due to time constraint, designing through proper analysis is not carried or	ıt. 68
Figure 4.2.1. 20	Political Issues	68
Figure 4.2.1. 21	Understandability Issue	69
Figure 4.2.1. 22	Communication Issue	69
Figure 4.2.1. 23	Non-Technical Issues at Software Designing	72
Figure 4.2.2. 1	Non-Technical Issues at Software Designing Phase (Ranked)	76
Figure 4.3.1. 1	Programmers don't have all required skill for assigned project	82
Figure 4.3.1. 2	Problem in selection the right people on software project	82
Figure 4.3.1. 3	Team selector has not enough knowledge about some complex project in	your
	organization.	83
Figure 4.3.1. 4	Project manager/team selector do not read all requirement document	84
Figure 4.3.1. 5.	Programmer handle both designing and coding phase.	84
Figure 4.3.1. 6	Programmer mostly hide/steel requirements, when they seems diffic	ult to
	implement it for own comfort	85
Figure 4.3.1. 7	Programmer play politics in creeping requirement	86
Figure 4.3.1. 8.	Programmer works dynamically on different software project	86
Figure 4.3.1. 9	There is communication gap between programmer and requirement team	87
Figure 4.3.1. 10.	On same project, programmer sets in different room.	88
Figure 4.3.1. 11.	Before starting project, there is training for project team member	88
Figure 4.3.1. 12	There is no help available to programmer, if he/she struck in his work	89
Figure 4.3.1. 13	Due to time constraint, programmer has overloaded burden of work	89
Figure 4.3.1. 14	On same project, there is not enough communication between	
programmers.	90	
Figure 4.3.1. 15	Programmers don't have interest to work on new project	90
Figure 4.3.1. 16	Understandability issues	91
Figure 4.3.1. 17	Political issue	92
Figure 4.3.1. 18	Communication Issues	92
Figure 4.3.1. 19	Non-Technical Issues in Coding Phase	95
Figure 4.3.2. 1	Non-Technical Issues in coding phase that affect software project (ranked)	99
Figure 4.4.1. 1	Entire Testing staff are not available to test all features of software	. 104

Figure 4.4.1. 2	Complete testing does not perform and have no interest in testing all	
features	105	
Figure 4.4.1. 3	About incomplete features, we do not tell to customer in final software	105
Figure 4.4.1. 4	In testing, identified missing requirement does not documented properly	106
Figure 4.4.1. 5	In testing, failure states and reasons are not documented properly	107
Figure 4.4.1. 6	Testing performed at the end of the development	107
Figure 4.4.1. 7	One of the reason among software failure is that there is no communication	ıtion
	between requirement team and testing team	108
Figure 4.4.1. 8.	Programmer tests the software in your organization.	109
Figure 4.4.1. 9	Feedback from the customer on software deliverable are not documented	
properly	109	
Figure 4.4.1. 10	Lesson learned in testing on software project, does not documented for fu	ture
	references	110
Figure 4.4.1. 11	Project will fail, if non-technical issues are not handled/managed properly	in
	testing phase	111
Figure 4.4.1. 12	Political Issues	111
Figure 4.4.1. 13	Understandability Issues	112
Figure 4.4.1. 14.	Communication Issues	112
Figure 4.4.1. 15	Non-Technical Issues in Software Testing Phase	114
Figure 4.4.2. 1	Non-Technical Issues in Software Testing Phase that Affect Software	
Project	115	

List of Tables

Table 4.1.1. 1	Non-Technical Issues in Software Requirement Phase	47
Table 4.1.2. 1 Table 4.1.2. 2	Non-Technical Issues at Requirement Phase that Affect Software Project Non-Technical Issues at Requirement Phase that Affect Software Project (Ranked)	
Table 4.1.3. 1 Table 4.1.3. 2	Correlation between Software Project Failure and Non-technical Issues Logistic regression result between software project failure and non-technical issues	55
Table 4.2.1. 1	Non-Technical Issues at Software Designing	70
Table 4.2.2. 1 Table 4.2.2. 2	Non-Technical Issues in Software Designing Phase that Affect Software Project Non-Technical Issues in Software Designing Phase that Affect Software	73
	Project	74
Table 4.2.3. 1 Table 4.2.3. 2	Correlation between Software Project Failure and Non-Technical Issues . Logistic Regression Result between Software Project Failure and Non-Technical Issues .	
Table 4.3.1. 1	Non-Technical Issues in Software Coding Phase	
Table 4.3.2. 1 Table 4.3.2. 2 (Ranked)	Non-Technical Issues in Coding Phase that Affect Software Project Non-Technical Issues in Coding Phase that Affect Software Project	
		97
	Correlation Result between Software Project and Non-Technical Issues 1 gistic Regression Result between Software Project Failure and Non-chnical Issues	00
Table 4.4.1. 1	Non-Technical Issues at Software Testing Phase1	13
Table 4.4.2.1. 1 Project	Non-Technical Issues at Software Testing Phase that Affect Software	
	1	15
Table 4.4.3. 1 Issues	Correlation Result between Software Project Failure and Non-Technical	
Table 4.4.3. 2	Logistic Regression Result between Software Project Failure and Non-	15
1 able 7.7.3. Z	Technical Issues	16