# IMMUNITY OF ARBITRATOR IN COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION: AN ANALYTICAL STUDY WITH RESPECT TO INERNTATIONAL AND NATIONAL LEGAL FRAMEWORK OF PAKISTAN



### **Submitted By**

Muhammad Naeem Khan 01-278221-005

## **Supervised By**

Dr Ghulam Abbas Sulehry

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Laws (LLM) International and Maritime Law

BAHRIA UNIVERSITY LAW SCHOOL
ISLAMABAD
February 2024

### APPROVAL FOR EXAMINATION

Scholar's Name: Muhammad Naeem Khan

Registration No. <u>01-278221-005</u>

Programme of Study: <u>LLM International and Maritime Law</u>

Thesis Title: "Immunity of Arbitrator in Commercial Arbitration: An Analytical Study

with Respect to International and National Legal Framework of Pakistan"

This is to certify that the above scholar's thesis has been completed to my satisfaction and, to my belief, its standard is appropriate for submission for examination. I have also conducted plagiarism test of this thesis using HEC prescribed software and found similarity index 3% that is within the permissible limit set by the HEC for the LLM degree thesis. I have also found the thesis in a format recognized by the BU for the LLM thesis.

Principal Supervisor's Signature:

Date: <u>16 February 2024</u>

Name: <u>Dr Ghulam Abbas Sulehry</u>

iii

**AUTHOR'S DECLARATION** 

I, Muhammad Naeem Khan hereby state that my LLM thesis titled

"Immunity of Arbitrator in Commercial Arbitration: An Analytical Study with Respect to International and National Legal Framework of Pakistan" is my own work and has not been submitted previously by me for taking any degree from "Bahria University "or anywhere else in the country/world. At any time if my statement is found to be incorrect even after my graduation, the University has the right to withdraw/cancel my PhD

degree.

Name of Scholar: Muhammad Naeem Khan

Date: <u>16 February 2024</u>

### PLAGIARISM UNDERTAKING

I, solemnly declare that research work presented in the thesis titled "Immunity of Arbitrator in Commercial Arbitration: An Analytical Study with Respect to International and National Legal Framework of Pakistan" is solely my research work with no significant contribution from any other person. Small contribution / help wherever taken has been duly acknowledged and that complete thesis has been written by me. I understand the zero-tolerance policy of the HEC and Bahria University towards plagiarism. Therefore, I as an Author of the above titled thesis declare that no portion of my thesis has been plagiarized and any material used as reference is properly referred / cited. I undertake that if I am found guilty of any formal plagiarism in the above titled thesis even after award of LLM degree, the university reserves the right to withdraw / revoke my LLM degree and that HEC and the University has the right to publish my name on the HEC / University website on which names of scholars are placed who submitted plagiarized thesis.

Scholar / Author's Sign:	

Name of the Scholar: Muhammad Naeem Khan

# **DEDICATION**

This Thesis is a dedication to Science, Industry, Reason and Law as instrument of Order

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

In preparing this thesis, I was in contact with many people, researchers, academicians, and practitioners. They have contributed towards my understanding and thoughts. Firstly, I am grateful to my family for their immense support and encouragement. I am also very grateful to my classmates for their support and encouragement. In particular, I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my main thesis supervisor, Dr Ghulam Abbas Sulehry Without his continued support and interest, this thesis would not have been the same as presented here.



An Arbitrator and an Altar are the same since the injured fly to both for refuge.

Archytas (Aristotle, Rhetoric Book 3[25])

### **ABSTRACT**

The success of the process of arbitration is hindered by the absence of immunity of arbitrator in the arbitration law of Pakistan. The aim of the study is to analyze the provision of immunity of arbitrator, tracing its origin in history, to the development of the concept of immunity in the light of judicial precedents and analyzing the provision of immunity to the arbitrator in the international law as well as common law jurisdictions. The Legal Doctrinal inquiry is conducted under the Normative Framework where immunity provisions of various international arbitral institutions including maritime arbitral institutions and common law jurisdictions have been studied as they are. The case law of common law jurisdictions has been particularly taken in account, to determine the scope and extent of immunity While it has been found out that immunity extends from Qualified to Absolute in the comity of nations, the existing law of arbitration in Pakistan is devoid of any immunity for the arbitrator. The efforts in this regard have been found to be without substance as they are not based on the current trends in international law, as well as on the study of the context of the immunity of arbitrator. A complete overhaul of arbitration law is recommended along with the provision of immunity to the arbitrator and affiliated staff as well as the institution on the basis of international law.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

APPROVAL FOR EXAMINATION	ii
AUTHOR'S DECLARATION	iii
PLAGIARISM UNDERTAKING	iv
DEDICATION	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	vi
ABSTRACT	
TABLE OF CONTENTS	
LIST OF AABREVIATIONS	
LIST OF CASES	
RESEARCH STATEMENT	
INTRODUCTION	XV
RESEARCH QUESTIONS	
RESEARCH OBJECTIVES	
LITERATURE REVIEW	
RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	
CHAPTER 1	
THE CONCEPT ORIGINS AND EXTENT OF ARBITRATOR IMMUNITY	
Introduction	
1.1 Legal Doctrinal Aspect of Immunity of Arbitrator	
1.1.1 An Attempt at Definition	
1.1.2 Doctrinal Scholarship and Normative Aspect	
1.2 The Normative Theory	4
1.2.1 Normative Monistic view of International and National Law	
1.2.2 Kelsen's 'Ought,' 'Objective' and Immunity of Arbitrator	
1.2.3 The Legal System as a Framework	
1.3 Historical Context and Origins of Arbitrator Immunity	
1.3.1 Floyd vs Barker	
1.3.2 The Marshalsea Case	8
1.4 From Judicial Immunity to Immunity of Arbitrator	
1.4.1 Historical Tidings of Immunity in USA	
1.4.2 Historical Context of Immunity in United Kingdom	
1.5 Theories of Arbitration	
1.5.1 Contractual Theory	
1.5.2 Jurisdictional Theory	
1.5.3 Hybrid Theory	
1.6 Extent of Arbitral Immunity	
1.6.1 Absolute Immunity	
1.6.2 Qualified or Limited Immunity	
·	
1.6.3 Absence of Immunity or Liability	19

CHAPTER 2	22
THE INTERNATIONAL LAW AND IMMUNITY OF ARBITRATOR	22
Introduction	22
2.1 Developments in International Law of Arbitration	
2.1.1 The Protocol on Arbitration Clauses (Geneva protocol 1923)	
2.1.2 The Geneva Convention 1927 and The New York Convention 1958	
2.1.3 The United Nations Commission on International Trade Law	
(UNCITRAL Law)	24
2.1.4 The UNCITRAL Rules	
2.1.5 Immunity of Arbitrator and UNCITRAL Rules	
2.2 International Arbitration Institutions and Immunity of Arbitrator	
2.2.1 ICC Arbitration rules and Immunity of Arbitrator	
2.2.2 Exclusion of Liability of Arbitrator Under ICDR Rules	
2.2.3 LCIA Arbitration Rules and Immunity of Arbitrator	
2.2.4 Exclusion of Liability of Arbitrator in SCC Rules	
2.3 The Ad hoc Aspect of Maritime Arbitration	
2.4 Maritime Arbitration, the International Maritime Law and Immunity of	
Arbitrator	36
2.5 International Maritime Centers and Immunity of Arbitrator	
2.6 Maritime Arbitration in London and Immunity of Arbitrator	
2.6.1 The LMAA Rules and Immunity of Arbitrator	
2.7 Immunity of Arbitrator in New York Maritime Arbitration	
2.7.1 Society of Maritime Arbitrators (SMA)	
2.7.2 The MAA and Arbitral Immunity	
2.8 Singapore Maritime Arbitration and Immunity of Arbitrator	
2.8.1 Arbitral Immunity under SCMA Arbitration Rules	
2.8.2 SMAA and Immunity of Arbitrator	
·	
Conclusion	
CHAPTER 3	
THE LEX ARBITRI IN COMMON LAW JURISDICTIONS AND IMMUNITY (	
ARBITRATOR	49
Introduction	49
3.1 The Influence of Lex Mercatoria in Arbitration	49
3.2 The Function of Lex Arbitri in Arbitration	
3.3 Lex Mercatoria or Lex Arbitri	
3.4 Lex Arbitri in United Kingdom and Immunity of Arbitrator	
3.4.1 Arbitration Act and Immunity of Arbitrator	
3.5 Immunity of Arbitrator under United States Lex Arbitri	
3.5.1 Federal Arbitration Act (FAA) and Immunity of Arbitrator	
3.5.2 US Courts and Immunity of Arbitrator	
3.6 Immunity of Arbitrator under Lex Arbitri in India	
3.6.1 Legal framework for Domestic and International Arbitration	
3.6.2 Arbitration and Conciliation Act and Immunity of Arbitrator	
in India	
Conclusion	
CHAPTER 4	

CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF IMMUNITY OF ARBITRATOR AND LEX ARB	ITRI IN
PAKISTAN	69
4.1 The Legal Framework of Arbitration in Pakistan	69
4.1.1 The Arbitration Act 1940 and Immunity of Arbitrator	
4.1.2 Recognition and Enforcement Act 2011 and Immunity of Arbitra	
4.1.3 Arbitration International Investment Disputes Act and Immunity	of
Arbitrator	73
4.2 Animadversion of Arbitration Law in Pakistan	74
4.3 Efforts to Replace the Domestic Arbitration Law	78
4.3.1 Draft Arbitration and Conciliation Act 2015	78
4.4 Draft Arbitration Act 2023 and Immunity of Arbitrator	79
4.4.1 Shortcomings in the Immunity Provision	80
4.4.2 Public Policy Provision and Effect on Immunity of Arbitrator	82
4.4.3 Other Provisions Limiting the Role of Arbitrator	83
4.5 The Argument for Arbitral Immunity in Pakistan	85
4.6 The Scope and Extent of Judicial Immunity in Pakistan	85
4.7 Status of Arbitrator in the Opinion of Courts	
4.8 Courts Opinion about International Law	89
4.9 UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules and Pakistan Arbitration Law	90
Conclusion	
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	92
Conclusions	92
Recommendations	94
Specific	94
General	95
BIBLIOGARPHY	96

### LIST OF AABREVIATIONS

AAA American Arbitration Association

AIDA Arbitration Investment Dispute Act

APC Arbitration Protocol Convention

BC Before Christ

CAA Construction Arbitration Association

UN-ECOSOC United Nations Economic and Social Council

FAA Federal Arbitration Act

FICCI Federation of India Chamber of Commerce and Industry

FINRA Financial Industry Regulatory Authority

IAA International Arbitration Act
ICA Indian Council of Arbitration

ICC International Chamber of Commerce

ICDR International Centre for Dispute Resolution
LCIA London Court of International Arbitration
LMAA London Maritime Arbitration Association

LTD Limited

MAA Maritime Arbitration Association
PCA Permanent Court of Arbitration
REFA Recognition and Enforcement Act
SCC Stockholm Chamber of Commerce

SCMA Singapore Chamber of Maritime Arbitration

SMA Society of Maritime Arbitration

SMAA Singapore Maritime Arbitration Association

UK United Kingdom
UN United Nations

UNCITRAL United Nations Commission on International Trade Law

UNCLOS United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea

US United States

USA United States of America

### LIST OF CASES

Abrar Hassan vs Government of Sind, PLD (1976) SC 315

Al-Abdullah Constructors (Pvt.) Ltd vs. WAPDA (2008) CLC 798

Amna Arshad vs Govt Of The Punjab and Others (2022) PLC (C.S.) 1523 383

Arenson vs Casson Beckman Rutley & Co., (1977) A.C. 405

Bahar Shah and others vs Manzoor Ahmad (2022) SCMR 284

Boraks vs American Arbitration Ass'n, (1994) 205 Mich. App. 149

Bradley vs Fisher, (1871) 80 U.S. 13 Wall. 335 335

Bremer Vulkan vs South India Shipping (1981) AC 921

Butz vs Economou (1978) 438 U.S. 478, 511-12

Compagnie Europeene de Cereals SA vs Tradax Export SA (1986) 2 Lloyd's Rep 301

Corbin vs Washington Fire and Marine Insurance Company (1968) 278 F Supp 393

Corey vs New York Stock Exchange, (1982) 691 F2d 1205, 1209 CA 6

Crown v. Penn and Mead, Bushel's Case (1670) 124 E.R. 1006

D.G. National Training Bureau vs James Construction Company (Pvt) Ltd PLD (2018)

Islamabad High Court

Defense Housing Authority, Islamabad vs Multi-National Venture Development (Pvt.)

ltd. (2019) C L D 566 Islamabad

Floyd vs Barker (1607) 77 Eng. Rep. 1305

Forrester vs White, (1988) Page 484 U. S. 225

Gerry's International (pvt) Ltd vs Aeroflot Russian International Airlines (2018) SCM R 662

Gul Taiz Khan Marwat vs Registrar, Peshawar High Court, PLD (2021) SC 391

Higdon vs Construction Arbitration Associates, Ltd., (2002) 71 S.W.3d 131

Hill vs Aro Corp. (1967) 263 F. Supp. 324

Hoosac Tunnel Dock & Elevator Co. vs O'Brien (1884) 137 Mass. 424.

Hubco vs Wapda PLD (2000) SC 841

James Miller vs Whitworth St (1970) I All E.R. 796

Jess Smith and Sons Cotton LLC vs Ds Industries CLD (2019) Lahore 23

Jones vs Brown (1880) 6 N.W. 140 Iowa

Lanza vs Fin. Indus. Regulatory Auth. (2018) 347 F. Supp. 3d 104

Lundgreen vs Freeman (1962) 307 F.2d 104 9th Cir.

Malik Asad Ali vs Federation of Pakistan, PLD (1998) SC 103.

Malik Muhammad Mumtaz Qadri vs The State PLD (2016) SC 17

Masood Ahmad Bhatti vs Raja Imran Yaqoob and others P L D (2019) Islamabad 577

Miss Gulnaz Baloch vs Registrar Balochistan High Court Quetta, (2015) PLC (C.S) 393

Ms. Shehla Zia and Others vs Wapda P L D (1994) SC 693

Muhammad Akram vs Registrar Islamabad High Court, PLD (2016) SC 961.

Muhammad Iqbal and others vs Lahore High Court through Registrar and others, (2010) SCMR 636

Muhammad Mohsin Siddiqi vs Government of West Pakistan, PLD (1964) SC 64

Norjari vs Hyundai Heavy Industries (1992) QB 863, 3 WLR 1025, at [7]

Olson vs National Association of Securities Dealers (1996) 85 F.3d 381 8th Cir.

Orient Power Company vs Sui Northern Gas Pipelines Limited (2021) S C M R 1728

Rajesh Batra vs Ranbir Singh, (2011) 4 AR BLR 371

Ranjit Thakur vs Union of India and Others. (1987) 4 SCC 611

Richard Hall vs William Stanley The Marshalsea (1612) 77 Eng. Rep. 1027

Rossmere International Limited vs Sea Lion International Shipping Inc., PLD (2017) Quetta 29

Sport Maska Inc vs Zittrer (1988) 1 S.C.R. 564, 38 Bus. L. REP. 221

Sutcliffe vs Thackrah (1974) AC 727.

Taisei Corporation vs A.M. Construction Company (Private) Limited, PLD (2012) Lahore 455

Travel Automation (Pvt.) Ltd. vs Abacus International (Pvt.) Ltd. (2006) CLD Karachi 497