# HOW SOCIABLE IS YOUR UNIVERSITY BRAND? AN EMPIRICAL INVESTIGATION OF UNIVERSITY SOCIAL AUGMENTERS' BRAND EQUITY

# BY

# SARWAT SAFDAR 45828

A thesis submitted to the Business Studies Department, Bahria Business School, Bahria University Karachi Campus, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for MBA Degree



# FALL, 2021

# **BUSINESS STUDIES DEPARTMENT BAHRIA BUSINESS SCHOOL**

Bahria University Karachi Campus



## MBA Thesis 2nd Half-Semester Progress Report& Thesis Approval Statement

### Supervisor – Student Meeting Record

S#	Date	Place of Meeting	Topic Discussed	Signature of Student

APPROVAL FOR EXAMINATION				
Candidate's Name:	Sarwat Safdar ble is your university br	Registration No.: and? An empirical investiga		
	social augmenter	rs' brand equity		
my belief, its standard plagiarism test of this 16% that is within	is appropriate for subm thesis using HEC press the permissible limit se in-a format recognized l Khuwi am	has been completed to my satisfies for examination. I haveribed software and found sirt by the HEC for the MBA the by the Department of Busine Date:	re also conducted nilarity index at hesis. I have also	
HoD's Signature:	Sameenah Ri	Date:	1.4.22	

Fall 2021

### Acknowledgement

## In the name of Allah, who is the most Beneficent, and the most Merciful.

First and foremost, I would like to thank Allah Subhanahu Wa Ta'ala for giving me enough strength and resources to complete my research work in the given time frame, without His blessings, this task would not have been possible.

I would like to personally thank Mr. Khurram Adeel Shaikh, my thesis supervisor and my mentor for guiding and supporting me throughout this research work. His guidance and direction has not been limited to this study but beyond. And I have learned a lot while being under his supervision. I would like to thank Bahria University for providing me a platform to conduct my research.

Moreover, I would like to thank all respondents of my study for their precious time and feedback. Without their help, I would not have reached to a favorable conclusion. Lastly, thanks to everyone who has contributed to my work, I thank you from the bottom of my heart.

Sarwat Safdar

#### Abstract

#### Purpose

The purpose of this study is to put together the conceptualization of branding and higher education literature, also to develop and empirically test a model of university social augmenter's brand equity.

#### Methodology & Design

This study is conducted to examine the sociability of universities. Data was collect from more than 384 students through an effective research method of online survey. The questionnaire was designed to test the hypothesis.

#### Findings

The findings of this research shows that USA' reputation, student student interactions and coach student interaction influence student's satisfaction with social augmenters. It is also suggested through results that students who are satisfied by university are more likely to demonstrate the outcome of brand equity that is brand identification, will willingness to recommend, and willingness to incur an additional premium cost.

#### Limitations

Study was limited to Karachi only hence the scope was limited. The respondents were students only. This study was conducted during the corona times, and due to limitations the scope was restricted to physical experience and not online experience which is also considered as a thing now. This study did not apply to understand teacher's branding. Moreover it was not easy to reach all students in different universities hence the results are partially inclined to have a major part of one university and less responses from others. Future cross-sectional cultural research could survey students from different cities of Pakistan.

#### Recommendations

In order to create quality perception in front of students, the university and the teachers both have to plan it in very careful manner. Constant efforts are required to build and uplift satisfaction levels of current and potential students.

The expectations of student from university need to be monitored and catered conscientiously to generate impactful results and provide satisfaction therefore the HEC should hold the accountability for the service quality for private universities.

#### Keywords

Co-curricular activity, Higher education commission, University social augmenter brand equity, University branding, Student satisfaction.

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

CHA	APTE	R 1	.1
INT	RODU	JCTION	.1
		Introduction	
	1.2	Background	.2
		Problem Statement	
	1.4	Research Objectives	.3
	1.5	Research Questions	.4
	1.6	Significance of the Study	.4
	1.7	Scope of the Research	.5
	1.8	Organization of the Thesis	5
CH	APTE	R 2	7
LIT	ERAI	CUREREVIEW	7
	2.1	"Theoretical background"	7
	2.2	Augmented university services	
	2.3	"University social augmenters"	
	2.4	"CBBE model and USABE"	11
	2.5	"Antecedents of student satisfaction with social augmenters"	
	2.6	"Brand identification of the social augmenter"	
	2.7	Willingness to recommend the social augmenter	13
	2.8	"Willingness to incur a premium cost for the social augmenter"	14
	2.9	Social augmenter reputation	14
	2.10	Coach-student interaction	.15
		"Student-student interaction within social augmenter"	
	2.12	Summary of Literature Review	.17
	2.13	Research Hypotheses	.19
		Conceptual Framework	
CH	APTE	R3	.21
Res	earch	Methodology	.21
	3.1	Research Approach& Type	.21

3.2	Research Design	
3.3	Research Population	.21
3.4	Sample Size & Sampling Technique	.22
3.5	Research Instrument	
3.6	Data Collection	
3.7	Data Analyses Method	
	ER 4	
Results		25
4.1	Respondent Profile	25
4.2	Reliability Analyses	27
4.3	Hypothesis Testing	28
4.3.	.1 Hypothesis 1	31
4.3.	.2 Hypothesis 2	32
4.3	.3 Hypothesis 3	32
4.3	.4 Hypothesis 4	36
4.3	.5 Hypothesis 5	36
4.3	.6 Hypothesis 6	37
4.3	.7 Hypothesis 7	41
4.3	.8 Hypothesis 8	42
4.3	9.9 Hypothesis 9	42
4.4	4 Summary of Hypotheses Testing	43
	TER 5	
	sion	
5.1		
5.1		
5.1		
5.1	1.3 Hypothesis 3 Discussion	46
5.1	1.4 Hypothesis 4 Discussion	47

5.1.	.5 Hypothesis 5 Discussion	47
5.1	.6 Hypothesis 6 Discussion	48
5.1	.7 Hypothesis 7 Discussion	48
5.1	.8 Hypothesis 8 Discussion	49
5.1	.9 Hypothesis 9 Discussion	49
СНАРТ	rer 6	50
	mendationsand Conclusion	
6.1	Recommendations	50
6.2	2 Limitations of the Research	51
6.3	3 Future Research	51
6.4		52
Referer	nces	53

Appendix