

Contents

1	Introduction.....	1
1.1	Overview	2
1.2	General Introduction of the Study Area:	5
1.3	Exploration History	8
1.4	Future Potential	8
1.5	Objective of the Research Work	9
1.6	Place of Work and Facilitates Available:.....	10
1.6.1	Schlumberger Petrel.....	10
1.6.2	OpendTect.....	11
2	Review of literature.....	12
2.1	Tectonic.....	13
2.2	Stratigraphy of Lower Indus Basin	15
2.3	Boundaries of Southern Indus Basin	16
2.4	Petroleum Prospects	16
2.5	Source Rocks.....	16
2.5.1	Sembar Formation.....	17
2.5.2	Ranikot Formation	17
2.5.3	Goru Formation.....	17
2.5.4	HabibRahi Limestone	17
2.6	Trap and Seal.....	17
2.7	Reservoir	18
3	Plan of Methodology.....	19
3.1	Deterministic\ Predictive Reservoir Characterization.....	20
3.2	Realistic Reservoir Characterization.....	20
4	Deterministic Reservoir Characterization.....	24
4.1	Calibration of Seismic Interpretation	25
4.1.1	Synthetic Seismograms	25
4.2	Seismostructural Interpretation	30
4.3	Structural Analysis	31
4.3.1	Interpretation of Seismic Lines of the Study Area.....	31
5	Seismostratigraphic Analysis.....	48

5.1.1	Wheeler Transformation	49
5.2	System Tracts and Well Logs.....	91
5.3	Reservoir Quality and Sand Prediction from Dataset	92
6	Realistic Reservoir Characterization.....	95
6.1	Seismic Attribute Analysis.....	96
6.1.1	Seismic Attribute Extraction Parameters	96
6.1.2	Applied sample based attributes:	98
6.1.3	Applied Horizon based attributes:	106
6.2	Spectral Decomposition	111
6.3	Property Prediction by Using Neural Networks.....	116
6.3.1	Property Modeling Data Preparation	117
6.4	Building logic using logical empresion:	121
7	Structural Forward Modeling (SFM).....	127
8	Summary of PRC and RRC	134
8.1	Conclusions:	135
9	References:.....	137

List of Figures

Figure 1-1. Tectonic Framework and major sedimentary basins of Pakistan.....	4
Figure 1-2. The geographic boundaries of Sanghar area (Courtesy : Google Earth)	6
Figure 1-3. Basemap of the study area.....	7
Figure 2-1. Structural setting of Southern Indus basin (modified after Raza et al, 1990).....	14
Figure 4-1. Wells tied to the seismic line O/944-SGR-232 in a cross section view.....	26
Figure 4-2. Synthetic seismogram of the Ichri-01 well	27
Figure 4-3. Well synthetic tie with seismic line O/944-SGR-232	29
Figure 4-4. Structural Interpretation of the seismic Line O/944-SGR-231	33
Figure 4-5. Structural Interpretation of the seismic Line O/944-SGR-232	34
Figure 4-6. Structural Interpretation of the seismic Line O/944-SGR-233	35
Figure 4-7. Structural Interpretation of the seismic Line O/944-SGR-234	36
Figure 4-8. Structural Interpretation of the seismic Line O/944-SGR-37	37
Figure 4-9. Time contour map of Kirthar Formation.....	40
Figure 4-10. Depth contour map of Kirthar Formation	41
Figure 4-11. Time contour map of Top Lower Goru (Lower Goru)	42
Figure 4-12. Depth contour map of Top Lower Goru (Lower Goru)	43
Figure 4-13. Time contour map of Basal sand (Lower Goru)	44
Figure 4-14. Depth contour map of Basal sand (Lower Goru).....	45
Figure 4-15. Time contour map of Massive sand (Lower Goru).....	46
Figure 4-16. Depth contour map of Massive sand (Lower Goru).....	47
Figure 5-1. Automated dip steering data driven technique from seismic section.....	50
Figure 5-2. Track and terminate horizons using dip steering technique.....	51
Figure 5-3. Horizon cube display of the seismic line O/944-SGR-231	53
Figure 5-4. Horizon cube display of the seismic line O/944-SGR-232.....	54
Figure 5-5. Horizon cube display of the seismic line O/944-SGR-233	55
Figure 5-6. Horizon cube display of the seismic line O/944-SGR-234.....	56
Figure 5-7. Horizon cube display of the seismic line O/944-SGR-237.....	57
Figure 5-8. Estimated sequence interpretation showing eustatic sealevel changes in Lower Cretaceous of seismic line O/944-SGR-231	60

Figure 5-9. Estimated sequence interpretation showing eustatic sealevel changes in Lower Cretaceous of seismic line O/944-SGR-232	61
Figure 5-10. Estimated sequence interpretation showing eustatic sealevel changes in Lower Cretaceous of seismic line O/944-SGR-233	62
Figure 5-11. Estimated sequence interpretation showing eustatic sealevel changes in Lower Cretaceous of seismic line O/944-SGR-234	63
Figure 5-12. Estimated sequence interpretation showing eustatic sealevel changes in Lower Cretaceous of seismic line O/944-SGR-237	64
Figure 5-13. Estimated sequence interpretation showing eustatic sealevel changes in Lower Cretaceous of seismic line O/944-SGR-37	65
Figure 5-14. Estimated sequence interpretation showing eustatic sealevel changes in Lower Cretaceous of seismic line O/944-SGR-231	67
Figure 5-15. Estimated sequence interpretation showing eustatic sealevel changes in Lower Cretaceous of seismic line O/944-SGR-232	68
Figure 5-16. Estimated sequence interpretation showing eustatic sealevel changes in Lower Cretaceous of seismic line O/944-SGR-233	69
Figure 5-17. System tract display according mean sea level change during lower Cretaceous of seismic line O/944-SGR-234	70
Figure 5-18. System tract display according mean sea level change during lower Cretaceous of seismic line O/944-SGR-237	71
Figure 5-19. Seismic Wheeler diagram showing depositional domain structural features of the seismic line O/944-SGR-231	74
Figure 5-20. Seismic Wheeler diagram showing depositional domain structural features of the seismic line O/944-SGR-232	75
Figure 5-21. Seismic Wheeler diagram showing depositional domain structural features of the seismic line O/944-SGR-233	76
Figure 5-22. Seismic Wheeler diagram showing depositional domain structural features of the seismic line O/944-SGR-234	77
Figure 5-23. Seismic Wheeler diagram showing depositional domain structural features of the seismic line O/944-SGR-237	78

Figure 5-24. Sequence definition based on Hunt and Tucker(1992,1995) of the seismic line O/944-SGR-231	80
Figure 5-25. Sequence definition based on Hunt and Tucker(1992,1995) of the seismic line O/944-SGR-232	81
Figure 5-26. Sequence definition based on Hunt and Tucker(1992,1995) of the seismic line O/944-SGR-233	82
Figure 5-27. Sequence definition based on Hunt and Tucker(1992,1995) of the seismic line O/944-SGR-234	83
Figure 5-28. Sequence definition based on Hunt and Tucker(1992,1995) of the seismic line O/944-SGR-237	84
Figure 5-29. Sequence definition based on Hunt and Tucker(1992,1995) of the seismic line O/944-SGR-231	86
Figure 5-30. Sequence definition based on Hunt and Tucker(1992,1995) of the seismic line O/944-SGR-232	87
Figure 5-31. Sequence definition based on Hunt and Tucker(1992,1995) of the seismic line O/944-SGR-233	88
Figure 5-32. Sequence definition based on Hunt and Tucker(1992,1995) of the seismic line O/944-SGR-234	89
Figure 5-33. Sequence definition based on Hunt and Tucker(1992,1995) of the seismic line O/944-SGR-237	90
Figure 5-34. Well tie with system tract on seismic line O/944-SGR-232	91
Figure 5-35. Correlation of NPHI and RHOB well logs for the prediction of sand reservoir	93
Figure 6-1. Instantaneous Amplitude shows high and low amplitude areas on the seismic line O/944-SGR-232 in area of interest	99
Figure 6-2. 1st Derivatives of amplitudes shows sharpness of the sample value on the seismic line O/944-SGR-232	100
Figure 6-3. Detecting pinchout, stratigraphic trap locations and other stratigraphic discontinuities on the seismic line O/944-SGR-232	101
Figure 6-4. Detecting pinchout, stratigraphic trap locations and other stratigraphic discontinuities on the seismic line O/944-SGR-232	102

Figure 6-5. Instantaneous Frequency shows phase of the trace changes on the seismic line O/944-SGR-232	103
Figure 6-6. Energy shows responses weak signals (blue color) and high energy (red color) indicated at middle left of the wedge on the seismic line O/944-SGR-232.....	104
Figure 6-7. Hilbert Trace shows clarity of predicted channel Thin bed on the seismic line O/944-SGR-232	105
Figure 6-8. Interpreted horizon onlaps eastward above sequence boundary on the seismic line O/944-SGR-232	106
Figure 6-9. Minimum Amplitude attribute of the Massive sand surface.....	107
Figure 6-10. Energy attribute values on surface attributes of Massive sand	109
Figure 6-11. Isochoron attribute shows time thickness map ranges from TLG to Massive sand	110
Figure 6-12. Spectral decomposition response on 15 Hz frequency at seismic line O/944-SGR-232.....	113
Figure 6-13. Spectral decomposition response on 25 Hz frequency at seismic line O/944-SGR-232.....	114
Figure 6-14. Spectral decomposition response on 35 Hz frequency at seismic line O/944-SGR-232.....	115
Figure 6-15. Spectral decomposition response on 45 Hz frequency at seismic line O/944-SGR-232.....	115
6-16. a. Figure shows physical elements of biological neuron and b. representation of a primitive neuron (Courtesy Schlumberger).....	117
Figure 6-17. Neural Network response shows faults and horizons of the	120
Figure 6-18. Porosity values on Wheeler transformed using fuzzy logic on the seismic line O/944-SGR-232	123
Figure 6-19. AI contrast values on Wheeler transformed using fuzzy logic on the seismic line O/944-SGR-232	124
Figure 6-20. Sand shale packages with the help of Fuzzy Logic.....	126
Figure 7-1. Seismic line shows on the surface with subsurface structure of basal sand.....	128
Figure 7-2. Fault modeling in the subsurface with the location of well drilled in the study area	129
Figure 7-3. Sedimentary horizons with fault modeling trend in subsurface of the study area ...	130

Figure 7-4. Sedimentary Horizons with well locations of the study area.....	131
Figure 7-5. Basal sand ("B" interval sand) horizon with fault modeling and well drilled.....	132
Figure 7-6. Fault pattern on the Basal sand "B" interval and well drilled on the subsurface.....	133
Figure 7-7. Well drilled showed on Fault pattern in massive sand "A" interval in the study area	133
Figure 8-1. Confirmation of expected reservoir in study area with the help of Realistic Reservoir methods.....	135

List Of Tables

Table 1. Functionalities available in Petrel.....	10
Table 2. Functionalities available in OpenTect	11
Table 3. Stratigraphic sequence of Southern Indus Basin (modified after Qadri, 1994).....	15
Table 4. Boundaries of Southern Indus Basin	16
Table 5. Generalized workflow of Seismic Stratigraphic Reservoir Characterization	22
Table 6. Seismic lines and well provided for work	23
Table 7. Interpretation of each of the Formation tops	28
Table 8. Lower Cretaceous Formations according to their ages.....	58
Table 9. Interpretation Seismic Wheeler Diagram using limits of sedimentation.....	72
Table 10. Sampled based attributes used in seismic sections	97
Table 11. Horizon based attributes	97
Table 12. Neural network comparison with conventional computers	119

Abstract

The research work was carried out at Sanghar district which is situated at Thar platform, Southern Indus Basin. The research data comprised of seismic lines and well data in SEG Y and LAS Format respectively. The name of the well is ICHHRI-01 which is located at 63km North of Bobi field in Khairpur District, Sindh Province. The well was drilled by OGDCL to test hydrocarbon potential of sands of Lower Goru Formation of cretaceous age. The total depth of the well was 3300 meters. The well was abandoned.

The main aim of work revolves around Reservoir characterization in which two approaches of predictive reservoir and realistic reservoir characterization is used. This approach is helpful to get maximum use of the seismic data with less control of wells in an area. The methodology adopt for this purpose is to test and use original workflow for seismic structural and sequence stratigraphic interpretation system(SSIS). The regional seismic lines are used to quickly build a digital chronostratigraphic framework for the Southern Indus Basin (Lower Cretaceous). The sequence stratigraphic meaning to depositional sequences are than assigned. Wheeler diagrams are generated after that which is used in different depositional histories (depositional sequence geometries and stacking patterns within a common stratigraphic framework). Realistic reservoir methods including attribute analysis, spectral decomposition, neural networks and fuzzy logic is worn to minimize the uncertainty which help interpreter to take full use of seismic and geologic dataset. A Neural network is a non-linear statistical data modeling tool which is able to model complex relationships between inputs and outputs or to find patterns in data. An artificial neural network is a computational model based on biological neural networks. It consists of an interconnected group (network) of artificial neurons(nodes) and processes information using a connectionist computation approach (interconnected networks of simple units). Similarly, Fuzzy logic has termed as self-filtering technique using logical expression. This method is proposed as self-filtering technique in interpretation because it will filter out the result and would combine the number of results by using logical expression. It is also dependent upon the knowledge of the interpreter and the way to apply the logic for specific subsurface interpretation. Thus, it termed as self-filtering technique in interpretation.

This research work illustrate how we can correlate our predictive seismic stratigraphic characterization results with realistic seismic characterization and can improve our way to interpret seismic sections along with available well information which further used in forward modeling. The two methods not only help minimize the uncertainty but at the same time requires interpreter to take full use of seismic and geologic dataset. By comparing seismic sections (stratigraphically and structurally) and well logs with realistic reservoir approach it appeared that all the expected reservoir sand layers of Lower Cretaceous are present but the expected reservoir play is towards the western side of the study area.

Acknowledgement

First of all thanks to Almighty Allah who blessed me and made it possible for me to complete this final thesis report. This report would not have been possible without the vital and gracious support of many individuals. I gratefully acknowledge to Schlumberger for sponsoring my work at university. They really gave me the opportunity to learn and achieve many of the things. I was aiming for and more. I appreciatively admit and raise the value of Mr. Mahmood Khan (Assistant Geophysicist, OGDCL) for his guidance, continual advice, support, and supervision under which this thesis was initiated and prepared. He is responsible for helping me to complete the writing of this dissertation as well as challenging research lies behind it. I am also grateful to my internal supervisor Mr. Aamir Malik for his guidance and comments on the thesis report. I also express my gratitude to Dr. Zafar, the Head of Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences and to all teachers of Earth and Environmental Sciences who taught me for their proper support, encouragement and helped to apply the bookish knowledge into practical life.

I would also like to thank some of the individuals of the petroleum industry included Mr. Abdul Wahid Chughtai (OMV), Ms. Shazia Talat (OGDCL), Mr. Rahid Tipu (OMV), Mr. Asad Ilyas (OMV), Mr. Rizwan (Schlumberger), Mr. Rao Kamran (Schlumberger), Mr. Paul De Groot (OpendTect), Mr. Rashid Jamil (OGDCL), Mr. Yasir Jadoon (PEL), Mr. Yasir Zeb (Dewwan Petroleum), Mr. Irfan Khan (MOL), Muneer A. Khan (OGDCL). As with their continuous support and help this work has been completed successfully. All these people made this project possible for me. Besides my advisors and teachers, I would like to thank my friends, parents and all my supporters who really supported and helped me in completion of my thesis and during the hard times I faced.