PROJECT BRIEF

Project title Use and effectiveness of downward

influence tactics in different

managerial roles: a Pakistani public

normative sector perspective

Undertaken By Waqar Ali

Supervised By Mr. Kashif Ahmed

Starting Date July 2011

Ending Time December 2011

Department of Management Sciences

Bahria University, Islamabad

2011

ABSTRACT

The manager's ability to influence their stakeholders to accomplish desired objectives is an essential element of a managerial effectiveness. It is considered imperative to completely understand the influence processes to effectively decide which tactics is most appropriate and practicable in a specific managerial role and organizational culture.

examined the The present study differences among Interpersonal, Informational and Decisional managerial roles in selection of influence tactics in different managerial roles in a normative public sector organization. The differences were evaluated with an aim to examine the frequency of use and degree of effectiveness of influence tactics used by the Pakistani managers on their subordinates. Finally, descriptive statistical analysis was conducted by finding the means of both frequency of use and effectiveness of tactics. Based upon the overall results, the tactics were ranked under each role to elucidate what is the frequency of use of each tactics and how effective it would be in each role.

No statistically significant differences was revealed in selection of Influence tactics by managers in different managerial riles. In Interpersonal, informational and decisional roles, managers mostly used rational persuasion, consultation and legitimating tactics to influence their subordinates. The same trend is also noted in effectiveness of these tactics. The use of exchange, ingratiation and pressure tactics is less. The same tendency is also noted in effectiveness of these tactics in different managerial roles.

Acknowledgement

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Mr. Kashif Ahmed at Bahria University, for his valuable supervision, never-ending support and encouragement during this process. I am very thankful to him, that he has given me this pleasure to use his valuable comments, feedbacks and suggestions during the time that I have been working on this thesis. My supervisor supported me through a process that was longer and more rigorous than any of us might have imagined. When life's challenges sidetracked me, your encouragement helped me more than you can ever know. You have earned my admiration, respect and gratitude.

Outside of academia, I would like to thank all my friends for their full support and finally, the great thanks are directed to my family.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Title</u> Page N	0
Project Briefii	-
Abstractii	.i
Acknowledgementi	.V
Tale of contents	V
CHAPTER -1	
INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Overview of the Research	. 1
1.2 Statement of the Problem	.3
1.3 Research Questions	. 4
1.4 Purpose of the Study	. 5
1.5 Objective of the Study	. 5
1.6 Rational of the Study	.6
1.7 Normative Institutionalism	. 7
1.8 Pakistani Normative Institution	.8
1.9 Definition of Terms	10
CHAPTER -2	
LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Overview	11
2.2 Managerial roles	11

2.3	Cultural influences	
2.4	Influence tactics16	
	CHAPTER -3	
METHOD		
3.1	Participants21	
3.2	Sampling	
3.3	Instrumentation and Data Collection23	
3.4	Measurement	
3.5	Data analysis26	
3.6	Research questions and analytical methods27	
	CHAPTER -4	
RESU	LTS AND DISCUSSION	
4.1	Means of Frequency of use of Influence Tactics30	
4.2	Means of Effectiveness of Influence Tactics31	
4.3	Relative ranking-Frequency of use of Influence Tactics32	
4.4	Relative ranking-Effectiveness of Influence Tactics34	
4.5	Overall Means of Frequency of use of Influence Tactics35	
4.6	Overall Means of Effectiveness of Influence Tactics36	
4.7	Summary	
	4.7.1 Rational Persuasion	

4.7.5 Ingratiation41
4.7.6 Exchange43
4.7.7 Coalition
4.7.8 Pressure44
4.7.9 Personal Appeals45
CHAPTER -5
CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS
5.1 Influence tactics by Interpersonal role managers46
5.2 Influence tactics by Informational role managers47
5.3 Influence tactics by Decisional role managers47
5.4 Limitations of study and suggestions for future research 47
5.5 Recommendations
REFERENCES
APPENDICES
Appendix A -Influence Behavior Questionnaire55
Appendix B - Sample letters - Solicitation of participants60

LIST OF TABLES

Table	2.1-Mintzberg's Managerial Roles12
Table	2.2-Yukl's classification of influence tactics18
Table	4.1-Means of Frequency of use of Influence Tactics30
Table	4.2-Means of Effectiveness of Influence Tactics32
Table	4.3-Relative ranking-Frequency of use of Tactics33
Table	4.4-Relative ranking-Effectiveness of tactics34
Table	4.5-Overall Means of Frequency of Use of Tactics36
Table	4.6-Overall Means of Effectiveness of Tactics37