# **LLM THESIS**

# CORPORATE FRAUDS CAUSES, CHALLENGES AND SOLUTIONS IN PAKISTAN



Thesis Supervisor

SIR ADNAN KHAN

Submitted By

MUHAMMAD FAROOQ

Spring-2018

Department of Law Bahria University, Islamabad

## **DECLARATION**

I declare that 'Corporate Frauds Causes, Challenges and Solutions in Pakistan' is my own work

and that all the sources that I have used or quoted have been in means of complete references.	dicated and acknowledged by	
SIGNATURE: (MUHAMMAD FAROOQ)	DATE:	
(Registration No: 01-278162-006)		
SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION		
This project has been submitted for examination with my approval	as supervisor.	
SIGNATURE(SUPERVISOR- SIR ADNAN KHAN)	DATE:	

# THESIS COMPLETION CERTIFICATE

Scholar's Name: MUHAMMAD FAROOQ	Registration No.01-278162-006
Programme of Study: LLM	
Thesis Title: "CORPORATE FRAUDS CAUSES, PAKISTAN	•
It is to certify that the above student's thesis has been belief, its standard is appropriate for submission for E test of this thesis using HEC prescribed software and is within the permissible limit set by the HEC for the the thesis in a format recognized by the BU for the Manual Property of the	Evaluation. I have also conducted plagiarism found similarity index at that MS/MPhil degree thesis. I have also found
Principal Supervisor's Signature:	
Date:	Name: SIR ADNAN KHAN

### **Plagiarism Undertaking**

I, solemnly declare that research work presented in the thesis titled "CORPORATE FRAUDS CAUSES, CHALLENGES AND SOLUTIONS IN PAKISTAN" is solely my research work with no significant contribution from any other person. Small contribution / help wherever taken has been duly acknowledged and that complete thesis has been written by me.

I understand the zero tolerance policy of the HEC and Bahria University towards plagiarism. Therefore I as an Author of the above titled thesis declare that no portion of my thesis has been plagiarized and any material used as reference is properly referred / cited.

Student / Author's Sign:	
Name of the Student:	

#### **ABSTRACT**

The study has examined the legal framework of corporate frauds in Pakistan. It has highlighted the corporate scandals that have brought down big companies, in the United Kingdom, Maxwell, Polly Peck, and Barings that led to the above-mentioned countries to come up with corporate governance practices that are appropriate.

Pakistan entities have had a history of poor governance system with about 70% of the scandals attributed to weak corporate governance practices, lack of internal controls, and weaknesses in regulatory and supervisory systems. The study analyses the laws and corporate governance codes of best practice that have been adopted in Pakistan and whether they comply with international standards while at the same time addressing Pakistan specificities and reform needs.

Lastly, the study based on the findings of the analysis of the situation in Pakistan offers recommendations for reform on the laws governing corporate governance in Pakistan and suggest ways of promoting good corporate governance practices.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This project would not have been possible without the essential and gracious support of several people whom I wish to sincerely acknowledge.

Firstly, I would like to thank my supervisor, Sir Adnan Khan for his guidance through the process and progress of my report.

I would like to appreciate my parents and siblings, my friends and fellow classmates for their encouragement and relentless support.

Most importantly, I thank the Almighty God for seeing me through.

#### LIST OF ABBREVIATION

ATCSA Anti-Terrorism, Crime and Security Act

ARS Alternative Remittance Systems

BA Bribe Act

BOE Bank of England

BAE British Aerospace

BEL Banker's Equity Limited

COLP Cabinet Office and City of London Police

CMA The Competition and Markets Authority

CPS The Crown Prosecution Service

CCP Competition Commission of Pakistan

CBC Commercial Banking Circle

CC Competition Commission

DPP Director of Public Prosecution

DOJ Department of Justice

ECC Economic Crime Command

ECL Exit Control List

ECA Economic Crime Agency

ERRA Enterprise and Regulatory Reform Act 2013

FAP Fraud Advisory Panel

FA Fraud Act 2006

FCA Financial Conduct Authority

FBI Federal Bureau of Investigation

HMT H M Treasury

IFRS International Financial Reporting Standard

JMLSG Joint Money Laundering Steering Group

JIT Joint Investigating Team

KYC know your client

LIBOR London Interbank Offered Rate

NCA National Crime Agency

NFA National Fraud Authority

NAB National Accountability Bureau

OECD Organization of Economic Co-optation and Development

OFT Office of Fair Trading

PSPE Pakistan Special Police Establishment

PRA Prudential Regulation Authority

RCPO Revenue and Customs Prosecutions Office

SFO Serious Fraud Office

SOX Sarbanes-Oxley Act

STR Suspicious Transaction Reporting

SBP State Bank of Pakistan

UNCAC UN Convention against corporate Corruption

WCC White Collar Crime

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration	2
Thesis Completion Certificate	3
Plagiarism Undertaking	4
Abstract	5
Acknowledgement	6
Abbreviation	7
CHAPTER # I	15
1.1 Intoduction	15
1.2 Fraud	16
1.2.1 Meaning of Fraud	17
1.2.2 Fraud Define in UK Regime	18
1.2.3 General Definition	19
1.2.4 Common Frauds	19
1.3 Corporate Fraud	20
1.3.1 Definition	20
1.3.2 Common Corporate Frauds	21
1.4 Corporate Fraud As White-Collar Crime	22
1.5 Nature of Corporate Frauds	22
1.5.1 Lifting The Corporate Veil	23
1.5.2 Dimensions of Corporate Frauds	23
1.5.2.1 The Human Dimension	23
1.5.2.2 The Technological Dimension	24
1.5.2.3 The Legal Dimension	24
1.6 The Occurance Of Corporate Frauds	24
1.6.1 Enticement and Fraud in Organization	25
1.6.2 Embezzlement of Assets	26
1.6.3 Fraudelent Receipts	27
1.6.4 Fraud through Manipulation of financial statements	28
1.6.5 Corporate Espionage	30
1.6.6 Procedure-Related Frauds	31

1.7 Elements of Corporate Frauds	33
1.7.1 Motivation	33
1.7.2 Opportunity	34
1.7.3 Rationalisation	35
1.8 Conclusion	37
CHAPTER # II	39
CORPORATE FRAUDS IN THE UK	39
2.1 Introduction	39
2.2 Regulatory Framework for Corporate Frauds in the UK	41
2.2.1 International Conventions	41
2.2.2Primary Regulators	43
2.2.2.1H M Capital	43
2.2.2.2Home Office	45
2.2.2.3Bank of England	45
2.2.2.4 Prudential Regulation Authority (PRA)	46
2.2.2.5 Markets and Banking	47
2.2.3 Secondary Regulators	49
2.2.3.1Financial Conduct Authority	49
2.2.3.2Serious Fraud Office	51
2.2.3.3 Deferred Prosecution Agreements (DPA)	53
2.2.3.4 Bribery Act 2010	54
2.2.3.5 The Competition and Markets Authority	55
2.2.3.6 Crown Prosecution Service	56
2.2.3.7 National Fraud Authority (NFA)	57
2.2.3.8 National Crime Agency (NCA)	58
2.2.3.9 Trading Companies Associations	60
2.3 Corporate scams in United Kingdom	60
2.3.1 Polly Peck	61
2.3.2 Maxwell Corporation	61
2.3.3 Barings Bank	62
2.4 Corporate governance failures	62
2.4.1 Polly Peck	62

2.4.2 Maxwell Corporation	63
2.4.3 Barings Bank	63
2.5 Conclusion	63
CHAPTER # III	67
LEGAL FRAMEWORK REGARDING CORPORATE FRAUDS IN PAKISTAN	67
3.1 Introduction	67
3.2 Regulation of Corporate Frauds in Pakistan	68
3.3 Security and Exchange Commission of Pakistan (SECP)	68
3.3.1 Relevant Provisions of the Companies Act, 2017	69
3.3.2 Powers and Functions of the Commission	69
3.3.3 Court Authorities and Bench Formation	69
3.3.4 Investigation into affairs of company	70
3.3.4.1 Serious Fraud Investigation	70
3.3.4.2 Report of Inspector	71
3.4 Relevant Provisions of the Competition Commission	71
3.4.1 Appeal against the order of CCP	73
3.5 Federal investigation Agency (FIA)	73
3.6 Penal Laws Regarding Corporate Frauds	74
3.6.1 Forgery	74
3.6.2 Fabrication of Accounts	75
3.6.3 Dishonest Misappropriation of Property	75
3.6.4 Criminal Breach of Trust	75
3.6.5 Cheating	76
3.7 National Accountability Agency	76
3.7.1 National Accountability Ordinance	77
3.8 State Bank of Pakistan in Corporate Frauds	78
3.9 Banking Companies Ordinance, 1962	79
3.9.1 Power of State Bank to control advances by banking companies	79
3.9.2 Banking Mohtasib	79
3.10 Anti-Money Laundering Laws and Corporate Frauds	81
3.11 Corporate Frauds in Pakistan Corporate Legal Regime	82

3.11.1 Banker Equity Limited Scandal	82
3.11.1.1 Financial Embezzlement	83
3.11.1.2 Fictitious Transaction	83
3.11.1.3 Fate of the Accused	84
3.11.2 Axact Case	85
3.11.2.1 Weak Regulating.	86
3.11.3 Ayaan Ali Money Laundering Case	86
3.12 Conclusion	86
CHAPTER# IV	88
ISSUES AND CHALLENGE REGARDING CORPORATE FRAUDS	88
4.1 Introduction	88
4.2 Issues and Challenges in legal regime	90
4.2.1 Coordination Failure	90
4.2.2 Minority Shareholders and Issue of Corporate Maladministration	91
4.2.3 Civil Remedy And Prolong Litigation	91
4.2.4 Complaint resolve by committee	91
4.2.5 Expansion of Audit Committee	92
4.2.6.Compliance with Applicable laws	92
4.2.7 Administrative Role	93
4.2.8 Corporate Governance	93
4.2.9 Weaknesses in Regulatory Framework (SECP, SBP, FIA, NAB, CCP)	93
CHAPTER # V	95
CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	95
5.1 Conclusion	95
5.2 Recommendation	97
5.2.1 Role Of Regulators Institutions	97
5.2.2 Adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS)	97
5.2.3 Publication of Fraud Prevention Policy	98
5.2.4 Conducting of Due Diligence by Banks and Financial Institutions	98
5.2.5 Appointment of Independent Professionals by Shareholders and Fixing of their Responsil	oility99

5.2.6 Setting up of Corporate Fraud Offence Wing	99
5.2.7 Internal And External Audit Mechanisms	99
5.2.8 Fiduciary Duties	100
5.2.9 Cooperation with Agencies	101
5.2.10 Compliance with Regulation	101
5.2.11 Financial Analysts	101

#### **BIBLIOGRAPHY**