LL.M Thesis

POTENTIALS AND IMPLEMENTATIONS OF LIMITED LIABILITY PARTNERSHIP IN PAKISTAN: A COMPARATIVE STUDY



Thesis Supervisor:

SIR ADNAN KHAN

Submitted By

Syed Taimoor Shah (01-278162-014)

Spring 2018

Department of Law Bahria University, Islamabad

THESIS COMPLETION CERTIFICATE

Scholar's Name: Syed Taimoor Shah	Registration No.
Program of Study:	
Thesis Title: POTENTIALS AND IMPLE PARTNERSHIP IN PAKISTAN: A COM	EMENTATIONS OF LIMITED LIABILITY PARATIVE STUDY
belief, its standard is appropriate for suplagiarism test of this thesis using HEC that is within the permissib	s has been completed to my satisfaction and, to my bmission for Evaluation. I have also conducted prescribed software and found similarity index a le limit set by the HEC for the MS/MPhil degree that recognized by the BU for the MS/MPhil thesis.
Supervisor's Name:	
Date:	
Principal Supervisor's Signature	

MS-14A

Author's Declaration

I, Syed Taimoor Shah hereby state that my LLM thesis titled "POTENTIALS AND

IMPLEMENTATIONS OF LIMITED LIABILITY PARTNERSHIP IN PAKISTAN: A

COMPARATIVE STUDY" is my own work and has not been submitted previously by me for

taking any degree from this university (Bahria University) or anywhere else in the

country/world.

At any time if my statement is found to be incorrect even after my Graduation the university

has the right to withdraw/cancel my LLM degree.

Name of student: Syed Taimoor Shah

Date: 24/10/2018

iii

MS-14B

Plagiarism Undertaking

I, solemnly declare that research work presented in the thesis titled "_POTENTIALS AND

IMPLEMENTATIONS OF LIMITED LIABILITY PARTNERSHIP IN PAKISTAN: A

COMPARATIVE STUDY "is solely my research work with no significant contribution from

any other person. Small contribution / help wherever taken has been duly acknowledged and

that complete thesis has been written by me.

I understand the zero tolerance policy of the HEC and Bahria University towards plagiarism.

Therefore I as an Author of the above titled thesis declare that no portion of my thesis has been

plagiarized and any material used as reference is properly referred / cited.

I undertake that if I am found guilty of any formal plagiarism in the above titled thesis even

after award of LLM degree, the university reserves the right to withdraw / revoke my LLM

degree and that HEC and the University has the right to publish my name on the HEC /

University website on which names of students are placed who submitted plagiarized thesis.

Student / Author's Sign:

Name of the Student: Syed Taimoor Shah

iv

DEDICATION

I would like to dedicate this paper to Almighty ALLAH, Who has created me as human being and helps me in difficulties.

I would also like to dedicate this research to my parents without their support and help I could not be able to complete this paper.

I would also like to dedicate this research to my supervisor without his help I could not be able to complete this paper.

AKNOWLEGMENT

I would like to say special thanks, warmth and appreciation to the persons below who made my research successful and assisted me at every point to cherish my goal:

- ❖ My Supervisor, Sir Adnan for his vital support and assistance. His encouragement made it possible to achieve the goal.
- ❖ Madam Nadia Khadim whose help and sympathetic attitude at every point during my research helped me to work in time.
- * HOD Law Department Mam Malieka Farha Deeba, All the faculty, staff members of the law Department, whose services turned my research a success.
- ❖ My Mom and Dad, family members and friends, without whom I was nothing; they not only assisted me financially but also extended their support morally and emotionally.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Though research has been conducted on this topic, and the researcher went through different Articles and Books of other Authors of different jurisdictions but in Pakistan no research is done on implementations and its potential, and how does it affect the status of general partnerships after passing of this law. Partnership Act, 1932 and Companies Act 2017 and Limited Liability Act, 2017 has taken into consideration heavily.

In an article 'Limited Liability Partnerships: The Next Step in the Evolution of the Unincorporated Business Organization' by Robert R. Keatinge, Allan G. Donn, George W. Coleman and Elizabeth G. Hester' the limited liability partnership is discussed and its impact on all kind of small businesses is discussed and by reading the article the importance of LLP could be judged but again in Pakistan there is highly need to promote and implement the concept of LLP because those researches are in the context of Pakistan. No research is done on its potentials and its applicability. There are many areas to work on and many questions to answer.

In another article 'The Limited Partnership' published by The Yale Law Journal, Vol. 45, No. 5 (Mar., 1936), pp. 895-907 the structure and model of LLP is discussed and by following there model and footsteps we can get benefits from the concept of LLP." In Pakistani context as according to my search, no research has been conducted till this time that directly deals with this topic. This area has not been covered by anyone in the Pakistani context.

Limited Liability Partnership Act 2008 of India, was touched and detail study was made of it for the purpose of better view of both and for the purpose of comparison between both the acts as this research is also a comparative study.

OBJECTIVES

Unlike the rest of the world, Limited Liability Partnership (LLP) is a comparatively newer business vehicle for Pakistanis and the Act of LLP is passed recently in 2017. The reason for introduction of this business structure was to fill the gap between business firms such as sole proprietorships and partnerships, which are most of the times unregistered and limited liability companies that are governed by the Companies Act 2017. This research paper aims to define the basic concept of LLP from the Pakistan's perspective with respect to its advantages and nature and shall come up recommendations. This dissertation topic will explore if the hybrid model of the LLP is an effective model or if it is only suitable for certain business models. LLP is considered as the most suitable business format for manufacturing and services sectors in many jurisdictions of the world. Many small and medium manufacturing enterprises have enjoyed the dual advantage of less compliance with higher access to credits in the market³. LLP is the best business vehicle especially for professionals like charted accountants, company secretary's and advocates. But in Pakistan LLP is very less known to public and people shows less interest in it as it is a new concept and people are unaware of its structure and benefit. This research will clarify and identify through critical analysis and comparative study of it with other different jurisdictions the benefits which one can get by adopting this model.

_

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ ROBERT R. KEATINGE, ALLAN G. DONN, GEORGE W. COLEMAN & ELIZABETH G. HESTER,

[&]quot;Limited Liability Partnerships: The Next Step in the Evolution of the Unincorporated Business Organization", AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION, Vol. 51 No. 1 at 147-207 (Nov 1995

² THE YALE LAW JOURNAL COMPANY, INC, 'The Limited Partnership' YALE LAW JOURNAL, Vol. 45 No.5 at 895-907 (1936)

³ST. JOHN'S LAW REVIEW, Vol: 70Issue:3, SUMMER (1996), NUMBER 3, https://scholarship.law.stjohns.edu/lawreview/vol70/.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The subject is a specialized and focused with much of the research available through books and the internet. The research methodology which I select for my thesis is comparative, historical as well as doctrinal and analytical. Basically, it's library study. My primary sources are the statutes like Partnership act, 1932 and company's law ordinance 1984 and Limited Liability Partnership Act 2017 Pakistan, The research includes comparing it with the UK, USA, and India. The researcher will use to collect information's from different sources like articles, research papers and electronic media as secondary sources. Nevertheless, the quantitative approach will also be employed to some extent in using already, collected data from research carried out by other authors to help illustrate the advantage of LLP for all kind of small businesses.

1.8 SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY

The study carries a high importance because the study The Partnership Act, 1932, puts unlimited liability on the partner whereas, the laws regulating the companies viz. Companies Act, 2017, wants the people running the company to comply with various provisions otherwise heavy penalty has to be paid including imprisonment. A new type of business i.e. Limited Liability Partnership (LLP) came into picture with the enactment of Limited Liability Partnership Act, 2017 (the Act), which was notified in May, 2017. This structure has become quite popular among Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs), professional services and small businesses since this business form seeks to incorporate the operational flexibility of the partnership with the benefits of limited liability and separate legal identity of a company. Therefore, this paper discusses the differences between an LLP, a partnership and a company while analyzing the procedure for setting up an LLP, conversion of an LLP from a partnership or a company, winding up of an LLP and the taxation procedure of LLPs. The paper further

analyses the LLP Act, 2017 to gauge how LLP as a business form will benefit the SMEs. The paper also highlights the gray areas of the Act and other problems faced by LLPs via case studies and views of the experts.

1.9 Keywords

Limited Liability Partnership (LLP), Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs), Partnership, Company, Compliance and Tax Requirements.

Contents

CHAPTER# 1	5
LIMITED LIABILTITY PARTNERSHIP: AN INTRODUCTION	5
1.1 INTRODUCTION	5
1.2 OBJECT OF LLP	7
1.3 SCOPE OF LLP	8
1.4 LIMITATIONS SYNOPSIS	8
1.5 ROAD TO LLP	8
1.5.1 SOLE PROPRIETORSHIP	9
1.5.2 PARTNERSHIP	9
1.5.3 GENERAL PARTNERSHIP ACT 1932	10
1.5.4 COMPANY	10
1.6 CASE LAW	11
1.6.1 SALOMON'S CASE AND THE PRINCIPLE OF LIMITED LIABILITY	12
1.7 LIMITED LIABILITY PARTNERSHIP	14
1.7.1 LLP AND A PRIVATE LIMITED COMPANY	15
1.7.2 ESSENTIALS OF LLP	16
1.7.3 MAIN FEATURES of LLP	16
1.7.3.1 SEPARATE LEGAL ENTITY	16
1.7.3.2 FLEXIBILITY	17
1.7.3.3 PERPETUAL EXISTENCE	17
1.8 ESSENCE OF LIMITED LIABILITY	17
1.8.1 WHEN LIABILITY IS NOT LIMITED	18
1.8.1.1 MISUSE OF FUNDS	18
1.8.1.2 FRAUD	18
1.8.1.3 CRIMINAL ACTION	18
1.8.1.4 PERSONAL GUARANTEES	19
1.9 LEGAL FRAMEWORK AND SCHEME OF THE LLP ACT, 2017	19
CHAPTER# 2	20
LIMITED LIABILITY PARTNERSHIP: A GLOBAL OUTLOOK	20
2.1 INTRODUCTION	20
2.2 LLP ACT: GLOBAL POSITION	21
2.2.1 LLP IN ITALY	21
2.2.2 LLP IN UNITED STATES	22

2.2.3 LLP IN INDIA	24
2.3 DIFFERENCE BETWEEN LLP MODELS OF US, AND PAKISTAN	25
$2.4~\mathrm{LLP}$ STRUCTURE IN UNITED STATES AND PAKISTAN: A DETAILED COMPARISON	25
2.5 CONCLUSION	33
CHAPTER #3	34
LLP REGIME IN PAKISTAN	34
3.1 INTRODUCTION	34
3.2 LLP FORMAT IN PAKISTAN	34
3.2.1 NEEDS ONLY TWO PERSON	35
3.2.2 GOVERNED BY PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT ONLY	35
3.2.3 LLP AGREEMENT: A BASIC DOCUMENT	35
3.2.4 ROOM IN ABSENCE OF PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT	36
3.2.5 USAGE OF LLP	36
3.2.6 A BLEND OF GENERAL PARTNERSHIP AND COMPANY STRUCTURE	36
3.2.7 FORMALITIES REGARDING THE NAME	36
3.2.8 MUST BE PAKISTANI NATIONAL	37
3.2.10 EASY CONVERSION	37
3.2.11 NO NEED OF PRIOR APPROVAL FROM GOVERNMENT	37
3.4 ELIGIBILITY FOR LLP	38
3.5 CONTRIBUTION IN PARTNERSHIP	40
3.6 RESERVATION OF NAME	40
3.7 PROCEDURE OF AN AUDIT IN LLP	41
3.8 TRANSFER OF RIGHTS IN LLP	41
3.9 CONVERSATION OF FIRM INTO LLP	42
3.10 CONVERSATION OF PRIVATE COMPANY AND UNLISTED COMPANY	42
3.11 WINDING UP OF LLP	43
3.11.1 MODES OF WINDING UP OF LLP	43
3.11.2 CIRCUMSTANCES FOR COMPULSORY WINDING UP	44
3.12 ADVANTAGES OF LLP	44
3.12.1 NEW BUT POPULAR CONCEPT	45
3.12.2 EASY FORMATION	45
3.12.3 KNOWN BY ITS OWN NAME	45
3.12.4 ROCK HARD NATURE	
3.12.6 FREEDOM OF ADOPTING ANY MODE	
3.12.7 CONTRIBUTION IN CAPITAL	46

3.12.8 PROTECTED FROM ITS PARTNERS TOO	46
3.12.10 AGENT OF LLP	47
3.13 OVERALL GENERAL ADVANTAGES OF LLP	47
3.14 POINTS OF DISTINCTION BETWEEN PARTNERSHIP, COMPANY AND LLP	48
3.14.1 PREVAILING STATUTE	48
3.14.2 REGISTRATION	48
3.14.3 LEGAL ENTITY	49
3.14.4 NAME OF THE ENTITY	49
3.14.5 COST OF FORMATION	49
3.14.6 PERPETUAL SUCCESSION	49
3.14.7 PRINCIPAL DOCUMENT	50
3.14.8 COMMON SEAL	50
3.14.9 INCORPORATION FORMALITIES	50
3.14.10 LEGAL ACTION	51
3.14.11 NUMBER OF MEMBERS	51
3.14.12 OWNERSHIP OF ASSETS	51
3.14.13 RIGHTS, DUTIES, OBLIGATIONS OF THE PARTNERS, MANAGING PARTNERS, DIRECTORS, ETC.	52
3.14.14 PARTNERS/MEMBERS LIABILITIES	52
3.14.16 DIRECTOR IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (DIN)	53
3.14.17 DISSOLUTION	53
3.14.18 REQUIREMENT OF MANAGERIAL PERSONNEL TO MANAGE THE BUSINESS	53
3.14.19 MEETINGS	54
3.14.20 MAINTENANCE OF MINUTES OF THE MEETINGS	54
3.14.22 ANNUAL FILING OF DOCUMENTS	55
3.14.23 AUDIT OF ACCOUNTS	55
3.14.24 APPLICABILITY OF ACCOUNTING STANDARDS	55
3.14.26 CREDITWORTHINESS OF ORGANIZATION	56
3.14.27 WHISTLE BLOWING	56
3.15 CONCLUSION	56
CHAPTER #4	58
POTENTIALS AND ISSUES RELATED TO LLP	58
4.1 INTRODUCTION	58
4.2 POTENTIAL'S OF LLP	59
4.2.1 TENDENCY TO MERGE WITH OTHERS	59

4.2.2 POTENTIAL OF LLP TO HELP SME'S ATTRACT CAPITAL	60
4.2.3 POTENTIAL TO BOOST SMALL RETAILERS	62
4.2.4 POTENTIAL IN SERVICE STRUCTURE	62
4.2.5 POTENTIALS TO ATTRACT BIG INVESTORS	63
4.2.6 POTENTIALS IN GROWTH OF PROFESSIONAL FIRMS	63
4.2.7 POTENTIAL AS RISK MANAGEMENT TOOL	64
4.2.8 POTENTIAL IN FOREIGN DIRECT INVESTMENT FLOW	64
4.2.9 POTENTIAL IN ENHANCING ECONOMIC GROWTH	65
4.2.10 BEST FOR LOCAL PRIVATE BUSINESSES	66
4.3 SOME ISSUES RELATED TO LLP	66
4.3.1 LETHAL ACTION OF ONE PARTNER	66
4.3.2 ISSUE IN RAISING FUNDS	67
4.3.3 PROCEDURE OF WINDING UP IS COMPLICATED THAN PARTNERSHIP FIRM	67
4.3.4 FOR EVERY CHANGE LLP AGREEMENT SHOULD BE SHACKED	67
4.3.5 PRIVACY ISSUES	67
4.3.6 HURDLES IN CONVERSION TO LLP FROM FIRM	68
CHAPTER#5	69
CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION	69
CONCLUSION	69
BIBLIOGRAPHY	75